DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 455 920 PS 029 654

AUTHOR Ingraham, Sandy

TITLE Oklahoma Kids Count Factbook, 2001.

INSTITUTION Oklahoma Inst. for Child Advocacy, Inc., Oklahoma City.

Oklahoma Kids Count.

SPONS AGENCY Annie E. Casey Foundation, Baltimore, MD.

PUB DATE 2001-00-00

NOTE 189p.; For 1999 Factbook, see PS 029 653. No Factbook was

published in 2000. Oklahoma Kids Count Partnership also funded by Sarkeys Foundation, Chickasaw Nation, Kirkpatrick Family Fund, Sonic Industries, Stillwater National Bank and

Trust Company, Drs. Carl and Roger Sheldon, and Crowe &

Dunlevy Attorneys and Counselors at Law.

AVAILABLE FROM Annie E. Casey Foundation, 701 St. Paul Street, Baltimore,

MD 21202. Tel: 410-547-6600.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive

(141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC08 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Accidents; Adolescents; Birth Weight; Births to Single

Women; Child Abuse; Child Advocacy; Child Health; Child

Welfare; *Children; *Counties; Crime; Delinquency;

Demography; Dropout Rate; Early Parenthood; Economic Status;

Elementary Secondary Education; Enrollment; Infant Mortality; Infants; Mortality Rate; Poverty; *Social Indicators; State Surveys; Statistical Surveys; Tables (Data); *Trend Analysis; *Well Being; Youth Problems

IDENTIFIERS Arrests; Child Mortality; *Indicators; *Oklahoma

ABSTRACT

This Kids Count Factbook details county and statewide trends in the well-being of Oklahoma's children. The statistical portrait is based on seven indicators or benchmarks of child well-being: (1) low birth weight infants; (2) infant mortality; (3) births to young teens; (4) child abuse and neglect; (5) child and teen death; (6) high school dropouts; and (7) juvenile violent crime arrests. Statewide findings, presented in the factbook's overview, indicate that two of the seven benchmarks (low birth weight infants and child abuse and neglect) worsened compared to data from over a decade ago. Five benchmarks (infant mortality, births to young teens, child death, high school dropouts, and juvenile violent crime arrests) improved over the comparable data. The Factbook then presents current statewide and county data for each of the benchmarks. Each benchmark is defined, state and county trends are presented, and racial differences are noted. The bulk of the Factbook is comprised of county profiles, in which data for each of the indicators are presented graphically. A county benchmark key, tips for data interpretation and use, explanations of methodology and sources, and single tables compiling county data for each indicator conclude the Factbook. (SD)





practbook 2001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

C

OKLAHOMA
[/2m2]]
[Krije]s
Govern

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership supported by

Annie E. Casey Foundation

Sarkeys Foundation

Baltimore, Maryland







THE KIRKPATRICK FAMILY FUND

Drs. Carol and Roger Sheldon

Crowe & Dunlevy

Attorneys and Counselors at Law

Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, Oklahoma Success by 6 seeks to ensure that Oklahoma Success by 6. Led by the United Way of Metro Oklahoma City and the all Oklahoma children are healthy, eager to learn and ready to succeed by the time The State Focus on Early Childhood Care and Education in this 2001 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook was made possible by support from the partners of they enter school.



Oklahoma Success by 6 is made possible by the following partners:






of Metro Oklahoma City United Way

Lucent Technologies Bell Labs Impostions

Every Day in Oklahoma

- 131 babies are born
- 8 of the babies are born to children (mothers under age 18)
- 9 of the babies are born without receiving adequate prenatal care
 - 9 of the babies are born too small
- 153 incidents of child abuse and/or neglect are investigated
 - 43 incidents are confirmed to be child abuse and/or neglect
- 25 children quit high school without graduating
- 3 of those are arrested for a violent crime 86 children are arrested for a crime
- 1 of those will be a baby At least 2 children will die

10







he Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership includes

Ś	_
Ē	
န္	1
⋖	2
ad	-
3	Ĉ

Marlo Nash, Project Coordinator, Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Parmership Ann Salazar, KIDS COUNT Liaison Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy Anne Roberts, Executive Director

Data Partner

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

Russell Perry, Secretary of Economic Development and Special Affairs Ronald Bussert, Executive Director

Jeff Wallace, Research Analyst

Leadership Partner

Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth Tom Kemper, Executive Director Grace Kelley, Assistant Director

Design Partners

S Design, Inc.

Sarah Sears, Art Direction & Design Anne LoBaugh, Design

Veronica McCollum, Design Erick Gfeller, Photographer

Data Analyst and Writer

Sandy Ingraham, JD, MSW Ingraham & Associates, PLLC

2001 Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook

A publication which reports on the well-being of children and youth in Oklahoma. Data from the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook is included on the website for the Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy at http://www.oica.org.

C/O Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103 420 NW 13th Street, Suite 101

fax: 405-236-KIDX (5439) phone: 405-236-KIDS (5437) internet: http://www.oica.org email: info@oica.org

through: The Annie E. Casey Foundation, 701 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, MD 21202, children, youth and families in the United States. The publication is free and available The National KIDS COUNT Databook is an annual publication which reports on the well-being of 1-410-547-6600.

Copyright 2001, Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, Inc.

Permission to copy, disseminate or otherwise use information from this Factbook is granted as long as acknowledgment is given to the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership, a project of the Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy, Sources for the data used in this book, which are listed in the Methodology & Sources section, remain the final authority regarding the quality and meaning of the data.

Table of Contents

4.	5	9.	. 7	6.	16	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	70	71	72	73	62
:	:	:	:		:	:			• • •	:		,					١`.	١٠.
:	:	:	:	:	:		:	•	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:	:	:		•	•			:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
•	•	•	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	:
:		:	:	on	:	:	:		:	:	:	:	. :	:	:			•
:	:	:	:	ati	:	:		:		:				:	:		:	;
:		:		Ön	:					•	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
:	:	:		B				:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	:	:	:	Ę.	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:		:	•	:	•
:			:	an	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•				•
:	:	:	:	5	:	:	:			:	:	:		:		:	:	:
:	:	:	:	(S)	:	•	:		:	:	:	•	:	:	:	•	:	:
:	:	:	•	9	:			:	:	•	:	23	:	:	:	မွ	:	:
:	:	:	:	8	•	:	:	:	:	:	:	S	:	:	:	Use	:	
•		:	:	4		S	:	•			•	A.	:	:	:	8	•	
•	•		:	Ħ	:	ij	:	(A)	ಧ	•	S	<u>9</u>	•			Ę.		:
:		•	٠	\Box	:	nfa	:	en	gle	Æ	ınc	.≣	:	:	_	ti.		:
:	:	:	S	A State Focus on Early Childhood Care and Education	:	Low Birthweight Infants		Births to Young Teens	Child Abuse & Neglect	Child & Teen Death	High School Dropouts	Juvenile Violent Crime Arrests	:	:	County Benchmark Key	Tips for Data Interpretation	& Sources	÷
:		:	Overview & Findings	fai		lf8	Infant Mortality	હ્ય	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	Ω	Ž	nt		:	\ \ \	pre	Ē	:
:	D i	:	i d	1 0	Benchmark Topics	Ġ.	<u>-</u>	ä	∞ .	en	=	leı	:	•	ark	er	So	:
•	ıs	:	Ē	ō	ь	Ž	0.0	ς,	ŠT	μĎ	8	/io	:		Ξ	亘	×	
·	de	:	- - -	Sn:	Ė	. =	\succeq	5	Ab	, K	с у	9	•	ata	<u>c</u>	<u> </u>	>	:
ω.	Ę		>	ڔؼ	螀	В	υ	15	·ρ	7	S	ij	⁵ S	Ω	en G)ai	စ္တ	:
nt	IJ	•	ie.	e I	Ĕ		fa	E	ΞŢ	豆	1 <u>8</u>	Ne.	lar	he	<u>m</u>	1	덜	:
ne	\overline{z}	به		āţ	ch	Ä	Ľ	B	\mathbf{C}	\Box	${\mathtt H}$	'n	ΞĘ	oc t	nt)	Ð	100	
뎚	5	ΞΨ	Š	Š	en								ᄓ	<u>.u</u>	nc	bs	Methodology	
Acknowledgments	KIDS COUNT Leadership	A State Profile	\mathcal{O}	Y	В								County Benchmarks	Understanding the Data	Ŭ	Ξ	\mathbf{Z}	Data Tables
M	\mathcal{O}	2											.×	sta				ap,
Š	$\tilde{\Omega}$	ía											ID	ler				ı T
Acl	₹	رح د											, Or	_l nc				at
7		4											\circ	\supset				Ω

Acknowledgments

for their partnership in preparing this publication. Many individuals at the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT thanks the many individuals and organizations respective agencies assisted with this endeavor.

Robert Buswell

Office of Accountability

Sandy Garrett

Oklahoma State Department of Education

Howard Hendrick

Oklahoma Department of Human Services

DeWade Langley

Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation

Susan McVey

Oklahoma Department of Libraries

Russell Perry

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

ferry Regier

Oklahoma State Department of Health

Ingraham & Associates, PLLC Nina Rowland

Jeff Wallace

Oklahoma Department of Commerce

Oktahoma Institute for Child Advocacy **Board of Directors**

Bob and Peggy McCormick, VPs Development, Stillwater Cathy Shearer, MDiv, VP Programs, Oklahoma City Mary Monfort, PhD, VP Trustees, Oklahoma City Kimberly Francisco, Treasurer, Oklahoma City Ron Matlock, President-Elect, Oklahoma City Andrew Glenn, PhD, Secretary, Norman Anne Calvert, President, Oklahoma City

Robert Abernathy, Oklahoma City

Andrea Chancellor, Tulsa

Doug Fox, Edmond

Laurie Fuller, Muskogee

Alex Greenwood, Oklahoma City Kay Grant, EdD, Tahlequah

ean Harbison, Lawton

Lyn Hester, Oklahoma City Rob Johnson, Tuka

lay Keel, Ada

Kell Kelly, Bristow

Ed Legako, MD, Lawton

Brian Mayer, Tuka

Kent Meyers, Oklahoma City

Dick Mosher, Bartlesville

Lela Robison, Muskogee

Ron Rocke, Oklahoma City

Roger Sheldon, MD, Oklahoma City Deborah Watson, Drumright

Ellen Yates, Altus

Ken Young, Oklahoma City

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leaders

Robin Wittwer-Swaim, Okeene Cammy McDaugale, Clinton Coleen Buckmaster, Clinton Northwestern Oklahoma Debi Shire, Enid

Southwestern Oklahoma

Angelina Bernard, Lawton Elaine Bellamy, Fort Cobb Anne Jones-Gray, Lawton Regina Hargrove, Lawton Barbara Morgan, Lawton Lesley Green, Anadarko lenny Breeden, Lawton Mary Jac Rauh, Lawton Katy Isham, Lawton Art Koenig, Lawton Rose Jenkins, Altus

Central Oklahoma

lana Armstrong, Oklahoma City Robyn Bourlan-Carey, Tecumseh Marty Bacher, Oklahoma City Virginia Blair, Oklahoma City Gloria Bates, Oklahoma City Patricia Atkinson, Newcastle Katie Bradshaw-Campbell, Shawn Alyea, Edmond Mary Boren, Edmond Norman

Masie Bross, Oklahoma City Allysceacioun Britt-Warrior, Ferri Burlison, Edmond

Suzanne Cannon, Oklahoma City Belinda Caudle, Oklahoma City Melinda Campbell, Chandler Doug Cherry, Oklahoma City Peggy Byerly, Oklahoma City Thelma Chambers Young, Oklahoma City

Susan Cochran-Morris, Shawnee Marcia Coffman, Oklahoma City aDonna Hunt, Oklahoma City Karen Coakley, Oklahoma City Annette Jacobi, Oklahoma City Deann Gattis, Oklahoma City Stacey Frakes, Oklahoma City Patty Grotta, Oklahoma City Chris Foss, Oklahoma City Vera Ford, Oklahoma City ou Huff, Oklahoma City Sheila Griffin, Edmond Mary Holter, Norman Denise Johnson-Hole,

3arbara Liggins-Acosta, Beth Kouba, El Reno Sarah Koss, Edmond Oklahoma City Oklahoma City

Heather Martin, Oklahoma Ciry Melissa McLawhorn, Norman Kelli McNeal, Oklahoma City

KIDS COUNT Leaders are chosen annually through an application process. To receive

an application call 405-236-5437.

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leaders are trained in the areas of legislative and grass roots advocacy, communicating children's issues and community organizing. KIDS COUNT Leaders are available for group presentations and individual meetings.

Priscilla Metoyer, Oklahoma City Debbie Miller, Oklahoma City Maira Moreno-Hoetker,

Katie Morris-Henson, Shawnee Keith Pirtle, Oklahoma City Ed Pulido, Oklahoma City Kelley Nedbalek, Norman Leshia Pearson, Edmond Edmond

Bethany Dornberger, Mannford

Laurie Fuller, Muskogee Barbara Gish, Stillwater

Kathy J. Coorpender, Tulsa

Fonya Crewell, Tuka

Howard Clark, Tahlequah

Sammy Bouy, Bartlesville

Molly Casteel, Tulsa

Sarah Ann Redwine, Norman Laura Rhodes, Norman Casey Reed, Norman ulia Reed, Norman

Christy Gonterman, Ponca City

Shirley Glenn, Porter

Lisa lo Griffith-Eldridge,

Marcy Wiggins, Oklahoma City Brent Simpson, Oklahoma City Ellen Wisdom, Oklahoma City Carole Turner, Oklahoma City Chelsea Waller, Midwest City Charla Tubb, Oklahoma City Denise Squier, Norman Sjonna Whitsitt, Moore

Dianna Humphrey, Okmulgee

Sarah Hopkins, Muskogee

Sue Ann Holder, Miami

Kim Hall, Tuka Ochelata

Elizabeth Nottingham, Vinita

Melissa Nuttall, Bartlesville

Watt Osage, Wellington

George Young, Oklahoma City

inda York, Lexington

Dawn Parton, Tulsa

Arthetta Pouncil, Tuka

Karen Rhoades, Tulsa

Peggy McCormick, Stillwater

Marjorie Lyons, Sapulpa

Peggy Lisenbee, Tulsa

Toby Robles, Tahlequah oBeth Spears, Pawnee Stacey Rogers, Tulsa Sanice Airhart, Broken Arrow Gayla Anderson, Pryor Northeastern Oklahoma Becky Bland, Sapulpa

Susan Weaver, Stillwater Linda Watts, Tulsa

Southeastern Oklahoma

Carol Williams, Pauls Valley Cindy Simmons, McAlester Earlene Mason, McAlester Beth Stanford, Poteau Sharon Gile, Wewoka Hal Johnson, Durant

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Leadership

Jebbie Rischard, Bartlesville







Attending to Oklahoma's youngest holds the promise

neglect, poverty, violence, crime, teen pregnancy.

A promise of less abuse and neglect, less poverty, less

violence, less crime, fewer children having children.

of Oklahoma's future. A hope of breaking the cycle.

The time is now. There is not one more child to waste. The rewards will include better care and education for First, we must marshal a serious effort to reduce teen pregnancy, lessen poverty and eliminate child abuse.

without promising solutions. Community understanding, care and education of Oklahoma's infants, toddlers and or suffering abuse. The problems are complex, but not Oklahoma children having children, living in poverty combined with action, will improve the well-being of today's children and secure a safe, healthy and happy preschool children. The rewards will include fewer future for tomorrow's.

Most picking up this book want to lessen the difficulties

Overview & Findings

faced by many of Oklahoma's children — child abuse

and neglect, poverty, violence, crime, teen pregnancy.

For many of us public debates and private soul searching

have not provided clear answers about where to begin.

There are few clear distinctions between causes and

more likely to repeat the cycle of teen childbearing. Child abuse victims later become abusers. Poor children are more likely to quit high school without graduating, and youth

not graduating from high school face lives of poverty.

inextricably linked. Children born to teen mothers are

much to suggest that causes and consequences are

consequences. In the pages which follow you will find

behalf of children and youth, giving voice to their needs of the Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy (OICA), at the local level. Third, KIDS COUNT communicates the needs of children and youth, using extensive public youth. Second, KIDS COUNT cultivates leadership on The Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Partnership, a project COUNT "counts kids," providing accurate and up-todate data on the status of Oklahoma's children and uses key strategies to achieve its goal. First, KIDS awareness activities.

in our state. Benchmarks are quantifiable measures that, KIDS COUNT Factbook are low birthweight infants, benchmarks to profile the status of children and youth when taken together, help determine child, family and community well-being. The seven benchmarks in this infant mortality, births to young teens, child abuse & neglect, child death, high school dropouts and juvenile violent crime arrests. This book, the sixth interrelatedness of these benchmarks and how they Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook, shows the The Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook uses mpact each other.



more child to waste. First, we must teen pregnancy, lessen poverty and we must marshal a serious effort to eliminate child abuse. ... Second, improve the care and education of The time is now. There is not one marshal a serious effort to reduce Oklahoma's infants, toddlers and preschool children.

Second, we must marshal a serious effort to improve the

Oklahoma's infants, toddlers and preschool children.

good decisions about state and community budgets and communities and families to improve the benchmarks. using all of this information — and more — to make over time. Progress requires action. Progress requires progress, or the lack of progress, for each benchmark programs that affect children and youth. Effective programs and policies work together with strong From an established baseline, OICA is tracking

More than one in every four Oklahomans (26.3%) is a poverty. Today, almost eight hundred eighty thousand child. One in four (23.7%) of those children live in (879,367) children live, play and go to school in Oklahoma communities. Most young people in Oklahoma are doing well most of the time.

There is good news. Oklahoma KIDS COUNT reveals that only two of the seven benchmarks tracked worsened when compared to data from a dozen years ago:

- Low birthweight infants
 - Child abuse & neglect

Five benchmarks improved over the comparable older data:

- Infant mortality
- · Births to young teens
 - Child death
- High school dropouts
- Juvenile violent crime arrests

thousand (210,470) Oklahoma children live in poverty school. More than one thousand (1,089) are arrested There is bad news. The improved rates obscure the More than fifteen thousand (15,518) are abused or neglected. Almost ten thousand (9,289) quit high Oklahomans each year. More than two hundred for murder, rape, aggravated assault or robbery. challenges faced by large numbers of young

Oklahoma

Number of Children (1998): 879,367

Children are 26.3% of the state population

Child Poverty Rate (1997): 23.7%

hreffaction	् जिन्ह	Worsened	lmproved	ф	Recent Defe	Recent Octo
low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1984-86 12.7%				7.2% of live births 1996-98	3,457 average annual 1996-1998
Infant Mortality	10.5/1000 live births 1984-86			77.7.%	8.1/1000 live births 1996-98	389 average annual 1996-1998
Births to Young Teens	43.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			18.2%	35.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	2,760 average annual 1996-1998
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -95.8%				17.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	15,518 average annual FY 1997-1999
Child Deaths	41.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	÷- 3A	Ī	24.7%	31.3/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	211 average annual 1996-1998
High School Drapouts	5.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95 - 96/97			2.1%	5.4% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97 - 97/98	9,289 average annual school year 1996/97-1998/99
Invenile Violent Crime Arre	Invenile Violent Crime Arrests 334 1/100 000 vauth 10-17 1990/92			18.8%	271.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	1,089 average annual 1997-1999

りづ

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

Εij

Early Childhood Care a state focus on and Education

KIDS COUNT Factbook. Two hundred seventy-five thousand children, are under six years of age. Special attention to early childhood issues is compelled by several factors: children -- are the focus of this edition of the Oklahoma Oklahoma's very young — infants, toddlers and preschool (275,002), or about one-third (31.3%) of Oklahoma's

and educational experiences are vital to a young child's brain development Research increasingly demonstrates that high quality early childhaod care

Oklahoma children are increasingly likely to spend at least part of their early years in the care of people other than their parents. Measures of child well-being indicate problems for Oklahoma's very young

Prevention and early intervention are cost effective investments capable of reaping long term benefits.



to a young child's brain development. that high quality early childhood care and educational experiences are vital Research increasingly demonstrates

that by the time babies were born, the structure of their brains was fixed and determined. As more has it has become understood that the first years of life been learned about how a person's brain develops, As recently as two decades ago, scientists believed have a decisive impact on the architecture of a child's brain and the nature and extent of his or her adult capabilities.

lion cells (neurons) in a child's brain at birth - nearly Effective brain functioning requires a well organized network to rapidly pass signals from one part of the brain to another. The network is composed of brain form to other brain cells. There are roughly 100 bilenough to last a lifetime. Each neuron can produce cells (neurons) and the connections (synapses) they "activate" the synapses and the pathways they form. The majority of synapses are produced during the up to 15,000 connections (synapses). Experiences first three years of life at an astonishing speed.

cognitive and emotional development. Early care has sive, nurturing care. Science demonstrates that this a long-lasting impact on how people develop, their It is not news that babies thrive on warm, respontype of caregiving also plays a vital role in healthy ability to learn and their capacity to regulate their own emotions.

problems. They grow older with the ability to recover Children who are nurtured, protected, provided for, have healthy cognitive and emotional development loved and stimulated before birth and early in life can reap large rewards. These fortunate children capable of cushioning them from life's inevitable rom serious stress or trauma.

drugs or alcohol before birth or who are abandoned, tional control and neurological development underbe destroyed, connections (synapses) reduced, emosevere disadvantage. Their brain cells (neurons) can abused, traumatized, deprived or neglected early in mined, and in some cases, brain function impaired. Children who are exposed to violence, nicotine, life can pay a high price. These children start at a

individual's capabilities are not fixed at birth and the human brain has a remarkable capacity to There is mounting scientific evidence that an

facilitate healthy growth and development — or help intensive interventions, especially during the first few years when the brain's ability to change and compenchange. Parents, friends, caregivers, teachers, doctors compensate for problems — with carefully timed, and service providers have ample opportunity to sate is exceptional. A good start for Oklahoma's youngest will be ineffective if purchased at the expense of their older brothers and sisters. While quality early childhood experiences adolescents if each young Oklahoman is to reach his protection and nurturing of school-age children and healthy human being, they are not enough. Growth provide the crucial foundation necessary to create a is a lifelong venture requiring continuing support, or her optimal development.



before birth and early in life can protected, provided for, Children who are nurtured, loved and stimulated

reap large rewards.

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001


Oklahoma children are increasingly likely

to spend at least part of their early years in the care of people other than their parents.

of five mothers with children younger than six are in women and new welfare requirements which compel Two decades ago the majority of Oklahoma infants, growing number of single-parent families headed by attendance at work or training. Currently, three out home; not so today. Now, two-parent households increasingly need a second income to support the family. The increased participation of Oklahoma women in the workforce is further fueled by the toddlers and preschool children had a parent at the workforce.

spending at least part of their early years in the care With the increasing number of people in the workaccelerate the need for quality, affordable and availforce, a majority of Oklahoma's children are now of people other than their parents. Such changes able child care.

maintained an ambitious schedule of meetings and Charged with undertaking a comprehensive assess-Governor's Task Force on Early Childhood Education investigation during the summer and fall of 2000. ment of Oklahoma's early childhood system, the



han it does to enroll a four-year-old tuition at a public college It costs less to pay the

in Oklahoma often providing poor to mediocre care, Governor's Task Force discovered a child care system to most families. It costs less to pay the tuition at a public college than it does to enroll a four-year-old plagued with high staff turnover, and unaffordable maintaining long waiting lists for young children, affordability of child care would have a significant determined that improvements in the quality and in full-time child care. The Governor's Task Force As indicated in its recently released report, the and long-lasting impact on a child's life and Oklahoma's future.

Early Brain Development: The Science

Brain development is fast, early and permanent.

The process of brain development is energy intensive. The majority of neurons are born in utero, making the first and most important development stage to occur during pregnancy.

The most active brain development period is early childhood. In contrast to body growth which continues into adulthood, brain growth is about 90% adult size by age four.

resulting from deficiencies in utero, infancy and toddlerhood can-Opportunities missed early cannot be recaptured — problems not be fixed in kindergarten.

Brain development is influenced by a child's early experiences.

Experience alters the brain. A child's brain develops and organizes in response to his or her experiences during the first days, weeks, reacting to stimuli, taking in information, processing or storing it. months and years of life — interacting with the environment,

Functionality is compromised if appropriate and rich experiences are withheld during key stages of brain development.

Early childhood experiences provide the arganizing template for the rest of life.

All the structures that allow the complex functioning in adult life are put in place in early childhood. The root care template for all relationships comes from original emotional development are the glue which provide the foundaattachments with primory coregivers. Relationships and socialion for a healthy family, community and society.

Recommendations for Oklahoma Infants, Toddlers and Preschool Children from Birth though Age Four) and their Families December 13, 2000 The Governor's Task Force on Early Childhood Education: Report and

23

Measures of child well-being indicate problems for Oklahoma's very young children.

Many of the key indicators of young child well-being An average of almost 3,500 (3,457) babies a year are their first birthday. More than forty percent (40.6%) racked by KIDS COUNT paint a grim picture for Oklahoma infants, toddlers and preschool children. born too small. Almost 400 (389) a year die before of the victims of child abuse are under age six, with quarters (78.4%) of the deaths attributable to child children that young making up more than threeabuse or neglect. During its comprehensive assessment of Oklahoma's covered several Oklahoma trends which made healthy development more difficult for Oklahoma's youngest: early childhood system, the Governor's Task Force dis-

- headed by a single parent (resulting from rising divorce rates and increasing births an increase in the number of families to unmarried mothers)
- an increase in the number of children not living with either parent (resulting from children being raised by other relatives, growth in foster care placements and incarceration of the child's parent)
- spend with their child (caused by increased hours at work and increased participation a decrease in the amount of time parents of mothers in the workforce)



... a substantial number of young Oklahoma children were exposed can impede early brain by poverty to risks which development.

Oklahoma children were exposed by poverty to risks Many individual schools reported much higher rates. trauma and abuse, poor quality daily care, and poor which can impede early brain development (inadequate nutrition, exposure to environmental toxins, Oklahoma leads the nation in worsening poverty among its youngest children. The Governor's Task health care). The concerns of the Governor's Task statewide, about one-fourth of incoming kinder-Force noted that a substantial number of young garten children were not ready to begin school. Force were substantiated by its discovery that

For the first time, Oklahoma KIDS COUNT ranks Oklahoma's 77 counties based on seven indicators related to early childhood care and education:

dentifying counties with the largest numbers of infants, oddlers and preschool children (birth through age five) Population of Young Children (1998)

measuring the provision of preventive health services mmunizations Completed by Age Two (1995) to infants and toddlers in the community

measuring community environmental hazards dangerous Elevated Lead-based Poisoning Tests (1994 - 1997) to young children

counting children born into the community less likely to have adequate emotional and financial resources Births to Mathers Under Age 20 (1998)

counting pregnancies in the community likely to result Inadequate Prenatal Care (1998) in health problems for the babies

community for children under age six with working parents measuring the availability of licensed child care in the Child Care Availability (2000)

a measure of the presence of very poor infants, toddlers Young Child Poverty Rates (1997, estimates) and preschool children in the community

A State Profile: Early Childhood Care and Education

which the higher the number, the more improvement each of the seven indicators. All measures were given the same weight, making no attempt to judge relative tracked from year to year. Each county is ranked on are totaled into an Early Childhood County Index in the county needs to achieve in order to provide the hensive picture of a county's early childhood status Taken together, these indicators provide a compreimportance. The seven individual county rankings in a manner which can be ranked, updated and best start for its youngest citizens.

tions of babies and their families in America's largest cities finding that both of Oklahoma's largest cities, Oklahoma City and Tulsa, follow this inauspicious Special Report, The Right Start, assessed the condithose elsewhere. A recent national KIDS COUNT Birth outcomes in large cities are not as good as national trend.

(61.0%) and Tulsa (57.5%) were classified as healthy based on birthweight, APGAR score, prenatal care smaller proportion of the births in Oklahoma City Compared to Oklahoma as a whole (62.5%), a

Oklahoma City and Tulsa were less healthy than the average for the nation's fifty largest cities (61.6%). received and length of gestation). Births in

comparable percent for Oklahoma as a whole (8.0%). worse (higher) than the average for the nation's fifty both Oklahoma City (9.1%) and Tulsa (8.8%) was The percent of births classified as at risk based on unmarried, less than twelve years of education) in largest cities (8.6%) and worse (higher) than the the mother's characteristics (under age twenty,

Early Childhood Status

275,002	76.0%	3.82/1000	1625/1000	6.2%	0.579	29.0%	
1998	1995	1994-97	1998	1998	2000	1661	
State Data Child Population < 6	Immunizations by Age 2	Lead-based Poisoning	Births to Mothers < 20	Inadequate Prenatal Care	Child Care Availability	Poverty - Children < 5	

Charges	Occusy, State 166 179 891 Pay Company (Magdishar league) (Magdishar league) (Magdishar league) (Maddishar le	Earthum Undule Control
Gineaxon Texas Ceate F	County Rankings	Factors: Population under age 6, Immunizations completed by age 2, Rate of elevated lead poisoning tests, Proportion of births to mothers under age 20, Inadequate prenatal care, Availability of child care, Rate of poverty among children under age 5

() ()

Prevention and early intervention are cost effective investments capable of reaping long term benefits.

welfare dependence, decreased crime, and more ... all

High/Scope Perry Preschool Project demonstrated

that quality preschool programs decreased the need

later years. For example, the benchmark respected

remediation, treatment or crisis intervention in

saving taxpayer dollars at the rate of \$7.16 for every

public dollar invested in quality preschool services.

More recently, the Abecedarian Project demonstrated

similarly impressive results through a carefully

In undertaking its assessment of early childhood, the Governor's Task Force looked both within and outside approaches for improving Oklahoma's early childhood care and education system. The Governor's Task Force resulted in positive, long lasting results, particularly documented that high quality care and education Oklahoma borders to identify effective ideas and among low-income children.

and early intervention strategies were cost effective The Governor's Task Force concluded that prevention and held great promise, reducing the need for ment in young children. Documented positive effects

programs improve the course of intellectual develop-

improved language, fewer instances of grade retention,

included higher IQ and cognitive performance,

decreased need for special education, higher reading

and math achievement scores, higher levels of formal

education, delayed parenthood, and, for teen

results of the Abecedarian Project were only partially

realized in Oklahoma.

Impressive savings would flow to taxpayers if the

mothers, higher rates of post-high school education.

received individualized educational activities consisting

of "games" that were incorporated into his or her

health care services. The Abecedarian children each

supportive social services and access to primary Both groups were assured adequate nutrition,

quality child care setting with infants who did not.

families who received early intervention in a high

day. By following the children's progress through age 21, the Abecedarian Project found that high-quality, intensive, sustained, multi-faceted early intervention

> child's unique opportunities Success requires that parents are acknowledged as their child's first and most important teacher and that parents have access to whatever they need to make the most of each to develop.



acknowledged as their child's first and most important and affordable, providing developmentally-appropriate are necessary to develop and maintain healthy minds teacher and that parents have access to whatever they need to make the most of each child's unique opportunities to develop. Preschool and early intervention support. Child care must be of high quality, and safe Ensuring that Oklahoma children have the best start home environment. Success requires that parents are preventive services, physical activity, and nutrition income, socially vulnerable and have developmental intervention programs, child care and health care. prepare children for school and assure that children programs provide developmental support to help start school ready to learn. Children who are lowpossible requires understanding the value of and care while parents are at work or away from home. Health care coverage and access to primary and Family support helps families provide a nurturing delays benefit greatly from such developmental investing in family support, preschool and early and bodies. for special schooling, increased employment, reduced controlled study comparing infants from low-income

were being stymied by the absence of a comprehensive, Force found that Oklahoma's creativity and concern Oklahoma has made a good start by implementing coordinated policy structure focusing on families Oklahoma children. However, the Governor's Task investments in the early care and education of innovative programs and making significant and communities.

A State Profile: Early Childhood Care and Education

This long-term early childhood initiative is designed will be healthy, eager to learn, and ready to succeed by advocated four key strategies (accompanied in the The recently released Governor's Task Force report to achieve their vision that all Oklahoma children report by numerous detailed recommendations). the time they enter school.

Implementation of the Governor's Task Force strategies toddlers and preschool children. To take such action and future investments in family support, preschool health care. To do otherwise will neglect Oklahoma's will maximize the benefits of Oklahoma's current promises the right start for Oklahoma's infants, and early intervention programs, child care and oungest and Oklahoma's future.

and bodies.

to primary and preventive services, physical activity, and nutrition are Health care coverage and access necessary to develop and maintain healthy minds

Strategy One:

policy promoting early Enact a strong public childhood care

and education

Create a statewide Strategy Two:

childhood partnership public-private early

engagement campaign

comprehensive public

Strategy Four:

Strategy Three:

Implement a

to provide environments Mobilize communities that support children and families

Low Birthweight Infants

Each year nearly thirty-five hundred Oklahoma babies (3,457 average annual) are born too small (weighing less than 5½ pounds).

A disquieting and increasing number of these low-weight infants (586 average annual) are born very, very tiny (weighing less than 3 pounds, 5 ounces).

During the most recent three-year period (1996 - 1998) the proportion of Oklahoma babies born too small slowly and steadily continued to worsen (increasing from 7.1% to 7.2% of all births) when compared to the three year period just one year earlier (1995 - 1997). Comparable rates also worsened during the year in most (44 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.

This trend has continued for years. A higher proportion of Oklahoma babies (7.2% of all births) are born too small today as compared to the population born in the mid-1980's (6.4% of all births), with such rates also worsening in the majority (43 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same period.

The rates of low birthweight births in the majority of Oklahoma counties (40 of 77) were worse than the average county rate (6.8% of all county births). The highest and worst low birthweight rate (10.4% of all county births) is found in Cimarron County; the lowest and best (2.2% of all county births) is in Grant County during this most recent period.

30


The low birthweight rate for African American infants (12.5% of all African American births) continues to nearly double the rate for White infants (6.7% of all White births). By race, the best low birthweight rate is recorded for American Indian infants (6.3% of all American Indian births). Hispanic mothers, who may be of any race, have an even lower rate of babies born too small (6.0% of all Hispanic births).

While early prenatal care is known to improve these rates, over one-third (35.8%) of Oklahoma's babies were born to mothers who failed to receive the recommended level of prenatal care during the most recent three-year period reported.

Ranking near the middle of all the states, Oklahoma's rate of low birthweight infants is average relative to other parts of the country. Oklahoma's rank among the fifty states improved from 24th to 21st during the most recent year (1997) measured.

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

» Low birthweight is a predictor of early child-hood problems. Some die. Almost 60 percent of the infant deaths each year result directly from low birthweight. In Oklahoma seven out of 10 infants who die in the first month of life are low-weight babies. Of those who live, one in four will experience serious health and developmental problems —- dyslexia, hyperactivity, blindness, deafness, chronic respiratory problems, mental retardation, mental illness, cerebral palsy, and more.



Babies Weighing Under 51/2 Pounds

Oklahoma, 1998, By Race and Ethnicity

S S

- birthweight infant can reach more than \$500,000, while prenatal care (which is likely to prevent low " Low birthweight is expensive and preventable. The health costs over the lifetime of one low birthweight) can cost as little as \$750.
- 3 pounds, 5 ounces) babies experience cerebral ounces) babies later enroll in special education. harm. Twenty percent of very tiny (less than palsy or other forms of brain injury. Fifty The lower the birthweight, the greater the percent of very tiny (less than 3 pounds, 5 夵

Caring about young children requires caring about ensuring appropriate health care, reducing poverty, requiring a focus on prenatal care and education. pregnancy, or fail to receive adequate prenatal care. » The first and most important period of infant Infants are at risk of being born too small if their mothers are poor, are adolescents, smoke during their mothers by providing them with education and adequate nutrition, reducing teen births, brain development occurs during pregnancy, changing behavior during pregnancy to reduce pregnancy, drink alcohol or take drugs during

smoking, drinking and drug use, and providing a greater number of expectant mothers with adequate prenatal care.



g B

223 93 **F** 8 Decrey)

8

Three-Year Percent of Infants Born Weighing Less Than Five and One-half Pounds; Oklahoma & Counties: 1996-1998,

Low Birthweight Infants

State Rate: 7.2%, Average of County Rates: 6.8%

9 B જુ

BB

3}3

Y S Company

9

Surface Control

Reminiter

(effero

350

J 223 (Jefe B

9 Telling (

Metanth

Grading O.D

(<u>Geodeca)</u>

igo (Arabo)

Ø

ශු

920

G G

99

EO roghyram

ð

222 (Tours)

3

3 8

200

350

3

Gunt

8

3

SS SS g B Commignation

> 10 Best Counties Above Average Below Average

More than forty-three percent (1,498 per year or 43.3%) of

the infants weighing less than five and one-half pounds at

birth were born to mothers who live in one of the state's two

large metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County)

which together account for about forty percent (40.3%) of

Oklahoma's births.

器厂

10 Worst Counties

Infant Mortality

Each year nearly four hundred babies born in Oklahoma (389 average annual) do not live to see their first birthday.

On the average at least one Oklahoma infant dies every day.

The rate of Oklahoma infants who die in their first year of life worsened slightly during the most recent three years (1996 - 1998) when compared to the three-year period just one year earlier (1995 - 1997). Oklahoma infant death rates worsened from 8.0 to 8.1 deaths per 1,000 live births, with comparable rates also worsening in less than half (35 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.

Despite the recent slight increase, infant death rates have declined substantially over the past dozen years. Today, proportionately fewer Oklahoma babies (8.1 per 1,000 live births) die in their first year of life than did in the mid-1980's (10.5 per 1,000 live births), with such rates also improving in the large majority (55 of 77) of Oklahoma counties during the same period.

The rate of infant death in the majority of Oklahoma counties (43 of 77) was better than the average county rate (8.0 per 1,000 live births).


During this most recent period, the highest and worst infant death rate (32.3 per 1,000 live births) is found in Roger Mills County; the lowest and best (0.0 per 1,000 live births) is found in six Oklahoma counties (Major, Grant, Cimarron, Ellis, Washira and Greer).

Infant death rates have improved for all races since the mid-1980's. However, the most recent death rate for African American infants (15.3 per 1,000 African American births) remains more than twice as high as the comparable rate for White infants (7.4 per 1,000 White births). The lowest death rate is recorded for American Indian infants (7.2 per 1,000 American Indian births).

Ranking in the bottom half of all the states, Oklahoma's rate of infant death is only fair relative to other parts of the country. Even so, Oklahoma's rank among the fifty states improved from 39th to 30th during the most recent year (1997) in which states were compared.

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

- » Infant death typically results directly from inadequate early care. Causes of death include poverty, inadequate living conditions, abuse, neglect, preventable injuries, accidents and infections.
- » The infant mortality rate is an indicator of a community's overall quality of life. The rate reflects the effectiveness of educational, economic, social and health care measures.








S S

a focus on early childhood care. Lowering infant Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), ensuring adequate topics such as ways to reduce the risk of Sudden nutrition, reducing teen births, providing appropriate health care, reducing poverty, lowering child abuse mortality rates requires providing education on » Reducing the number of infant deaths requires rates, changing behavior during pregnancy to

providing a greater number of expectant mothers

with adequate prenatal care.

reduce smoking, drinking and drug use, and



Infant Mortality

& Counties: 1996-1998, State Rate: 8.1 per 1000 Live Births, Average of County Rates: 8.0 per 1000 Live Births

metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which Oklahoma's births and are populated with just under forty Nearly forty-four percent (169 per year or 43.4%) of the infant deaths occurred in one of the state's two large together account for about forty percent (40.3%) of percent (38.2%) of Oklahoma's infants.

10 Best Counties Above Average

000

Perlameter

8

B

0%

8

Community

S

퉏

(Infortiff)

Coopera Oro

122 | Bryan

(effine

Below Average

10 Worst Counties

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001 $4\,0$

Births To Young Teems

For the most recent three year period, an average of nearly eight thousand (7,981) babies were born to Oklahoma females under the age of twenty. Each year about five thousand (5,086) of those babies were born to teen mothers ages 18 and 19. Nearly three thousand (2,895) Oklahoma schoolage girls (age 17 and under) had babies. One hundred thirty-five of those school age girls were between ren and fourteen years of age.

On the average, every three hours an Oklahoma child (age 17 or younger) becomes a mother.

The rate of births to Oklahoma young teens continued its modest decline (from 37.0 to 35.9 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) during the most recent three years (1996-1998) when compared to the three-year period just one year earlier (1995-1997). Birth rates for that age group showed some improvement in most Oklahoma counties (43 of 77) during the same period.

The progress made in decreasing the high Oklahoma birth rate to young teens of the mid-1980's (from 43.9 to 35.9 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) has been evident at the county level where such rates also improved in nearly every (69 of 77) Oklahoma county during the same period of time.

The rates of births to young teens in the majority of Oklahoma counties (42 of 77) were worse than


the average county rate (34.9 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17). During this most recent period, the highest and worst rate (79.6 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) is found in Harmon County; the lowest and best (8.3 births per 1,000 girls ages 15 through 17) is in Dewey County.

The large majority (67.9%, including Other) of Oklahoma's young teen mothers are White. However, while non-White teenage girls comprise only about one-fifth (21.6%) of the teenage girl population, they account for almost one-third (32.1%) of the teenage girls giving birth in Oklahoma.

Oklahoma's teen childbearing rate was substantially above other states in 1980, but by 1997, the gap had narrowed. Even so, Oklahoma's young teen childbearing ranking relative to other states is poor, with more than two-thirds (37 states) having a teen (age 15 through 17) birth rate lower than Oklahoma during the most recent year (1997) compared.

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

- "Improving early childhood requires Oklahoma to reduce teen pregnancy. The cycles of generational poverty prevent too many children from receiving the physical, emotional, and mental nurturing necessary during their early developmental years. Children born to teen mothers are more likely to repeat the cycle of teen childbearing, to be born too small, to have lifelong developmental and health problems, to have lower cognitive functioning, to do poorly in school, and to exhibit problem behavior.
- » Young mothers are the most at-risk for being poor parents and face bleak futures along with their children. Each young mother is more likely to be poor, to be a victim of physical violence, to



Births to Mothers Ages 15-17

Oklahoma, By Race 1996-98

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001


instability compared to peers who delay childbearing drop out of school, to have unsteady employment, life, to be less skilled, and to experience marital have another child before reaching adulthood, to to be on public assistance at some point in her until age twenty or later.

school and academic failure are good predictors of Education and reading is one key to reducing teen births and improving the lives of young early childbearing. Children who don't read well children. Poor literacy skills, poor progress in ҳ

are at risk for early pregnancy and early parenting. There is a relationship between how much a child has been read to and how well they learn to read. Only half of infants and toddlers are routinely read to by their parents.

involvement in activities during non-school hours and opportunities to develop decision-making and Communities and families can work together community, school and family decreases the risk to reduce teen births. Being connected with of teen pregnancy and birth. School success,

and women make good choices and avoid becoming teen parents. Having healthy family communication and training beyond high school help young men aspirations for the future and goals for education problem-solving skills join to prevent premature and positive peer and adult role models decrease pregnancy and birth. Having positive options, the likelihood that children will have children.



Births to Young Teens

Three-Year Rate of Births per 1000 Female Teens Age 15 through 17; Oklahoma: 1996-98, State Rate: 35.9 per 1000 girls, Average of County Rates: 34.9 per 1000 girls

Well over one-third (1,007 per year or 36.5%) of the births one of the state's two large metropolitan counties (Oklahoma to mothers age 15 through 17 occurred to mothers living in or Tulsa County) which together are populated with just under one-third (32.7%) of the girls age 15 through 17.

10 Worst Counties Above Average Below Average

Ei

Child Abuse & Neglect

five thousand (55,711) situations were investigated by the Oklahoma Department of Human Services For the most recent three-year period (Fiscal Years 1997 - 1999) an average annual of more than fifty-(DHS) as possible abuse and/or neglect of one or more children.

high number of those allegations. On average more During this period DHS confirmed a near recordthan fifteen thousand (15,518) of the incidents investigated each year were found to be actual abuse and/or neglect.

In Oklahoma, approximately every thirty-four minutes a child is a victim of confirmed abuse and/or neglect.

15.9 to 17.7 confirmations per 1,000 children in the large majority (62 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties. the state, with comparable rates also worsening in abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma continued to rise when compared to the three-year period just During the most recent three-year period (Fiscal Years 1997 - 1999), the rate of confirmed child Oklahoma abuse and neglect rates moved from one year earlier (Fiscal Years 1996 - 1998).

per 1,000 children) is close to double what it was Child abuse and neglect is maintaining its record high rates. Today, Oklahoma's rate of confirmed child abuse and/or neglect (17.7 confirmations in the mid-1980's (9.0 confirmations per 1,000 every (71 of 77) Oklahoma county during the children). Such rates also worsened in almost same period.


1,000) is found in Pushmataha County; the lowest The rates of confirmed child abuse and/or neglect in the majority of Oklahoma counties (45 of 77) confirmations per 1,000 children in the county) During this most recent period, the highest and victims of child abuse and/or neglect (42.9 per worst proportion of children confirmed to be were better than the average county rate (18.7 and best (6.0 per 1,000) is in Beaver County.

children ranging from preschoolers to children old enough to be in high school. The oldest known to through three). The dead also included school age nave died at the hands of their caretakers this year eight boys of all races. Twenty were less than one was the victim of neglect. Three died from abuse. from child abuse and/or neglect during FY 1999. Among the dead were nineteen girls and twentywere four fifteen and sixteen year old girls. One A total of forty-seven Oklahoma children died year of age; fifteen were toddlers (ages one

who are White. However, while non-White children a nationality rather than a race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of Oklahoma's child population. abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma involve children neglect incidents. Hispanic children, recorded as The large majority (66.4%) of confirmations of comprise only about one-fifth (21.7%) of the A lower proportion of confirmed abuse and/or neglect in Oklahoma (3.0%) involved children unknown) of the confirmed child abuse and/or proportion (37.4%, excluding Hispanics and child population, they account for a greater counted as Hispanic.

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

Child abuse and neglect hurts and kills Oklahoma ikely to die. More than three-quarters (78.4%) of during this time were children under six years old. infants, toddlers and preschool children. During age. Oklahoma's youngest abuse victims are more the deaths attributable to child abuse or neglect 1999), more than forty percent (40.6%) of the An Oklahoma child dying as a result of abuse or victims of child abuse were not yet six years of the most recent three-year period (FY 1997 neglect is most frequently an infant.




& Neglect Confirmations By Race of Child, Child Abuse

Oklahoma, FY 1997-1999

46

- Oklahoma child abuse and neglect remains high, year. Abuse and neglect related deaths continue to FY 1999 Oklahoma child abuse confirmations fell just under the record high registered the prior increase. Deaths from neglect are more common with child abuse and neglect deaths worsening. than deaths from abuse. ҳ
- childhood problems. In Oklahoma, child victims Child abuse and neglect is a predictor of early Baby Syndrome is a common cause. Half of the of abuse are most commonly beaten or sexually abused. Mental injuries are on the rise; Shaken ҳ
- young victims will suffer delay in their development of very young Oklahoma children removed from their homes to live in foster care has grown rapidly Attachment disorders are common. The number or serious physical or emotional impairments. over the past decade.
- infants, infants born premature, and children with disabilities, chronic illnesses or developmental Early childhood problems are a predictor of child abuse and neglect. Low birthweight delay are at higher risk of abuse and neglect. ^
- babies, in turn, face bleak futures. Victims become twenty-one years of age. There is a direct relationthe offspring of mothers who are either twenty or to be victims of child abuse and neglect than are adolescent mothers are more than twice as likely ship between being a victim of sexual abuse and abusers. Research indicates that victims of child ater teen pregnancy. Teen mothers and their toddlers and preschool children. Children of abuse are at a higher risk of abusing their own threatening future generations of infants, Child abuse and neglect is part of a cycle children when they become parents. ^



(Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together are populated confirmed incidents of child abuse andlor neglect occurred with just over one-third (34.6%) of Oklahoma's children. Just under one-third (4,917 per year or 31.7%) of the in one of the state's two large metropolitan counties

Below Average

10 Worst Counties

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

Εij

Child & Teen Death

four hundred forty-four died each year. Just under the ages of 1 through 19 die every week. During half (47.5% or 211) of these annual child deaths Abour nine Oklahoma children and youth from are children from the ages of 1 through 14; the the most recent three-year period an average of remainder are teenagers (ages 15 through 19). The average annual rate of death among Oklahoma children from the ages of 1 through 14 improved when compared to the three-year period just one slightly (from 33.2 to 31.3 per 100,000) during the most recent three-year period (1996 - 1998) Oklahoma counties (45 of 77) child death rates stayed the same or improved during the year. year earlier (1995 - 1997). In a majority of

A lower rate (31.3 per 100,000) of children from in the mid-1980's (41.5 per 100,000), with such large majority (51 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties the ages of 1 through 14 die currently than did rates also improving or staying the same in the during the same period.

through 14 in the majority of Oklahoma counties rate (31.8 per 100,000 children age 1 through 14 The rates of death for children from the ages of 1 county) is found in Dewey County; the lowest per 100,000 children age 1 through 14 in the (46 of 77) were better than the average county the highest and worst rate of child death (193.9 in the county). During this most recent period, and best (0.0 per 100,000) is found in nine Oklahoma counties (Roger Mills, Johnston,

Woods, Harmon, Major, Ellis, Marshall, Beaver and Nowata).

deaths during the most recent three year period were accidental. Oklahoma children and teens More than half (52.2%) of the child and teen are less likely to die of diseases (26.4%) or violence (21.4%).


of all such African American deaths and well over American is more than twice as likely to die of Violence among non-white children and teens is more common with well over one-third (37.1%) one-fourth (28.6%) of all such American Indian deaths resulting from violence. A young African violence in Oklahoma than his or her White peer (17.9%).

almost twice the national average. The number of Oklahoma's young people kill themselves at a rate While most are older White male adolescents, voung people committing suicide continues to recent Oklahoma suicides have victimized all victims under age 20 each year (1996 - 1998). races, both sexes and children as young as ten. ncrease with an average of forty Oklahoma

remains among the worst (44th in child death) states for the most recent year compared (1997) the 1980's, Oklahoma's ranking relative to other Even with the improvement in death rates since in the nation.

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

- vears of age. More than half (53.1%) of Oklahoma's up a large portion of child deaths in Oklahoma. child deaths (ages 1 through 14) were not yet six During the most recent three-year period (1996-Infants, toddlers and preschool children make 1998), almost forty-five percent (44.2%) of all voungest were victims of accidental death.
- and birth defects, to injury and violence. Children the leading causes of death for children and teens Disquieting trends. Over the last several decades, have changed from natural causes, such as illness increasingly imitate the violence they observe on



Children & Teens Deaths Among

☐ Disease

Three-year Percent, By Cause, By Race, Oklahoma 1996-1998


☐ Accident Violence Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

television. Poor children are three times as likely to die during their childhood than non-poor children.

ҳ

school and family decreases the risk of violence and childhood care. Being connected with community, money makes it difficult to obtain appropriate and children are less likely to receive lifesaving preventive Decreasing child death requires a focus on early death. One out of every six children has no health insurance. Half of those are poor. Risk of death necessary medical care. Young, poor and minority services. One in five Oklahoma two-year-olds has increases when the lack of health insurance or

providing effective education and ensuring adequate prevent illness, disease and medical problems. Risk not received all the immunizations necessary to of accidents and the resulting death increases for safety devices due to lack of money, lack of transportation to obtain them and/or a lack of control low-income families as they are less likely to use over their housing conditions. Reducing poverty, health care prevents premature death.



Child Deaths

Three-Year Rate per 100,000 Children Ages 1 through 14; Oklahoma & Counties: 1996-98, State Rate: 31.3 per 100,000 children, Average of County Rates: 31.8 per 100,000 children

metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together Over thirty-seven percent (79 per year or 37.4%) of the children age I through 14 who died lived in one of the state's two large are populated with just over one-third (34.9%) of Oklahoma children age 1 through 14.

Below Average #1

10 Worst Counties

High School Dropouts

During the most recent three-year period (School Years 1996/97 - 1998/99) an annual average of more than eleven thousand (11,286) young Oklahomans quit school without graduating. Over nine thousand (9,289) of those dropping out were ages 18 or younger.

Nearly one of every five students in Oklahoma who starts high school as a freshman does not earn a high school diploma. Compared to any other grade, the largest numbers leave school during the ninth grade.

Even though the rate of children leaving school without graduating improved slightly (from 5.5% to 5.4%) during the most recent three-year period when compared to the three-year period just one year earlier, the average number of Oklahoma children (under age 19) dropping out of high school (grades 9 through 12) each year is virtually the same. The dropout rates improved in a little more than half (40 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same périod.

Oklahoma's high school dropout rate (5.4%) during the most recent three-year period is slightly better, but remains close, to that of the comparison three-year period (5.5% for School Years 1994/95 - 1996/97). Such rates also improved in a small majority (41 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties during the same period.

54

The dropout rates were worse than the average county rate (4.3%) in most of Oklahoma's counties (42 of 77). During this most recent period, the highest and worst rate of children leaving school without graduating (7.6%) is found in Hughes County; the lowest and best (0.8%) is in Dewey County.


During School Year 1998/99 the proportion of high school dropouts who were girls (45.1%) and the proportion who were boys (54.9%) did not change from the prior year.

During the most recent year on record (School Year 1998/99) the large majority (61.0%) of children failing to finish high school are White. However, while non-White children comprise only about one-fifth (21.7%) of the child population, they account for about one-third (33.4%, excluding Hispanics) of the high school dropouts. Hispanic children, recorded as a nationality rather than a race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of Oklahoma's child population. A slightly higher proportion of Oklahoma dropouts (8.5%) are counted as Hispanic.

During the most recent year for which comparison data is available (1997), Oklahoma remained at about the middle of all states (29th) in the percent of teens who are high school dropouts (9.0% of those ages 16 through 19).

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

» Preventing youth from dropping out of high school begins before kindergarten. Children from families whose parents never completed high school, or started the family as teens themselves, are more likely to drop out than their peers. Poor literacy skills, poor progress in school and academic failure push young people out of school. If a child can't read well by the end of third grade, their entire school experience is at risk. There is a relationship between how much a child has been read to and how well they learn to read to by their parents.



Students Quitting Migh School Without Graduating

All Ages, By Race, Oklahoma School Year 1998-1999

こら


Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

education are likely to be born too small, to have lifelong developmental and health problems, to in school, to exhibit problem behavior, to have babies too soon and too often, and to drop out have lower cognitive functioning, to do poorly Children born to mothers without adequate Oklahoma must lower its high school dropout rate in order to improve early childhood care. of school themselves.

Lack of education is part of a cycle threatening preschool children. A parent's lack of education future generations of infants, toddlers and ^

of a lifetime of financial dependence. Unemployment physical, emotional, and mental nurturing necessary opportunity, poor earning ability and the possibility dropouts than for graduates. The lack of education is intertwined with crime. A majority of Oklahoma consequences including poverty, little employment thousand Oklahoma infants, toddlers and preschool rates for workers over age 19 are twice as high for during their early developmental years. Youth not inmates were high school dropouts. About four children have one, both or their only parent in completing high school face severe economic prevents too many children from receiving the

prison. High school dropouts and their babies face bleak futures. Research indicates that children of high school dropouts are at a higher risk of quitting school themselves.



3

9

Charles of the Control of the Contro

g

under age 19 who quit high school without graduating live in or Tulsa County) which together are populated with just under Almost forty percent (3,672 per year or 39.5%) of the children one of the state's two large metropolitan counties (Oklahoma one-third (31.2%) of Oklahoma's high school attendees.

10 Worst Counties

医調

Juvenile Violent Grime Arrests

In 1998, juveniles accounted for just under one-fifth (18.5%) of all persons arrested for all Oklahoma crimes.

During the most recent three-year period (1997 - 1999) more than a thousand (1,089) Oklahoma youths from the ages of 10 through 17 were arrested each year for committing violent crimes. Each year an average of twenty-six were arrested for murder, three for manslaughter, 60 for forcible rape, 268 for robbery and 732 for aggravated assault.

During these three years the overwhelming majority of youth arrested for violent crimes in Oklahoma were male (83.8%).

During the most recent three-year period (1997-1999), the proportion of Oklahoma youths from the ages of 10 through 17 arrested for violent crimes continued to decrease when compared to the three-year period just one year earlier (1996-1998). Oklahoma violent crime arrest rates for this age group moved from 297.9 to 271.4 per 100,000 youth, with comparable rates staying the same or improving in most (56 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.

The current proportion of Oklahoma youths from the ages of 10 through 17 arrested for violent crimes continues to improve from the record highs recorded during the 1990's. The rate of arrest for these youth (271.4 arrests per 100,000 youth) during the most recent three-year period (1997 - 1999) is substantially improved over that of the comparison three-year period (334.1 arrests

per 100,000 youth for 1990 - 1992). Comparable rates also improved or remained the same during the same period in just under half (36 of 77) of Oklahoma's counties.


The current juvenile violent crime arrest rate is better than the average county rate (161.2 arrests per 100,000 youth) in most of Oklahoma's counties (45 of 77). During this most recent period, the highest and worst rate of youths from the ages of 10 through 17 (568.3 arrests per 100,000 youth) arrested for violent crimes is found in Tulsa County. The lowest and best rates (0.0 arrests per 100,000 youth) are in seven Oklahoma counties (Alfalfa, Beaver, Ellis, Grant, Harper, Love and Roger Mills).

While non-White children comprise only about one-fifth (21.7%) of the child population, they accounted for nearly half (44.1%) of the violent crime arrests of children under age 18 during the most recent three-year period. Hispanic children, recorded as a nationality rather than a race, comprise just over five percent (5.5%) of Oklahoma's child population. A similar proportion of the juvenile violent crime arrests in Oklahoma (5.7%) are arrests of Hispanic youth.

Based on the latest figures available, Oklahoma's 1997 rate (289 per 100,000 youths ages 10 through 17) of arresting young people for violent crimes continued to remain well below the corresponding national violent crime arrest rate (412 per 100,000 youths ages 10 through 17).

Impact on early childhood and Oklahoma's future

" Juvenile crime prevention begins in early childhood. Experts blame the rise in juvenile crime on the failure of families, schools, and communities to recognize early warning signs. Being connected with community, school and family decreases the risk of later violence, death and crime. Denying an at-risk child access to a quality child development program may multiply by five times the risk that he or she will be a chronic law-breaker as an adult. Children enrolled in public-funded Child-Parent Centers had their risk of being arrested for juvenile crimes cut in half. Children receiving care in high quality



Kill Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

50

ERIC Fruitset Provided by ERIC

centers as infants and toddlers were only half as likely to have serious behavioral problems upon entering kindergarten. Impressionable young children are numbed to the real effects of violence by viewing over 10,000 murders, rapes and aggravated assaults each year on television. Children imitate the violence watched on television in their own homes.


» Early childhood problems are a predictor of future crime. Children at higher risk for involvement in violence and crime include boys born to teen mothers, children from families whose parents never completed high school and young people

doing poorly in school. Victims of child abuse and neglect are more likely than others to later be arrested for delinquent behavior, adult criminality, crimes of violence and prostitution. Girls who are abused and neglected in childhood are seventyseven percent more likely to be arrested as juveniles. A young poor child is more likely than a non-poor one to be a current victim and a later perpetrator of violent crime.

» Disquieting trends. The number of juveniles arrested for carrying or being in the possession of a weapon is on the rise in Oklahoma. Juvenile drug possession arrests have tripled in the past decade.

Juvenile arrests for violent crimes are outpacing juvenile arrests for non-violent crimes. Police in Oklahoma are increasingly likely to refer juvenile violators to criminal or adult court.

» Fight Crime – Invest in Kids. Good educational child care is one of the most powerful weapons against crime. Poor quality child care multiplies the risk that a child will grow up to be a threat to society. Nine out of ten police chiefs say crime would be greatly reduced by expanding educational child care programs and after-school programs. Failure to invest now will result in paying far more later in crime, welfare and other costs.



Well over half (621 per year or 57.0%) of the violent crime arrests of youths from the age of 10 through 17 were in one of the state's two large metropolitan counties (Oklahoma or Tulsa County) which together are populated with only one-third (32.6%) of all youths age 10 through 17.

10 Worst Counties

Ei

တ်





Adair County County Seat: Stillwell

Children are 30.2% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 6,147

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,063 Child Poverty Rate: 33.3%

Inellector	ලපල ලදල	- Werremed	Improved	Ф	Recent Defe	रिटामीर
Low Birthweight	5.3% of live births 1984-86	.10.2%			6.1% of live births 1996-98	11
Infant Mortality	9.3/1000 live births 1984-86			22.0%	7.3/1000 live births 1996-98	37
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 67.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			7.6%	62.1/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	75
Child Abuse & Neglect	8.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.278.5%			29.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	17
Child Deaths	7.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-293.9%			29.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	39
High School Dropouts	6.6% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			23.6%	5.1% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	57
Violent Crime Arrests	37.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	.265.9%			135.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	4

1994-97 1995

Lead-based Poisoning

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

Early Childhood Status

1998 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

		RANKED	
Early Childhood Status		(e)	=
Child Population < 6	1998	364	æ
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	76.0%	5
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97	0.62/1000	5 7
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	105.3/100	5
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	4.0%	=
Child Care Availability	2000	0.218	ž
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	25.0%	,

County	Cherokee	
Alfalfa	County Seat:	

Children are 21.2% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,279

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 💛 state change 🗅 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 265 Child Poverty Rate: 20.4%

Mencanor	ාලපම මල්ල	0	Worsened	Improved	ф	Recent Data Rents	Remar
Low Birthweight	3.5% of live births 1984-86	-37.4%				5.6% of live births 1996-98	7
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1984-86				###	6.1/1000 live births 1996-98	24
Births to Young Teens	27.8/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				39.5%	16.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	4
Child Abuse & Neglect 12.1 o	12.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-53.4%	ű.			18.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	44
Child Deaths	57.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-80.8%				104.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	76
High School Dropouts	2.8% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				62.6%	1.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	က
Violent Crime Arrests	266.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

	1
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
1.8% 1.7.75	
MAN TO THE TANK	
}!	
G	

		RANKED
cariy unitanooa status		R
Child Population < 6	1998	948
mmunizations by Age 2	1995	%0'./9
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97	1.02/
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	2067 _{/con}
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	7.7%
Child Care Availability	2000	0.544
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	43.4%

Atoka County		Number of Children (1998): 3,387	98): 3,387	Number o	Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,225	25
County Seat: Atoka		Children are 25.6% of the county population	e county population	Child Pov	Child Poverty Rate: 35.4%	c I
Inclienter	මයන මත්ග	- Wereamed] Improved	Ф	Recent Poto	। अटामार
Low Birthweight	7.1% of live births 1984-86	.9.4%	1.		6.3% of live births 1996-98	63
Infant Mortality	7.9/1000 live births 1984-86	.37%			8.2/1000 live births 1996-98	45
Births to Young Teens	40.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			9.3%	37.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	46
Child Abuse & Neglect	7.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-146.4%	American	18.6 con	18.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	45
Child Deaths	38.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			65.8% 13.	13.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	13
High School Dropouts	2.0% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97	.52.2%		3.1%	3.1% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	18
Violent Crime Arrests	20.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			5.4% 19.	19.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	œ
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛘 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖	county change ### = zero	base - cannot calcula	re change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

③②⑤回Vの作 GのUの作》 Number of Children (1998): 1,686 Number of Poor Children (1997): 228 Children (1997): 228 Children 228 Ch
--

	county court search							
	Inclidence	වෙසම මත්ව	0	Worsemed	Umproved	Ф	Recent Defe	उटामीय
	Low Birthweight	3.4% of live births 1984-86	.151.4%				8.2% of live births 1996-98	69
RANKED		7.6/1000 live births 1984-86	.112.1%	7/			16.1/1000 live births 1996-98	74
\$ (Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 35.0/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				7.0%	32.6/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	32
78.0%	Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 1.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-231.6%				6.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	_
0.0/1000	Child Deaths	23.3/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for 1
253.5/1000	High School Dropouts 1.8% of	1.8% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-6.3%				2.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	6
0.292	Violent Crime Arrests	Violent Crime Arrests 41.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1

1998

Child Population < 6

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 1995

Lead-based Poisoning

Immunizations by Age 2

1998 1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Inadequate Prenatal Care





33

			- 1
Early Childhood Status		RANKED 380	1
Child Population < 6	1998	1,728	
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	%0.99	1
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97	0.15/1000	- 1
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	1993/1000	
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	10.1%	- 1
Child Care Availability	2000	909.0	
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	33.1%	1

Number of Children (1998): 5,681	Children are 29.0% of the county po
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,555

County Seat: Sayre	•	Children a	Children are 29.0% of the county population	ounty population	O	Child Poverty Rate: 27.0%	
Indiactor	ලාසම මත්ල	0	Worsened	Improved	ф	Recent Poto	Remk
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1984-86	-24.2%	-			7.2% of live births 1996-98	09
Infant Mortality	9.1/1000 live births 1984-86				18.3%	7.4/1000 live births 1996-98	38
Births to Young Teens	58.3/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				33.0%	39.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	15
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 6.2 confirmed/1000 children PY 1984-86	6 -437.6%				33.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	74
Child Deaths	48.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				34.4%	31.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	47
High School Dropouts	5.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				9.8%	4.7% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	47
Violent Crime Arrests	186.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				63.9%	67.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	14

🗆 state change 🗖 county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

	atonga
0	``
B ിത്രേ	County Seat

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

8

1998

Child Population < 6


1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Poisoning

Children are 27.5% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,886

Number of Poor Children (1997): 851 Child Poverty Rate: 29.0%



☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

3.0%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mothers < 20

2000 1997

Child Care Availability

Poverty - Children < 5

1998

ල

County Seat: Durant RANKED (

Early Childhood Status		(SE)
Child Population < 6	8661	2,642
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	74.0%
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97 1.06/1000	1.06/1000
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	2164/1000
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	4.7%
Child Care Availability	2000	0.537
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	35.9%

Bryan County

Children are 25.1% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 8,698

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,569 Child Poverty Rate: 29.3%

Indicator	- ගැන නැත	Worsened	Improved	Ф	Recent Data	Remlx
Low Birthweight	7.9% of live births 1984-86			3.1%	7.5% of live births 1996-98	19
Infant Mortality	10.1/1000 live births 1984-86			28.2%	7.2/1000 live births 1996-98	36
Births to Young Teens	53.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			<i>%1.11</i>	39.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	20
Child Abuse & Neglect	22.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86		- [34.2%	14.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	29
Child Deaths	42.9/100,000 thildren 1-14 1984-86			40.1%	25.7/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	33
High School Dropouts	5.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				5.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	62
Violent Crime Arrests	124.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			34.4%	81.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	12

Caddo County County Seat: Anadarko

Children are 27.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 8,570

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,788 Child Poverty Rate: 32.2%

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Bends 35 99 23 89 32 32 \$ Recent Peter 6.4% of live births 1996-98 10.9/1000 live births 1996-98 39.5/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 27.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 25.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 3.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 175.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 26.6% 13.7% 16.8% Ф (Improved Worsened -1209.0% -8.3% 0 -33.2 % -28.3% 2.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 210.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 29.7/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 3.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 53.8/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 8.5/1000 live births 1984-86 5.1% of live births 1984-86 Bose Defe Child Abuse & Neglect High School Dropouts **Births to Young Teens Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inclication Child Deaths

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

2030/1000

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

12.0% 0.575 39.4%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

2000 1661

Child Care Availability

Poverty - Children < 5

33.0% 0.19/1000

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97 1995

Lead-based Poisoning

2,602

1998

Child Population < 6

KANKED

Early Childhood Status

County Benchmarks

E

🗔 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Canadian County County Seat: El Reno 131.5/153 1994-97 0.07/1000 7,535 41.0% RANKED tie for (38) 9.6% 1995 1998 1998 **Early Childhood Status** Immunizations by Age 2 Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mothers < 20 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,128 Child Poverty Rate: 12.2% ulation

	जाना ह्यान)	Worksmed	lmproved	Φ	Recent Date	Remark
Low Birthweight	4.9% of live births 1984-86	-30.6%		-		5.4% of live births 1996-98	78
Infant Mortality	7.8/1000 live births 1984-86	-33.4%				10.4/1000 live births 1996-98	62
Births to Young Teens	29.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86		•		28.3%	21.5/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	12
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 3.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-385.8% [J]	7			15.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	88
Child Deaths	32.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				63.9%	11.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	12
High School Dropouts	4.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				30.8%	3.1% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	19
Violent Crime Arrests	184.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				11.9%	162.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	46

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

14.9%

Poverty - Children < 5

0.468

2000 1997

Child Care Availability



arly Childhood Status	tie for (RANKED (68)	- 1
hild Population < 6	1998	3,541	
nmunizations by Age 2	1995	26.0%	-
ead-based Poisoning	1994-97	1.23/1000	1
irths to Mothers < 20	1998	202.6/1000	_
nadequate Prenatal Care	1998	4.5%	_
hild Care Availability	2000	0.479	_
overty - Children < 5	1997	33.3%	Ι .

garter County	County Seat: Ardmore

Children are 26.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 11,930

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,293 Child Poverty Rate: 27.2%

	- wassana	ф	Recent Defe	الحسالا
6.8% of live births 1984-86	7.0%		7.8% of live births 1996-98	48
10.0/1000 live births 1984-86		42.3%	5.8/1000 live births 1996-98	7.7
51.3/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86		 15.5%	43.3/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	64
Child Abuse & Neglect 7.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 .164.9%	% _J		20.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	82
43.1/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	%6		47.8/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	64
5.4% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		 15.5%	4.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	44
208.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	114.7%		448.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	73

🗆 stare change 🗎 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change 🛮 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar



Cherokee County County Seat: Tahlequah

Children are 26.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 10,443

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,491 Child Poverty Rate: 33.0%

Indicator	වුවෙල ලවලට	- Worsened	lmproxed (the Contract of the Contract o		रिटामीड
Low Birthweight	984-86	X7.25.		6.4% of live births 1996-98	86-966	82
Infant Mortality	5.8/1000 live births 1984-86			31.0% 4.0/1000 live births 1996-98	86-9661	14
Births to Young Teens	47.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			14.9% 40.5/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	86-9661	26
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 7.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -210	-210.3% K.01-2		23.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	66-7661	62
Child Deaths	38.6/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			10.5% 34.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	86-9661	53
High School Dropouts	7.4% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			1.9% 7.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	66/86-2	7,6
Violent Crime Arrests	86.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	%8.6Z		112.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	66-2661	35
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	bar 🗆 state change 🗆 county	change ### = zero ba	se - cannos calculase change Gounsy Rank	(I = best) (77)	worst)

174.1

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

9.8%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

26.0%

Immunizations by Age 2

3,249

1998 1995

Child Population < 6

RANKED (SE)

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.17/1000

40.4%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

989.0

2000 1998

Child Care Availability

Choclaw County County Seat: Hugo

Children are 27.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 4,173

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,685 Child Poverty Rate: 40.0%

lingRaeiter	निवस्य थिन्छि	- Worsened	[mproxed]	\$	Recent Poto	रिटामीड
Low Birthweight	7.6% of live births 1984-86			11.6%	6.7% of live births 1996-98	3%
Infant Mortality	10.2/1000 live births 1984-86			35.9%	6.6/1000 live births 1996-98	28
Births to Young Teens	77.0/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			32.1%	52.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	73
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 .28	.280.5%			21.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	54
Child Deaths	18.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-301.3%			74.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	73
High School Dropouts	3.9% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			2.8%	3.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	26
Violent Crime Arrests	343.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			25.5%	255.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	62

1.90/ 254.8/1000

1994-97

Lead-based Poisoning

Immunizations by Age 2

RANKED tie for (20)

Early Childhood Status

1,222 34.0%

1998 1995

Child Population < 6

County Benchmarks

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

🗆 state change 🗅 county change

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

49.0%

Poverty - Children < 5 Child Care Availability

0.740 %8.9

1998 2000 1997

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Gimarron County County Seat: Boise City

Children are 27.4% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 810

Number of Poor Children (1997): 171 Child Poverty Rate: 20.0%

Inclication	වනෙම මන්න	0	Worsensel	bevordmi	Ф	Recent Dete	ारेटाजीर
Low Birthweight	6.3% of live births 1984-86	-65.9%		-		10.0% of live births 1996-98	11
Infant Mortality	12.6/1000 live births 1984-86				100.0%	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98	fie for 1
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 25.4/1000 girls 15.17 1984-86	-31.1%				33.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	33
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-139.9%		-		11.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	0
Child Deaths	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-			###	52.3/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	19
High School Dropouts 2.0% of	2.0% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97				54.0%	0.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	2
Violent Grime Arrests	Violent Grime Arrests 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	6			###	81.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	21

1998

Child Papulation < 6

Immunizations by Age 2

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 1995

Lead-based Poisoning

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

1998 2000

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

County Seat: Norman

Cleveland County

Children are 26.0% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 52,347

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 8,038 Child Poverty Rate: 15.2%

Indication	ටහල මත්ය	0	Worsened	limproved	Ф	Recent Dete	Gendz
Low Birthweight	5.8% of live births 1984-86	7.5%				6.1% of live births 1996-98	24
Infant Mortality	7.8/1000 live births 1984-86				26.0%	5.8/1000 live births 1996-98	23
Births to Young Teens	25.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				25.6%	19.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	&
Child Abuse & Neglect	7.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-79.0%				14.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	24
Child Deaths	29.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-18.1%				34.8/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	54
High School Dropouts	6.8% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				%. %.	6.2% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	19
Violent Crime Arrests	Violent Crime Arrests 159 4/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92		-		41.5%	93.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	78

16,382

48.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

1994-97 023/1000

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

105.0

1998

Births to Mathers < 20

Lead-based Paisoning

0.634 18.6%

3.9%

1998 2000 1997

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar



Early Childhood Status	tie for	
Chita Paputation < 6	9661	984
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	73.0%
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97' 1.69/1003	1.69/ ₁₀₂₃
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	0001/ _{C162}
Inadequote Prenatal Care	1998	1.1%
Child Care Availability	2000	0.369
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	43.5%

Number of Poor Children (1997): 573 Child Poverty Rate: 35.5%

Indicator	වියම මග්ල	- Worsened	laproxed		Recent Poto	सिटामीड
Low Birthweight	7.7% of live births 1984-86	**			8.8% of live births 1996-98	7,6
Infant Mortality	24.3/1000 live births 1984-86			84.3%	3.8/1000 live births 1996-98	=
Births to Young Teens	46.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			%1.0	46.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	69
Child Abuse & Neglect	17.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -29.4%	81			22.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	29
Child Deaths	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			###	28.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	37
High School Dropouts	3.0% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			30.8%	2.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	=
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			##	120.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	37
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ar 🗆 state change 🗖 cow	nty change ### = 2en	o base - can	not calculate change	77 = worst)

重	Bir	ਂਤ	-	5	훒	Vio	Ü
RANKED	10,833	99.0%	1994-97 011/100	146.7 Acco	7.0%	0.622	31.4%
R tie for	1998	1995	1994-97	1998	1998	2000	1661
Early Childhood Status	Child Population < 6	Immunizations by Age 2	Lead-bosed Poisoning	Births to Mothers < 20	Inadequate Prenatal Care	Child Core Avoilability	Poverty · Children < 5

omanche County unty Seat: Lawton

Children are 27.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 31,492

Number of Poor Children (1997): 8,093 Child Poverty Rate: 25.6%

Inclience	පියම මග්ය	0	Worsened	Devored	Φ.	Recent Defe	(Remit
Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1984-86	.17.5%				7.9% of live births 1996-98	62
Infant Mortality	9.9/1000 live births 1984-86				12.2%	8.7/1000 live births 1996-98	20
Births to Young Teens	56.3/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				16.8%	46.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	70
Child Abuse & Neglect	10.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	7.5%				11.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	6
Child Deaths	25.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-32.6%				33.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	15
High School Dropouts	5.7% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97				16.8%	4.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	8
Violent Crime Arrests	428.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				34.8%	279.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	19

🗆 state change 🗆 counity change 🏻 ### = 2210 base - cannot calculate change 🖯 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

County Benchmarks

38

00 /1

(C)

∞

27.3%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.545 6.7%

000

Children are 26.0% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,740

Cotton County

County Seat: Walters

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

Immunizations by Age 2

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Number of Poor Children (1997): 429 Child Poverty Rate: 24.3%

Low Birth	WALKS TO CO	ායයම මත්ග	0	Worsened	lmproxed	Φ	Recent Defe	Gendz
DANKEN	Low Birthweight	5.5% of live births 1984-86	.63.7%				6.6% of five births 1996-98	72
(38) Infant Mortality	-	3.9/1000 live births 1984-86	282.0%				15.0/1000 live births 1996-98	73
) 203	Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 52.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				41.6%	30.5/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	25
'	se & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 13.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-5.7%				14.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	25
1998 1429 Child Deaths	L	23.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-120.4%	7/			51.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	99
1	High School Dropouts 6.0%	6.0% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		1000		35.0%	3.9% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	28
2000 0.357 Violent Cri	ime Arrests	Violent Crime Arrests 42.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-656.4%	7/			320.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	70

County Rank $(1 = best)$ (77 = worst)
= zero base - cannot calculate change
🛚 state change 🗖 county change
Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

29.8%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

ரேவீது ஹோர்y County Seat: Vinita

Children are 23.2% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 3,349

Number of Poor Children (1997): 744 Child Poverty Rate: 22.3%

Uneffection	වයෙම මණය	0	Worsened	pexeddmp	Ф	Recent Defe	Renk
Low Birthweight	4.0% of live births 1984-86	-126.0%	7/			7.6% of live births 1996-98	73
Infant Mortality	13.3/1000 live births 1984-86				71.2%	3.8/1000 live births 1996-98	. 12
Births to Young Teens	33.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				23.0%	25.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	17
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 11.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86				%//0	11.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	9
Child Deaths	24.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				44.8%	13.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	15
High School Dropouts	3.1% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-26.1%				4.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	33
Violent Crime Arrests	84.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	114.0%	7/			181.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	51

1994-97 1.26/100

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

1998 2000 1661

Inadequate Prenatal Care

65.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Poisoning

896

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

🗆 state change 🗎 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change | County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar



Greek County County Seat: Sapulpa [3] ₫ Š 宣 175.1/1000 25.8% RANKED (SA) 45.0% 1994-97 0.28/1000 0.540 5,364 6.2% 1995 1998 2000 1661 1998 **Early Childhood Status** Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability Child Population < 6

Number of Children (1998): 18,013

Children are 26.8% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,853 Child Poverty Rate: 21.1%

Inclicator	මුලුම මුලුම	0	Worsened	[mproxed]	Ф	යිනුනෝ මන්ත	उटन
Low Birthweight	6.0% of live births 1984-86	-22.4%				6.8% of live births 1996-98	46
Infant Mortality	10.5/1000 live births 1984-86	-	_		32.9%	7.0/1000 live births 1996-98	33
Births to Young Teens	38.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				8.5%	35.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	37
Child Abuse & Neglect	5.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86					11.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	13
Child Deaths	53.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				28.0%	38.7/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	09
High School Dropouts	5.0% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		-		13.7%	4.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	37
Violent Crime Arrests	104.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-28.8%				134.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	39
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ken bar	State change 🛚 cou	nty change ### = zero	base - canno	t calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Early Childhood Status		RANKED	'
Child Population < 6	1998	2,154	
mmunizations by Age 2	1995	71.0%	
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97	1.08/1003	
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	179.8/103	
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	7.8%	
Child Care Availability	2000	0.479	
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	27.9%	•

Custer County County Seat: Arapaho

Children are 26.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 6,832

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,582 Child Poverty Rate: 22.8%

Indicator	විපෙම විභේත	0	Worsened	പ്രത്യാട്ട	¢	Recent Poto	Stems:
Low Birthweight	6.3% of live births 1984-86	4.4%				6.1% of live births 1996-98	32
Infant Mortality	13.5/1000 live births 1984-86				31.4%	9.2/1000 live births 1996-98	22
Births to Young Teens	59.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				29.6%	41.7/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	59
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 8.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-82.5%				15.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	31
Child Deaths	74.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86		and an analysis		% 6:99	24.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	30
High School Dropouts	4.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	æ. 38.				4.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	- 25
Violent Crime Arrests	188.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				8.1%	173.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	84


 $\frac{8}{2}$

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001 Ei

🗆 state change 🗀 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

<u>ဏ</u>



		RANKED
Early Childhood Status		R
Child Population < 6	1998	2,405
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	47.0%
Lead-bosed Poisoning	1994-97	0.72/1000
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	164.8
Inadequote Prenatal Care	1998	11.2%
Child Care Availability	2000	0.480
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	38.0%

(1998): 8,156	% of the county pop
Number of Children	Children are 23.9%

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,559	Child Poverty Rate: 31.0%
umber of Children (1998): 8,156	hildren are 23.9% of the county population

Inclienter	ටිපෙම මණ	0	Wersened	प्रिक्राज्यस्	Φ	Recent Date	सिटामीड
Low Birthweight	5.6% of live births 1984-86	36.9%				8.1% of live births 1996-98	59
Infant Mortality	8.7/1000 live births 1984-86			~-·-	19.8%	7.0/1000 live births 1996-98	99
Births to Young Teens	45.8/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	-			32.7%	30.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	26
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.199.8%	<i>y</i>		:	15.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	33
Child Deaths	39.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				1.1%	38.7/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	59
High School Dropouts	6.9% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				2.0%	6.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	17
Violent Crime Arrests	62.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				1.3%	61.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	13

Inclication Low Birthwe മ്ദ്

ŀ	'		ı	'	1	'		ı
RANKED	S	340	76.0%	0.60/	113.6/1000	12.8%	960'0	24.3%
	tie for	1998	1395	16-4661	1998	1998	2000	1661
	early Unitanood Status	Child Papulation < 6	Immunizotions by Age 2	Lead-based Poisoning	Births to Mothers < 20	Inadequote Prenatal Care	Child Care Availability	Poverty - Children < 5

County	at: Taloga
)ewey	ounty Seat:

Number of Poor Children (1997): 263 Child Poverty Rate: 19.8% Children are 26.5% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,306

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔝 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — county Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Indicator	ගියම මැත්ත	- Worsensel	limproved	\$	Recent Data	Renk
Low Birthweight	3.6% of live births 1984-86	-18.3%		-	5.6% of live births 1996-98	25
Infant Mortality	8.1/1000 live births 1984-86	7)			25.9/1000 live births 1996-98	7,6
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 27.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			70.1%	8.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	-
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 7.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-41.7%		=	11.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	
Child Deaths	85.7/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-126.3% [193.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	11
High School Dropouts	0.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	.31.9%			0.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	_
Violent Crime Arrests	143.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			30.3%	100.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	33

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

 ∞ 7

Ellis County County Seat: Arnett Incline Low Birth

1994-97 0.83/1200 11 1% 76.0% 0.430 24.9% RANKED 262 1995 1998 8661 1998 2000 1997 Early-Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6 Child Care Availability

Number of Poor Children (1997): 228


Child Poverty Rare: 20.3%

Inclienter	ි ලැල මැල්	Worsened	bevorgm	¢	Recent Deto	Remix
Low Birthweight	5.4% of live births 1984-86	-		30.3%	4.4% of live births 1996-98	e
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1984-86			***	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98	lie for 1
Births to Young Teens	19.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				25.4/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	16
Child Abuse & Neglect	8.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -64.5%	,	,		13.3confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	20
Child Deaths	64.6/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for 1
High School Dropouts	1.2% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97				2.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	00
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			###	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 11096 — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗎 state change 🛘 county change 🌣 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	state change 🛚 coun	ıty change ### = zero	base - canno	ot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (7	77 = worst)

Garfield County County Seat: Enid

Children are 26.0% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 14,760

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,310 Child Poverty Rate: 22.4%



43.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

4,508

KANKED SSO

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

1994-97, 1.53/1530

Lead-based Poisoning

1998 1998 2000

Births to Mothers < 20

= zero base - cannot calculate change

County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) 🗆 state change 🗅 county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

0.513 27.4%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

2.0%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

County Benchmarks 8 9

28.7%

1661 8

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.486

191.5/003

1998 19:38

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

3.8%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

36.0%

1595

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Papulation < 6

1994-97 0.0/100

3,733

RANKED (SS)

Early Childhood Status

ပိ ا ک

RANKED tie for (20) 52.0% 34.3% 1,876 0.461 0.0/ 4.2% 1994-97 1998 1995 1998 1998 2000 1997 Early Childhood Status Immunizations by Age 2 Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mathers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability Child Population < 6


(199	of th
Number of Children	Children are 25.3%

ভিত্তাশ্যোম Gounfry County Seat: Pauls Valley		Number of Children (1998): 6,848 Children are 25.3% of the county	Number of Children (1998): 6,848 Children are 25.3% of the county population	Nur Chil	Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,932 Child Poverty Rate: 28.0%	32
malketter	වයෙම මග්ර	- Worsened	व प्राकृतकराज्य	Ф	Recent Date	Renk
Low Birthweight	8.7% of live births 1984-86			34.5%	6.2% of live births 1996-98	16
Infant Mortality	8.6/1000 live births 1984-86			18.8%	7.0/1000 live births 1996-98	31
Births to Young Teens	52.7/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			40.4%	31.4/1000 girls 15-17 1996.98	17
Child Abuse & Neglect	21.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-89.4%			40.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	76
Child Deaths	24.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-84.3%			45.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	63
High School Dropouts	4.2% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97			11.9%	3.7% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	25
Violent Crime Arrests	210.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			9.4%	190.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	SS

Grady County County Seat: Chickasha

Children are 28.1% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 12,919

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,069 Child Poverty Rate: 23.4%



🛘 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change Gounty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

RANKED tie for

Early Childhood Status

Child Papulation < 6

49.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Paisoning

1994-97 259/1000

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

சோனற்! வேயறிரு County Seat: Medford

Number of Children (1998): 1,355 Children are 25,4% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 274 Child Poverty Rate: 19.7%

Indicator	_ ලාලුම ලලලා	Worsened	Improved	ф	Recent Dete	Remak
Low Birthweight	5.6% of live births 1984-86			%9·09	2.7% of live births 1996-98	_
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1984-86			##	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98	tie for 1
Births to Young Teens	25.9/1000 girk 15-17 1984-86			40.4%	15.4/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	2
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 11.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 5.3%				11.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	12
Child Deaths	0.0/100,000 thildren 1-14 1984-86			#	32.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	48
High School Dropouts	1.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			9.2%	1.1% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	4
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			##	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1
Change of more than 110%	Chance of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗆 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	r 🗆 state change 🗅 coun	nty change ### = 2e	ro base - cann	ot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (7	77 = worst)

Greer County County Seat: Mangum

24.1%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0,383

2.7%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Number of Children (1998): 1,302 Children are 20.5% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 478 Child Poverty Rate: 37.1%

Indicator	ලාල මෙල	0	Worsened	[mproxed]		ධ්යනෝ මැරෙ	Gends
Low Birthweight	7.1% of live births 1984-86	-			11.7%	5.4% of live births 1996-98	25
Infant Mortality	14.2/1000 live births 1984-86				100.0%	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98	tie for 1
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 69.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				39.0%	42.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	19
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 13.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.26.2%				16.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	38
Child Deaths	30.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-10.5%		370		34.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	52
High School Dropouts	5.3% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				14.7%	4.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	40
Violent Crime Arrests	54.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-385.1%				264.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	64

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar | 🗆 state change 🗅 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

 $90 \ {
m County~Benchmarks}$

14

45.4%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

0.567

2000

Child Care Availability

242.4 1,000

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Paisoning

1.9%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1994-97 444/1000

63.0%

1998

Immunizations by Age 2

380

RANKED tie for

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001



Harmon County County Seat: Hollis

Children are 29.3% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,019

Number of Poor Children (1997): 430 Child Poverty Rate: 43.8% Remls

72

11

ಜ

Inclicator	මයෙම මන්ව	- Worsened	[mproxed	¢	Recent Dete
Low Birthweight	9.8% of live births 1984-86			73.6%	7.6% of live births 1996-98
Infant Mortality	11.5/1000 live births 1984-86	%6.62·			14.9/1000 live births 1996-98
Births to Young Teens	96.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			17.1%	79.6/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.232.3%			11.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99
Child Deaths	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			##	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98
High School Dropouts	3.1% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	77.1%	1		5.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			###	70.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99

Child Population < 6

Immunizations by Age 2

Early Childhood Status

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Child Care Availability

Poverty - Children < 5

lie for 1

14

33

26

Harper County County Seat: Buffalo

Children are 25.0% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 900

Number of Poor Children (1997): 118 Child Poverty Rate: 12.8%

🗆 state change 🗅 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change – County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Inclication	ගයම මණ	- Worsened	lmproxed	0	Recent Defo	Remk
Low Birthweight	2.6% of live births 1984-86	-40.4%			3.4% of live births 1996-98	2
Infant Mortality	6.5/1000 live births 1984-86	-40.4%			9.2/1000 live births 1996-98	53
Births to Young Teens	29.3/1000 girls 15·17 1984-86			33.0%	19.6/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	01
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 2.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	368.5%			11.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	∞
Child Deaths	39.3/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-22.1%			48.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	99
High School Dropouts	1.0% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95.96/97	.56.1%			1.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	9
Violent Crime Arrests	134.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1

113.6/100

1998 1998 2000

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

Inadequate Prenatal Care

0.390

1997 15.7%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

76.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97" 0.0/1000

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

□ state change □ county change ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

County Benchmarks 93

Early Childhood Status		RANKED (48)
Child Population < 6	1998)
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	57.0%
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97	211/100
Births to Mothers < 20	1998	676t
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	3.6%
Child Care Availability	2000	0.619
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	40.2%

Number of C	Children
n(y)	Ì

(1998): 2,892	of the county population
Number of Children (1	Children are 25.4%

	Number of Poor Children (1997): 963
pulation	Child Poverty Rate: 32.8%

Low Birthweight 5.9% of live births 1984-86 Infant Mortality 13.7/1000 live births 1984-86 Births to Young Teens 46.7/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 Child Abuse & Nealect 1.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86		um presses	>	mesenn cene	STATE
13.7/ Feens 46.7/ eglect 1.5 co	%0·1·			5.3% of live births 1996-98	21
1.5 co	91		30.0%	9.6/1000 live births 1996-98	57
Child Abuse & Neglect 1.5 confirmed/1000 children	98-		24.7%	35.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	36
	FY 1984-86 -879.2%			14.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	28
Child Deaths 87.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	1984-86	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.6%	76.7/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	75
High School Dropouts 4.6% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97	15-96/97 -20.5%	and the same of th		5.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	09
Violent Crime Arrests 0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	190-92		###	46.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	=

Hugh es County County Seat: Holdenville	

Children are 22.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 3,229

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 💛 state change 🖰 county change 🌣 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,129 Child Poverty Rate: 34.1%

Indicator	වයෙම වැරෙ	0	Worsened	paxoxed	ф	Recent Dette	खिटामीड
Low Birthweight	4.5% of live births 1984-86	%9.69-		_		5.8% of live births 1996-98	55
Infant Mortality	9.7/1000 live births 1984-86				\$0.09	3.9/1000 live births 1996-98	13
Births to Young Teens	39.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				%6.0	38.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	49
Child Abuse & Neglect 11.5 conf	11.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-50.2%		_		17.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	4
Child Deaths	88.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86		to program manifestual		84.0%	14.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	16
High School Dropouts	5.1% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-47.5%				7.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	11
Violent Crime Arrests	168.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-31.0%				220.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	09

1995 82.0%

Immunizations by Age 2 Lead-based Poisoning

1994-97 1.95/100

836

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

167.5/000

1998

Births to Mothers < 20 Inadequate Prenatal Care

1998 5.6%

2000 0.842 41.8%

1661

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

94

County Benchmarks

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change 🏻 ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Jackson County County Seat: Altus 175.1 61.0% 1994-97 1.28/1000 RANKED tie for 3,096 1995 1998 **Early Childhood Status** Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6

Children are 30.4% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 8,733

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,055 Child Poverty Rate: 23.2%

Inclienter	ියෙම මන්ය	0	Worsened	lmgroved	0	रिस्वसमारे जिन्हा	Refle
Low Birthweight	7.0% of live births 1984-86				9.4%	6.5% of live births 1996-98	78
Infant Mortality	6.0/1000 live births 1984-86		-		47.5%	3.2/1000 live births 1996-98	0.
Births to Young Teens	51.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				23.9%	39.1/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	52
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.8confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.120.2%				21.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	26
Child Deaths	24.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				38.1%	14.8/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	19
High School Dropouts	2.7% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				14.4%	2.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	12
Violent Crime Arrests	337.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				19.5%	271.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	65

☐ stare change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

28.4%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Core Availability

7.6% 0.424


1998 2000

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Jefferson County County Seat: Waurika

Children are 24.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,635

Number of Poor Children (1997): 523 Child Poverty Rate: 31.5%



🛘 state change 🗖 county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

98

County Benchmarks 97

93.8_{/cm}

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

0.458 38.6%

2000

166

Poverty - Children < 5 Child Care Availability

72.0%

1935

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

1994-97 0.0/1000

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

66

de Peat:	on County	Tishomingo
	Johnsto	

Children are 26.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,769

Number of Poor Children (1997): 927 Child Poverty Rate: 33.0%

77 = worst)	### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ro base - car	v change ### = zer	🗆 state change 🗖 county change		Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar	Change of more than 110%
29	97.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	36.3%				153.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	Violent Crime Arrests
23	3.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99				*1.4	3.4% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	High School Dropouts
tie for 1	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	100.0%				15.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	Child Deaths
28	21.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99				.551.2%	3.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	Child Abuse & Neglect
45	37.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	25.3%		!		49.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	Births to Young Teens
80	2.5/1000 live births 1996-98	80.5%				12.7/1000 live births 1984-86	Infant Mortality
19	8.6% of live births 1996-98				7.0%	7.6% of live births 1984-86	Low Birthweight
Rendt	Recent Doto	\$	[mproxed]	Worsened	0	වයන මණ	Indicator

1522/620

6.1%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Births to Mothers < 20

1994-97 263/103

70.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Poisoning

758

KANKED (SS)

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

40.4%

Poverty - Children < 5

0.558

2000 1661

Child Care Availability

യന്നി	: Newkirk
0	Seat
(ay	County

Children are 25.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 12,108

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,690 Child Poverty Rate: 22.1%

Indicator	වයෙම මත්ය	0	Worsemed	[pexoxed]	0	යිනුහෝ මන්ත	रिकारी
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1984-86	-16.6%				5.9% of live births 1996-98	45
Infant Mortality	12.0/1000 live births 1984-86				40.0%	7.2/1000 live births 1996-98	35
Births to Young Teens	33.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	.22.4%				41.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	57
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 5.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.349.1%	J	,		25.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	22
Child Deaths	36.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	i			13.7%	31.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	45
High School Dropouts	7.0% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			- 4	10.0%	6.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	69
Violent Crime Arrests	357.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-54.7%				552.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	9/

🗆 state change 🗅 county change ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

98 County Benchmarks

27.1%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Avoilability

1994-97 1.07/1023

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1998 2000 1661

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Papulation < 6

Early Childhood Status

Kingfisher County County Seat: Kingfisher 126.3/1000 70.0% 1994-97 3.14/1000 19.4% 1,146 0.399 RANKED 2.8% 1995 1998 1998 2000 1997 Early Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning Child Core Availability

(1998): 3,8	of the cour
Number of Children	Children are 28.3%

nty population 327

Number of Poor Children (1997): 615

Child Poverty Rate: 15.8%


Inclication	ියෙම මණ	- Wereened	d Improved	ф	Recent Defo	सिटामीर
Low Birthweight	3.8% of live births 1984-86	.95.6%			6.2% of live births 1996-98	83
Infant Mortality	8.4/1000 live births 1984-86	7		77.6%	1.9/1000 live births 1996-98	7
Births to Young Teens	38.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	-		51.4%	18.9/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	7
Child Abuse & Neglect	11.7confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86			19.3%	9.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	2
Child Deaths	75.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			85.4%	11.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	2
High School Dropouts	3.2% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97	-		11.2%	2.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	15
Violent Crime Arrests	158.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			76.4%	37.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	6

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 1 state change 🗆 county change 🗎 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Kiowa County County Seat: Hobart

Children are 27.3% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,898

Number of Poor Children (1997): 897 Child Poverty Rate: 30.4%



732.6/1000

1998 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.516 37.2%

41.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Populotion < 6

RANKED 862

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.26/1000

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

95.0% 1994-97 0.89/1000 43.5% 0.748 RANKED (%) 4.8% 804 1995 1998 2000 1998 1997 **Early Childhood Status** Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability

Number of Chile

Number of Children (1998): 2,766 Children are 26.8% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 977 Child Poverty Rate: 35.5%

Indicator	Bose Doto	- Worsened	pexoxem	Ф	Recent Dete	Remk
Low Birthweight	6.4% of live births 1984-86			28.9%	4.0% of live births 1996-98	9
Infant Mortality	5.6/1000 live births 1984-86	92.5%			10.8/1000 live births 1996-98	64
Births to Young Teens	37.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	. 8 .1%		:	40.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	55
Child Abuse & Neglect	2.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -499	1496.7% ×1.496.			17.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	40
Child Deaths	31.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	/C %8:101:			62.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	71
High School Dropouts	1.7% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		320000	11.7%	1.5% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	S
Violent Crime Arrests	26-0661 71-01 hinoy 000,001/E:8/1	151.7%			448.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	74
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗀 state change 🗅 county change 🍴 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	bar 🗆 state change 🗅 c	ounty change ### = zero	base - can	not calculate change County Rank (1 = best)	(77 = worst)

Le Flore County County Seat: Poteau

Number of Children (1998): 12,723 Children are 27.3% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,957 Child Poverty Rate: 30.7%

Scools 8 2 4 72 26 53 33 Recent Poto 6.3% of live births 1996-98 5.5/1000 live births 1996-98 30.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 21.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 35.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 5.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 102.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 48.6% 27.8% 16.7% 2.7% ф pewowdmy Worsened -15.8% -28.5% 83.0% 16.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 3.9% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 25.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 88.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 49.7/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 10.6/1000 live births 1984-86 7.0% of live births 1984-86 Bess Defe Child Abuse & Neglect **Births to Young Teens** High School Dropouts Violent Crime Arrests Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inellector Child Deaths

48.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97 122/1000

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

3,704

RANKED (32)

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🗆 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

102 County Benchmarks

37.6%

Poverty - Children < 5

669.0

2000

Child Care Availability

8.7%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Lime

Lingoln Gounty County Seat: Chandler

Number of Children (1998): 8,731 Children are 27.8% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,020 Child Poverty Rate: 23.1%

0 - Q							
Inchesior	පිටපුම මාජාල	0	Worsened	[mproxed]	Ф	Recent Poto	Gendz
Low Birthweight	5.9% of live births 1984-86	-14.9%				6.0% of live births 1996-98	37
Infant Mortality	7.9/1000 live births 1984-86	.21.6%				9.5/1000 live births 1996-98	95
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 36.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				22.7%	28.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	21
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 8.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-96.7%				16.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	34
Child Deaths	48.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86		-		26.8%	35.3/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	55
High School Dropouts	4.4% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		poents.		22.7%	3.4% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	72
Violent Crime Arrests	68.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	.118.3%	7			150.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	43

Logan County County Seat: Guthrie

Number of Children (1998): 8,205 Children are 26.5% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,601 Child Poverty Rate: 19.3%

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

182.4/1003

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

5.4%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

0.476 28.3%

1998

Child Care Availability

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

1994-97 0.52/1000

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

2,438

1998

Child Population < 6

RANKED (SS)

Early Childhood Status

Inellector	වුවෙම මවේල	0	Worsened	limproxed	¢	Recent Peda	Reng
Low Birthweight	6.1% of live births 1984-86	-25.2%					57
Infant Mortality	8.6/1000 live births 1984-86	-9.4%				9.4/1000 live births 1996-98	55
Births to Young Teens	29.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	-			6.1%	27.6/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	20
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 13.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-20.0%				16.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	37
Child Deaths	67.6/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				51.9%	32.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	49
High School Dropouts	3.8% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-1.6%				3.9% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	53
Violent Crime Arrests	162.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	.15.3%				186.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	52

104

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

23.6%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

153.6/1000

1998 1998 2000

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

2.8% 0.487

Inadequate Prenatal Care

81.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

RANKED (38) 2,381

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 4.91/1000

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

30.7% 1995 68.0% 0.405 RANKED 1994-97 000/1000 5.8% 621 1998 1998 2000 1997 **Early Childhood Status** Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Care Avoilability Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6

Children are 26.5% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,259


Number of Poor Children (1997): 575 Child Poverty Rate: 25.1%

line Rection	වුණුම මැල්ම	- Worsened	limproved	\$	Recent Defe	(Remlx
Low Birthweight	6.5% of live births 1984-86	.20.8%			4.3% of live births 1996-98	65
Infant Mortality	13.7/1000 live births 1984-86			54.1%	6.3/1000 live births 1996-98	25
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 55.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			51.2%	27.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	61
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-137.6%			21.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	57
Child Deaths	41.7/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			\$2.2%	19.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	24
High School Dropouts	3.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			7.8%	3.2% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	20
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			#	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	tie for 1
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar	l .	ınty change ### = zen	o base - can	☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	77 = worst)

McClain County

Number of Children (1998): 7,078

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,450 Child Poverty Rate: 20.0%



Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

24.5% 0.730

Poverty - Children < 5

2000 1661

Child Care Availability

179.8/1000

2.1%

1998 8661

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1994-97 0.14/103

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1,918 51.0%

1998

Child Population < 6

RANKED

(9)

Early Childhood Status

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001 107

I 0**6** County Benchmarks

1 County Benchmarks

McGurtain Gounty County Seat: Idabel

264.2/1000 44.1% 47.0% 1994-97 056/1000 RANKED (%) 3,025 0.462 4.3% 1995 1998 1998 2000 1998 1997 **Early Childhood Status** Immunizations by Age 2 Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6 Child Care Availability

Number of Children (1	Children are 29.2% of

Ź	oulation Ch
1 (1998): 10,146	of the county population
r of Children	29.2%
2	n are

	Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,700
on	Child Poverty Rate: 36.0%


Indicator	Bose Defe	0	Worsened	limproved	ф	Recent Dete	Gends
Low Birthweight	5.3% of live births 1984-86	-36.8%				7.2% of live births 1996-98	4
Infant Mortality	9.1/1000 live births 1984-86	.19.0%				10.8/1000 live births 1996-98	59
Births to Young Teens	61.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				31.4%	42.4/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	62
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 10.3confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-83.1%				19.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	46
Child Deaths	23.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				44.7%	13.2/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	14
High School Dropouts	4.4% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97				0.7%	4.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	38
Violent Crime Arrests	304.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				48.2%	157.3/100,000 youth 10.17 1997-99	45

MicIntosh County County Seat: Eufaula

Children are 22.6% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 4,308

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔻 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,547 Child Poverty Rate: 36.0%



47.0%

1995

mmunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

1994-97 1.08/1000

1,189

RANKED

tie for (69)

Early Childhood Status

246.8/1000

1998 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

5.4%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔻 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ### = 2210 base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

44.1%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.552

Major County County Seat: Fairview

Children are 26.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,090

Number of Poor Children (1997): 396 Child Poverty Rate: 18.7%

Inclication	වයෙම මණය	- Wor	Worsened	limproxed	ф	Coceal Dete	Remk
Low Birthweight	4.9% of live births 1984-86	19.7%	I-1	-		4.2% of live births 1996-98	20
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1984-86				##	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98	tie for 1
Births to Young Teens	10.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	-50.1%				15.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	8
Child Abuse & Neglect 18.4 c	18.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86				22.9%	14.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	77
Child Deaths	18.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	lie for 1
High School Dropouts	2.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97		- 1	1,000	10.5%	2.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	8
Violent Crime Arrests	232.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				43.2%	132.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	38

1995 76.0%

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97 0.0/1000

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

67.6/150

1998 1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

%0.0 0.481

Inadequate Prenatal Care

22.9%

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

2000

Child Care Availability

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗖 county change ### = 2210 base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

818

36.3% 1995 77.0% 1994-97 0.66/1000 0.640 tie for (38) 1998 1998 2000 1661 Early Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6 Child Care Availability

Marshall County County Seat: Madill

Children are 22.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,807

Number of Poor Children (1997): 833 Child Poverty Rate: 29.6%

Indicator	වනම මන්න	0	Worsened	pexoxetuj	¢	Gesent Doto	रिटामीर
Low Birthweight	5.3% of live births 1984-86	-29.8%				7.6% of live births 1996-98	39
Infant Mortality	10.6/1000 live births 1984-86	-14.5%				12.1/1000 live births 1996-98	19
Births to Young Teens	44.6/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				29.1%	31.6/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	28
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-330.5%	<u> </u>			19.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	49
Child Deaths	70.1/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for 1
High School Dropouts	High School Dropouts 6.4% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97				40.4%	3.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	77
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				##	199.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	× ×

County Benchmarks

□ state change □ couñty change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

ر. م

Mayes County County Seat: Pryor

0.35/1000 201.1 57.0% 31.5% 2,906 0.558 RANKED 5.9% 1994-97 1995 1998 1998 2000 1997 Early Childhood Status Immunizations by Age 2 Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability

Children are 25.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 9,744

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,545 Child Poverty Rate: 25.7%

Indicator	විපෙම මගේල	S	Worsened	lmproxed	Ф	Recent Dete	रिटामीर
Low Birthweight	4.8% of live births 1984-86	.28.7%	4		ļ	6.7% of live births 1996-98	23
Infant Mortality	6.8/1000 live births 1984-86	-10.3%				7.5/1000 live births 1996.98	39
Births to Young Teens	47.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				7.4%	43.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	99
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 9.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	111.4% J			ļ	19.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	48
Child Deaths	23.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-52.9%				35.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	95
High School Dropouts	6.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				2.2%	6.1% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	99
Violent Crime Arrests	23.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	%:III:				50.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	12

Murray County County Seat: Sulphur

Children are 25.3% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 3,125

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗀 state change 🗀 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 857 Child Poverty Rate: 27.2%

Remis 63 2 7 36 _ 2 63 9.0% of live births 1996-98 Recent Dotto 10.7/1000 live births 1996-98 29.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 16.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 14.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 2.7% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 263.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 32.8% 17.3% 47.5% Φ **Limproved** Worsened .128.6% [34.3% 5.8% 0.1% 15.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 115.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 26.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 2.7% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 35.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 15.9/1000 live births 1984-86 5.6% of live births 1984-86 වයෙම මත්ව Child Abuse & Neglect High School Dropouts **Births to Young Teens Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inclicator Child Deaths

🗆 state change 🗖 county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

33.3%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.559

202.4

8661 8661 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

3.5%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

85.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

 (\mathbb{R})

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

855

1994-97 0.57/1000

🗆 state change 🗎 county change 🏻 ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change 💍 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Muskogee County County Seat: Muskogee

Children are 26.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 18,852

Number of Poor Children (1997): 5,320 Child Poverty Rate: 27.8%

Inclication	- මාම මාම	- Worsened	limproved	Ф	Recent Date	Remk
Low Birthweight	6.9% of live births 1984-86	*			8.2% of live births 1996-98	64
Infant Mortality	12.5/1000 live births 1984-86			23.1%	9.6/1000 live births 1996-98	59
Births to Young Teens	58.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			73.6%	44.7/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	89
Child Abuse & Neglect 15.5 o	15.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 -75.5%	*			27.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	99
Child Deaths	59.1/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			57.1%	25.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	31
High School Dropouts	6.3% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	***			6.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	73
Violent Crime Arrests	526.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			14.4%	450.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	75
Change of more than 110%	Change of more than 11096 — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗎 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	tr 🗆 state change 🗆 coun	ty change ### = zero	base - cann	ot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (7	77 = worst)

217.2

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

8.6%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

34.1%

Poverty - Children < 5

0.621

2000 1661

Child Care Availability

%0.09

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1998

Child Population < 6

KANKED (SE) 5,547

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 1.93/1000

Noble County County Seat: Perry

Children are 26.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 3,070

Number of Poor Children (1997): 579 Child Poverty Rate: 18.8%

Inellector	ලාසම ලංගල	- Worsaned	Improved	¢	Recent Date	Remlz
Low Birthweight	7.3% of live births 1984-86			25.2%	5.5% of live births 1996-98	13
Infant Mortality	12.8/1000 live births 1984-86			64.4%	4.6/1000 live births 1996-98	17
Births to Young Teens	32.3/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			%0.0	32.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	31
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-233.8% [-]			16.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	35
Child Deaths	13.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	202.6%			42.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	62
High School Dropouts	3.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-		18.8%	2.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	17
Violent Crime Arrests	224.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			15.9%	188.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	54

71.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

923

1998

Child Population < 6

CO CO

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 1.86/1000

1998 1998 2000 1661

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

LIG County Benchmarks

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

23.0%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.711

%6.9

Inadequate Prenatal Care

%0.09 1994-97 00/1000 RANKED 1995 Early Childhood Status mmunizations by Age 2 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Paisoning

175.0

1998 1998

Births to Mothers < 20

5.7%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

29.3%

Poverty - Children < 5

0.660

2000 1997

Child Care Availability

Mawalla Collinity

(1000). 2 443 of Children

(1007), 507 7.17 C D


INGWALING COUNTY County Seat: Nowata	₩ Young	Number of Children (1998): 2,442 Children are 24 5% of the county nonulation	998): 2,442 the county nonulation	ŹĊ	Number of Poor Children (1997): 587 Child Poverry Rose: 23 90%	
			me commy population	5	nd totally rails: 20:7 /0	
Inchestor	මයන මත්ය	- Worsened	ed Improved	Φ) ලැලෙනා ලැලෙ	Renk
Low Birthweight	4.0% of live births 1984-86	113.9%			8.2% of live births 1996-98	70
Infant Mortality	0.0/1000 live births 1984-86			##	13.1/1000 live births 1996-98	69
Births to Young Teens	43.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			8.4%	39.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	54
Child Abuse & Neglect	8.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	8 .155.6%			21.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	55
Child Deaths	92.3/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for 1
High School Dropouts	5.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95 - 96/97			25.0%	3.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	30
Violent Crime Arrest	Arrests 140.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			40.7%	83.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	23

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ## = 2x10 base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Okfuskee County County Seat: Okemah

Number of Children (1998): 2,921

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,092 Child Poverty Rate: 37.1%



823

Early Childhood Status

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

1938 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20


Lead-based Poisoning

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛮 state change 🗆 county change 🏻 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)





Oklahom 150.1 55,438 . %5.9 1994-97 0.56/1000 29.9% 57.0% 0.608 RANKED 1998 1998 **Early Childhood Status** nadequate Prenotal Care mmunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6 Child Care Availability

Children are 25.9% of the county population er of Children (1998): 164,180

Number of Poor Children (1997): 40,497 Child Poverty Rate: 24.4%

Inellector	වයෙම මගැන	- Worsengel	d Improved).		Recent Defe	Remis
Low Birthweight	7.3% of live births 1984-86	12.2%				8.0% of live births 1996-98	99
Infant Mortality	12.9/1000 live births 1984-86				23.5%	9.9/1000 live births 1996-98	19
Births to Young Teens	50.0/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				17.8%	41.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	. 58
Child Abuse & Neglect	10.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	857%				18.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	43
Child Deaths	42.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				16.3%	35.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	57
High School Dropouts	7.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				3.9%	7.2% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	75
Violent Crime Arrests	615.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	and the state of			35.8%	394.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	72
Change of more than 110% — positive or	— positive or negative — are graphed with brokei	1 bar 🔲 state change 🛘	county change	### = zero bu	ise - canno	negative — are graphed with broken bar 💍 state change 🗀 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	(77 = worst)

Okmulgee County County Seat: Okmulgee

Children are 26.7% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 10,372

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,277 Child Poverty Rate: 31.5% Remis 76 82 49 72 29 39 _ 7.1% of live births 1996-98 Recent Poto 6.4/1000 live births 1996-98 44.4/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 7.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 21.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 363.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 4.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 74.6% 15.4% 28.6% 2.3% (Improved Worsened -402.5% -10.3% -25.8% 0 3.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 4.3% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 289.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 84.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 62.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 7.6/1000 live births 1984-86 7.7% of live births 1984-86 ලාලෙ ලැලෙ Child Abuse & Neglect **Births to Young Teens** High School Dropouts **Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Child Deaths Inclicator

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗖 county change ### = 2210 base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

L18 County Benchmarks

210.1 Acoo

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

8.5%

1998

inadequate Prenatal Care

1994-97 063/103

0.645 38.6%

2000

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

3,130

46.0%

1995

mmunizations by Age 2

RANKED tie for (3)

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

RIC

27.9% 76.0% 174.0/100 1994-97 0.21/1000 RANKED tie for (13) 1998 3,363 0.543 3.7% 1995 1998 8661 2000 1661 **Early Childhood Status** Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability

Number of

்தன்**துச** ஹோர் County Seat: Pawhuska

Number of Children (1998): 11,692 Children are 27.3% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997); 2,713 Child Poverty Rate: 22.8%

Indianor	ටයෙම මැටෙ	0	Worsened	Improved		Recent Perc	धुन्धु
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1984-86	8.1%				6.8% of live births 1996-98	33
Infant Mortality	8.4/1000 live births 1984-86	-			41.5%	4.9/1000 live births 1996-98	82
Births to Young Teens	36.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				34.5%	23.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	14
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.221.4%				12.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	16
Child Deaths	45.2/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	. <u></u>			58.7%	18.7/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	73
High School Dropouts	5.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				19.7%	4.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	41
Violent Crime Arrests	55.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-38.2%				76.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	- 18

Ofthawa வேயார்y County Seat: Miami

Number of Children (1998): 7,345 Children are 23.7% of the county population

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔝 state change 🗆 county change – ### = 2210 base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,199 Child Poverty Rate: 29.5%

Inclication	ලාසම මැණ	0	Worsened	[pexoxetm]	¢	Recent Defe	Gents	
Low Birthweight	7.2% of live births 1984-86				0.2%	6.6% of live births 1996-98	43	
Infant Mortality	10.8/1000 live births 1984-86				15.4%	9.2/1000 live births 1996-98	52	
Births to Young Teens	47.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			,,,,,	6.4%	44.1/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	99	
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 6.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-93.4%				13.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	21	
Child Deaths	42.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				29.1%	30.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	40	
High School Dropouts	5.3% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-14.9%				6.1% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	99	
Violent Crime Arrests	98.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-5.4%				103.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	34	

2,198

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 1325/1300

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1998

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🏻 \square state change \square counsy change 🍴 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change

36.1%

1661

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

10.5%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

County Benchmarks

County Rank (l = best) (77 = worst)



Pawnee County Count Low Bir High Sc Dec Be Sirths 1 Child A Gild D Infant Table 1,292 56.0% 1.34/1000 RANKED GG 1994-97 1995 1998 1998 Early Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,063

County Seat: Pawnee	Children	Children are 26.6% of the county population	ounty population	Child Poverty Rate: 23.9%	
Indicator	ිනෙම මත්ර	Worsened	Improved	ර යන්නාර මණ ය	Remk
Low Birthweight	6.6% of live births 1984-86			22.5% 6.9% of live births 1996-98	6
Infant Mortality	9.4/1000 live births 1984-86			32.2% 6.4/1000 live births 1996-98	n
Births to Young Teens	47.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			28.6% 34.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	35
Child Abuse & Neglect	14.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 6.1%			15.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	32
Child Deaths	40.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			24.6% 30.5/100,000 children 1.14 1996-98	42
High School Dropouts	7.5% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			34.3% 4.9% of grades 9-12 SV 96/97-98/99	52
Violent Crime Arrests	33.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			94.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	28
Change of more than 110%	— positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar	aste change a cou	nty change ### = zero	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗎 state change 🖰 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	= worst)

Payne County County Seat: Stillwater

RANKED tie for (18)

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.86/1003

1995 1998

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

4.3%

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

2000 1997

Child Care Availability

Poverty - Children < 5

0.366 29.3%

2000


Child Care Availability

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

Children are 21.2% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 13,829

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,886 Child Poverty Rate: 20.8%



Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔋 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ## = zero base - cannot calculate change — county Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

E

County Seat: McAlester

2,862 71.0% 1994-97 1.10/100 196.3/1000 0.516 35.5% RANKED tie for (SS) 5.8% 1995 1998 1998 1998 2000 1661 Early Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability Child Population < 6

Pithsburg Coumty Number of C

Number of Children (1998): 10,217 Children are 23.9% of the county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,957 Child Poverty Rate: 29.0%

Incleation	ලපම මණ	₩	Worsened	limproved	ф	Regent Date	Remik
Low Birthweight	6.7% of live births 1984-86				2.7%	6.7% of live births 1996-98	33
Infant Mortality	14.0/1000 live births 1984-86				%6.09	5.5/1000 live births 1996-98	21
Births to Young Teens	49.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				25.4%	36.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	43
Child Abuse & Neglect	8.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	31.1%				10.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	æ
Child Deaths	20.8/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	211.7%				64.9/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	72
High School Dropouts	4.8% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-2.5%	The state of the s			4.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	23
Violent Crime Arrests	107.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	.25.5%				135.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	40

Pomfofoc Counfy County Seat: Ada

Number of Children (1998): 8,613 Children are 24.9% of the county population


Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,647 on Child Poverty Rate: 30.4%

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Benk 23 42 34 65 8 34 8 Recent Date 7.1% of live births 1996-98 7.7/1000 live births 1996-98 33.5/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 25.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 56.1/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 1.0% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 220.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 2.4% 6.2% Φ **Improved** Worsened -327.1% -14.9% 22.6% -182.0% 0 -9.2% 9.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 3.5% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 51.3/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 51.5/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 35.7/1000 girls 15.17 1984-86 7.9/1000 live births 1984-86 6.1% of live births 1984-86 ලපෙම මහෙල Child Abuse & Neglect **Births to Young Teens** High School Dropouts **Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inclication Child Deaths

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛮 state change 🗆 county change . ### = zero base - cannot calculate change . County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)



0.563

Poverty - Children < 5

2000

Child Care Availability

135.5/1000

9661

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

3.4%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

994-97 0.93/1000

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

2,572

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

Early Childhood Status Child Population < 6 Immunizations by Age 2 Lead-based Poisoning Births to Mothers < 20 Inadequate Prenatal Care

Number of Children (Children are 26.6% of
oumin	

% of the county population
Shildren are 26.6% o

Inellector	මුවෙම මුවෙල	0	Worsened	Improved	ф	Regent Defe	Gents
Low Birthweight	6.0% of live births 1984-86	-12.6%	20, 100			7.5% of live births 1996-98	34
Infant Mortality	11.3/1000 live births 1984-86				14.0%	9.8/1000 live births 1996-98	09
Births to Young Teens	44.0/1000 girls 15.17 1984-86				16.0%	37.0/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	44
Child Abuse & Neglect	9.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-208.5%	an - 1			28.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	70
Child Deaths	13.7/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	.113.9%				29.3/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	38
High School Dropouts	5.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-3.6%				5.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	63
Violent Crime Arrests	328.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990.92	-			45.1%	180.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	20
Change of more than 110% — positive	— positive or negative — are graphed with brok	en bar	State change County	change ### = zer	o base - cann	or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛭 state change 🛘 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change 🦰 county Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	77 = worst)

Pushmataha Gounty County Seat: Antlers

35.5% 0.594

Poverty - Children < 5

2000 1997

Child Care Availability

Children are 25.1% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 2,905

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,234 Child Poverty Rate: 42.1% Remark æ 11 2 ೯ 69 6 **∞** Resent Date 3.6% of live births 1996-98 42.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 2.6/1000 live births 1996-98 35.3/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 61.3/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 3.9% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 307.7/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 40.8% 52.2% 82.2% 20.0% Φ **Lapproxed** Worsened .102.5% **%9'186**-0 .7.8% 4.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 56.9/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 4.9% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97 152.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 73.9/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 14.4/1000 live births 1984-86 8.2% of live births 1984-86 Dese Defe Child Abuse & Neglect High School Dropouts **Births to Young Teens Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inclication Child Deaths

51.6% 0.440

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

7320/000

1998 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

2.5%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

47.0%

1995 1998

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

842

RANKED (SS)

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.59/1000

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 💍 state change 🗆 county change *## = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

Roger Mills County County Seat: Cheyenne 214.3/1000 76.0% 0.0/1000 RANKED (SS) 1994-97 1995 1998 1998 Early Childhood Status Immunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Lead-based Poisoning Child Population < 6

Children are 27.5% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 985

Number of Poor Children (1997): 229 Child Poverty Rate: 23.0%

Indicator	වාසම මන්ව	- Worsened	Umproved		Recent Defe	Remk
Low Birthweight	7.2% of live births 1984-86	-34.8%			8.8% of live births 1996-98	7.5
Infant Mortality	9.6/1000 live births 1984-86	.33.1%			32.3/1000 live births 1996-98	77
Births to Young Teens	31.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86			43.7%	17.5/1000 girls 15.17 1996-98	9
Child Abuse & Neglect	3.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	314.8%			13.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	6
Child Deaths	103.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86			100.0%	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for i
High School Dropouts	2.0% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97			21.0%	1.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	7
Violent Crime Arrests	126.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92			100.0%	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	fie for 1

Children are 26.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 18,269 Rogers County County Seat: Claremore

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,622 Child Poverty Rate: 14.1%

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

28.2%

1661

Poverty - Children < 5

0.392

2000 1998

Child Care Availability

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Remls 28 48 2 <u>~</u> 36 12 7.5% of live births 1996-98 Recent Pete 8.4/1000 live births 1996-98 21.7/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98 10.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99 14.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98 4.3% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99 93.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99 33.3% 63.5% 7% 2.3% Φ Improved Worsened 39.8% -86.9% 47.0% 7.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 39.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86 4.4% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97 50.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 32.5/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86 8.5/1000 live births 1984-86 5.2% of live births 1984-86 වෙනෙ මන්න Child Abuse & Neglect **Births to Young Teens** High School Dropouts **Violent Crime Arrests** Low Birthweight Infant Mortality Inellector Child Deaths

> 48.0% 5,411

> > 1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Poisoning

tie for (W)

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

1994-97 0.30/1000

8661 856 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

a state change a county change Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

128

17.3%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.532

4.2%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

129nty Benchmarks

130

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

2000

Child Care Availability

	1		c				-
Inellection	වයෙම මැරෙල	- Work	Worsened	Improxed	Ф	Keseni Pero	ार ा
Low Birthweight	6.9% of live births 1984-86	.17.9%				7.2% of live births 1996-98	89
Infant Mortality	13.7/1000 live births 1984-86				4.0%	13.1/1000 live births 1996-98	70
Births to Young Teens	53.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	.l.3%				53.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	74
Child Abuse & Neglect 10.9 c	10.9 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.92.5%				21.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	53
Child Deaths	54.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				25.5%	40.2/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	19
High School Dropouts	5.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-18.3%				6.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	70
Violent Crime Arrests	140.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	.20.1%				169.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	47

52.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97 2.62/1000

1998 998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1,831

RANKED (%)

Early Childhood Status

Child Population < 6

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 📋 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

47.8%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.551


8.9%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,139

Number of Children (1998): 10,403

Sequeyah Gounty



1995 1998

mmunizations by Age 2

Child Papulation < 6

Early Childhood Status

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1998

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 📋 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — county Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Stevens County

Number of Children (1998): 11,235

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,709

Benk

7

33

8

23

=

88

36

Children are 25.9% of the county population Child Poverty Rate: 23.6%	Deficion - Worsened Improved & Resent Defici	ve births 1984-86 6.2% of live births 1996-98	ive births 1984-86 7.0/1000 live births 1996-98) girls 15-17 1984-86 32.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	3.3 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86 309.8%	30.4/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	5.3% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	50.8/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92 .125.5% [7] 1997-99
•	ලාපල ලපල	5.5% of live births 1984-86	7.4/1000 live births 1984-86	41.0/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	3.3 confirmed/1000 cł	30.4/100,000 children	5.3% of grades 9-12 S	50.8/100,000 youth 1
County Seat: Duncan	Ineffection	Low Birthweight	Infant Mortality	Births to Young Teens	Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Deaths	High School Dropouts	Violent Crime Arrests

1994-97

Lead-based Poisoning

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

2000 1998

Child Care Availability

Inadequate Prenatal Care

1997

Poverty - Children < 5

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1998

Child Population < 6

Early Childhood Status

Texas County County Seat: Guymon

Children are 28.9% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 5,390

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔋 state change 🗖 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Number of Poor Children (1997): 884 Child Poverty Rate: 15.9%

Inellector	Bose Dofo	- Worsened	lmproxed	ф	Recent Poto	Remis
Low Birthweight	7.3% of live births 1984-86			25.3%	5.3% of live births 1996-98	12
Infant Mortality	17.7/1000 live births 1984-86	_		76.3%	4.2/1000 live births 1996-98	15
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 38.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	-12.2%			42.8/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	63
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 4.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	195.0%		14	14.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	26
Child Deaths	43.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	.76.0%			76.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	74
High School Dropouts	6.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-13.4%			7.1% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	74
Violent Crime Arrests	15.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	1,309.3%			220.4/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	59

%0.09

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

1994-97 0.18/100

1998 1998 2000 1997

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

1,607

1998

Child Population < 6

RANKED tie for (QG)

Early Childhood Status

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 💛 state change 🖰 county change 🌣 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

19.5%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.451

23.6%

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change 📑 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) 256.9 /100 3.3% 55.0% 1994-97 1.39/1000 0.450 39.9% 801 RANKED (SS) tie for 1998 1998 2000 1997 Early Childhood Status Inadequate Prenatal Care mmunizations by Age 2 Births to Mothers < 20 Poverty - Children < 5 Child Population < 6 Lead-based Poisoning Child Care Availability

Number of Children (1998): 2,754 Children are 29.0% of the county population	
---	--


Number of Poor Children (1997): 887 Child Poverty Rate: 32.6%

Inclication	ලයන මත්ය	0	Worsened	Improved	Ф	Recent Polo	सिटामीड
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1984-86	%/:II:				7.2% of live births 1996-98	9
Infant Mortality	11.9/1000 live births 1984-86				30.7%	8.3/1000 live births 1996-98	46
Births to Young Teens	95.1/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				33.5%	63.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	7,6
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 8.7 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	-31.1%				11.4 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	=
Child Deaths	13.3/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	-137.6%				31.6/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	46
High School Dropouts	6.0% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				22.2%	4.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	45
Violent Crime Arrests	438.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				35.9%	281.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	89

Tulsa County

Children are 25.8% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 140,241

Number of Poor Children (1997): 28,358 Child Poverty Rate: 20.0%



47,536 38.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Population < 6

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.42/1000

1998 1998

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-based Poisoning

County Benchmarks 134

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)

Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

0.624

2000

Poverty - Children < 5 Child Care Availability

%1.9

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

Εij

Wagoner County County Seat: Wagoner

Children are 29.4% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 16,221

Number of Poor Children (1997): 3,024 Child Poverty Rate: 18.3%

100					•	
	Inellector	වයෙම මැති	- Worsanad Improved	स्त्र्व क	Recent Date	(Remlt
7	Low Birthweight	7.2% of live births 1984-86		16.5%	6.6% of live births 1996-98	72
RANKED THE FOR THE FORM THE FO	Infant Mortality	3.5/1000 live births 1984-86	-129.4% (7)		8.1/1000 live births 1996-98	44
1998 4,537	Births to Young Teens	27.7/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86		23.6%	21.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	=
1995 46.0%	'	Child Abuse & Neglect 6.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	, 119.0% X0.911.		13.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	11
1994-97 U.30,1000	Child Deaths	36.0/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	7.0X		38.5/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	88
	High School Dropouts	6.6% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	-0.2%		6.6% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	77
2000 0.436	Violent Crime Arrests	34.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	113.2%		72.6/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	91
1997 22.4%		— positive or negative — are graphed with brok	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🔝 state change 🗀 county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — Gunty Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	## = zero base - cann	ot calculate change	(77 = worst)

Child Population < 6

Immunizations by Age 2

Early Childhood Status

Inadequate Prenatal Care

Births to Mothers < 20 Lead-bosed Poisoning

Poverty - Children < 5 Child Care Availability

☐ state change ☐ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

Washington County

County Seat: Bartlesville

arly Childhood Status		RANKED 00
hild Population < 6	1938	3,599
nmunizations by Age 2	1995	29.0%
ead-based Poisoning	1994-97	1994-97 1.01/1000

		RANKED	
Early Childhood Status	•	(2)	- 1
Child Population < 6	1938	3,599	_
Immunizations by Age 2	1995	29.0%	
Lead-based Poisoning	1994-97' 1.01/1000	1.001	1
Births to Mothers < 20	8561	142.9/1000	- 1
Inadequate Prenatal Care	1998	3.1%	
Child Care Availability	2000	0.703	
Poverty - Children < 5	1661	23.4%	

Jumber of Children (1998): 11,833 Children are 24 9% of the County non

county population

Number of Poor Children (1997): 2,295 Child Poverty Rate: 19.1%

Incleanor	මයෙම මැත්	0	Worsened	limproved	¢	Recent Dete	Genk
Low Birthweight	6.2% of live births 1984-86	.2.1%				6.3% of live births 1996-98	n
Infant Mortality	13.4/1000 live births 1984-86				62.4%	5.0/1000 live births 1996-98	61
Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 32.0/1000 girls 15.17 1984-86				19.1%	25.9/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	81
Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 7.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	.282.8%				27.6 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	19
Child Deaths	47.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				54.9%	21.4/100,000 children 1.14 1996-98	77
High School Dropouts	4.2% of grades 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97				16.6%	3.5% of grades 9.12 SY 96/97-98/99	24
Violent Crime Arrests	192.2/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	-42.8%				274.4/100,000 youth 10.17 1997.99	99

□ state change □ county change ### = zero base - cannot calculate change County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

137 County Benchmarks

Washita County County Seat: Cordell

Children are 27.3% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 3,215

Number of Poor Children (1997): 900 Child Poverty Rate: 27.7%

77 = worst)	Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🛚 state change 🗆 county change 🔭 ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst)	ero base - o	22 = ###	unty change	🗆 state change 🗇 co	oken bar	• — positive or negative — are graphed with br	Change of more than 110%
42	136.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99					-86.5%	72.9/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92	Violent Crime Arrests
14	2.7% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99					.57.8%	1.7% of grodes 9-12 SY 94/95-96/97	High School Dropouts
36	27.4/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	26.8%					37.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86	Child Deaths
8	13.2 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	-				-65.3%	8.0 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	Child Abuse & Neglect
22	28.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	10.6%					31.4/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86	Births to Young Teens
tie for 1	0.0/1000 live births 1996-98						10.2/1000 live births 1984-86	Infant Mortality
30	7.7% of live births 1996-98					-24.0%	5.3% of live births 1984-86	Low Birthweight
अटाजी इ	Recent Defe	\$	lmproxed		Worsened	0	මයන මත්ල	Indicator

219.7/1000

1998 1998 2000 1661

Births to Mothers < 20

Lead-bosed Poisoning

8.3%

Inadequote Prenatal Care

1994-97 0.00/1000

76.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Child Populotion < 6

931

RANKED

Early Childhood Status

33.9%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability

0.516

Woods County County Seat: Alva

Children are 21.2% of the county population Number of Children (1998): 1,776

Number of Poor Children (1997): 397 Child Poverty Rate: 22.0%

Indicator	ලාපම ලදල	- Wes	Worsened	Umproved	Ф	Recent Dete	स्टाम इ
Low Birthweight	7.3% of live births 1984-86	-18.2%	<u></u>			7.4% of live births 1996-98	11
Infant Mortality	18.3/1000 live births 1984-86				21.2%	14.4/1000 live births 1996-98	11
Births to Young Teens	28.2/1000 girls 15-17 1984-86				32.0%	19.2/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	6
Child Abuse & Neglect	30.5 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86				7.9%	28.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	69
Child Deaths	80.5/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				%0:001	0.0/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	tie for 1
High School Dropouts	2.5% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97	-14.5%				2.8% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	91
Violent Crime Arrests	0.0/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92		management of the same of		##	77.1/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	19

41.0%

1995

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Paisoning

1998

Child Populotion < 6

RANKED 545

Early Childhood Status

1994-97 0.00/1023

1998

Births to Mothers < 20

1998 2000 1661

Inadequate Prenatal Care

🗆 state change 🗖 county change 🏻 ### = 2ero base - cannot calculate change 💍 County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worst) Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar

26.9%

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Avoilability

0.582 2.1%

County Benchmarks 130

Woodward County

Number of Children (1998): 5,157

Number of Poor Children (1997): 1,031

	County Seat: Woodward		Children a	Children are 27.8% of the county population	ounty population	_	Child Poverty Rate: 19.6%	
To "La	मिटीख्टारम	වයම මණ	0	Worsened	Umproved		Recent Dete	रिटामीर
7	Low Birthweight	5.5% of live births 1984-86	-17.6%				7.3% of live births 1996-98	59
RANKED (SS)	Infant Mortality	14.9/1000 live births 1984-86				71.7%	4.2/1000 live births 1996-98	16
1998 1,500	Births to Young Teens	Births to Young Teens 43.1/1000 girls 15.17 1984-86				25.6%	32.1/1000 girls 15-17 1996-98	29
1995 64.0%	Child Abuse & Neglect	Child Abuse & Neglect 16.8 confirmed/1000 children FY 1984-86	7.6%				18.1 confirmed/1000 children FY 1997-99	42
1994-97 ^{U.16} 1300 1998 1883 <u>7</u>	Child Deaths	65.1/100,000 children 1-14 1984-86				49.7%	32.8/100,000 children 1-14 1996-98	8
%9.Z 8661	High School Dropouts	2.3% of grades 9.12 SY 94/95-96/97	-92.6%				4.5% of grades 9-12 SY 96/97-98/99	42
000 0.533	Violent Crime Arrests	412.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1990-92				90.2%	40.3/100,000 youth 10-17 1997-99	
1997 24.0%	Change of more than 110%	and dring bandras are and proper to entitle ha	ohen hav	and a state	### **********************************	7	Chance of more than 110% - notities or reserving - are searched with broken for a course of more than 110% - notities or reserving Duck (1 - Lan) (77]

Early Childhood Status

Immunizations by Age 2

Lead-based Poisoning

Child Population < 6

Inadequate Prenatal Care Births to Mothers < 20

Poverty - Children < 5

Child Care Availability


Change of more than 110% — positive or negative — are graphed with broken bar 🗀 state change 🗆 county change – ### = zero base - cannot calculate change — County Rank (1 = best) (77 = worss)







A Comprehensive Guide to Understanding Benchmark Data County Benchmark Key



profile the status of children a detailed explanation of The seven indicators and youth in Oklahoma. See Methodology and Sources for tracked by KIDS COUNT each indicator. For example, High School Dropouts only count youth under age 19.

rate and the period in

which it was collected.

new indicator presents a rate for the most recent year available. Each item specifies the recent

that measured currently was available. Each item specifies presents a rate for a when data comparable to the base rate and period in Base data for each indicator previous three year period which it was collected.

period in which it presents a rate for item specifies the three years. Each the most recent Recent data for recent rate and each indicator was collected.

> left of center, the county rate has indicator. If the bar goes to the

worsened. If the bar goes to

rate has improved. The lighter the right of center, the county

colored wider bar behind the

that indicator and allows you bar chart is the state rate for

to compare the county with

the state as a whole.

The bar chart shows the percent

change between the base data

and the recent data for each

Tips for Data Interpretation & Use

Understand What is Being Measured:

It is important to understand what is being measured and how. Several types of data information are available for each benchmark: numbers, average annual numbers, county and state rates, percentage change between years, base and recent data, and county rankings. A "key" directing the reader to the various types of data information is presented at the beginning of this Understanding the Data section. The Methodology and Sources later in this section details what each benchmark means, what data is included and where it is from.

Each county benchmark and the rate of change calculated for each county benchmark is rounded for presentation on the county pages. The rounding may cause the results to vary from the rates which might be calculated from the rounded numbers appearing on each county page.

Beware of Small Numbers:

County populations vary significantly. Such variations should be considered when interpreting the differences among counties. Be aware that small counties may have a small number of events (e.g., child deaths, arrests for violent crimes) which can cause rates to vary considerably from year to year without reflecting real change. For this reason, the benchmarks use three-year averages to improve the reliability of rate comparisons.

Low rates may appear in counties with large populations. Relying solely on rates, without considering the numbers involved, may result in overlooking locations which have large numbers of suffering children.

Remember the Uses and Limits of Data:

Benchmarks provide important baseline information. Effective use of benchmarks requires them to be understood in a broad context. They provide one way to look at how children are doing in a county or state. Benchmarks can provide the starting place to initiate dialogue with others who share your interest. There are many important perspectives required to piece together a complete picture. Collect additional data and viewpoints to flesh out the most useful view of child well- being in your own area.

146

Methodology and Sources

COUNT Factbook came from a wide variety of sources identifies the sources for the information found in this Data and information used in this Oklahoma KIDS in Oklahoma and around the nation. This section document and the method used for computations where applicable.

categories to report racial characteristics. Some indicators information. At times different sources will use different race or ethnic group are encouraged to re-title or refer to classify race and ethnicity. The categories listed in this report are the categories in the sources relied upon for to look across the indicators by race. Persons within each There is no agreement about the specific terminology or the number of categories which should be used to were not available by race at all. This makes it difficult the data in a manner which reflects their preferences.

Native American, and so on). Of those counting Hispanic Extreme caution should be used when reviewing, using children as a nationality, only a few maintain statistics Some agencies count Hispanic children as a race and others consider Hispanic to be a nationality whose and comparing data related to Hispanic populations. children can be any race (White, African American, on the proportion of children who are Hispanic.

As a result, when Hispanic is considered to be a race, data may appear as follows:

70.0%	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	2.0%	3.0%	100.0%
White	Native American	American Indian	Hispanic	Asian	Other	

When Hispanic is considered to be a nationality, data may appear as follows:

			95.0%	2.0%	100.0%
		•	non-Hispanic	Hispanic	TOTAL
7.0%	4.0%	72.0%	11.0%	11.0%	100.0%
Asian	Other	White	Native American 11.0%	American Indian 11.0%	TOTAL

18 including dependents of Armed Forces personnel sta-Child Population is the total resident population under age tioned in the area. Child population data for 1980 and 1980 and 1990 Census. Current child population data 1990 for the state and counties are counts from the for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1998.

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A and 2B and U.S.

of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. 1990, Summary Tape File 1A and Summary Tape File 2B. Current Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Population Estimates Branch, 1998.

udge relative importance. Taken together, these indicators individual indicators for each county to assess the relative provide the best start for its youngest citizens. All indicaors were given the same weight, making no attempt to rankings are based on the following seven indicators mprovement the county needs to achieve in order to updated and tracked from year to year. Individual provide a comprehensive picture of a county's early Early Childhood County Index combines the ranks of seven childhood status in a manner which can be ranked, elated to early childhood care and education:

Population of Young Children Birth Through Age Five (1998) identifying counties with the largest numbers of infants, toddlers and preschool children

Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of SOURCE: Data provided by Oklahoma State Data Center, Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1998.

Required Immunizations Which Have Been Completed by Age Two (1995) — measuring the provision of preventive health services to infants and toddlers in the community

SOURCE: Data provided by PLUTO, Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. [NOTE: Counties without local health departments were assigned the state immunization rate for comparison purposes].

Elevated Lead-based Poisoning Tests per 1,000 Tests Administered (1994 - 1997) — measuring community environmental hazards dangerous to young children

SOURCE: Data provided by Family Health Services, Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Births to Mothers Under Age 20 per 1000 Live Births (1998) — counting children born into the community less likely to have adequate emotional and financial resources

SOURCE: Data provided by Family Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Inadequate (late, little or no) Prenatal Care (1998) — counting pregnancies in the community likely to result in health problems for the babies

SOURCE: Data provided by Family Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma Ciry, Oklahoma.

Licensed Child Care Slots per Child Under Age 6 with Working Parents (2nd Quarter, 2000) — measuring the availability of licensed child care in the community

SOURCE: Data provided by Planning and Research Unit, Office of Finance, Department of Human Services (DHS): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Poverty Among Children Under Age Five (1997, estimates)
— a measure of the presence of very poor infants, toddlers and preschool children in the community

SOURCE: Data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates - 1996 and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates - 1997. [NOTE: 1997 poverty for children under age five was estimated by applying the percent difference between 1996 poverty among those under 18 and under age five to the 1997 poverty under age 18 in each county.]

children in Poverty counts the related children under age 18 who live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty threshold (poverty income guidelines). Related children are the children related to the "family head" by birth, marriage or adoption and include relatives such as nieces and nephews. Children under age 18 who do not live in a household where they are related to the head of the household are not included in this analysis. The Poverty Income Guidelines, as defined by the U.S. Office of

Management and Budget, are based upon the amount of money that is required to purchase a nutritionally adequate diet. A family is classified as poor if its income falls below this minimum standard. Data counts poor children calculated as a percent of all children.

SOURCE: Data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program: State and County Income and Poverty Estimates - 1997.

grams). The data is reported by place of mother's residence, ethnicity of the mother allows display of low birthweight beginning in the first trimester with ten or more visits), was unknown. Beginning in 1998, information on the care include: adequate (the recommended level of care pounds (2,500 grams). Very Low Birthweight Infants are live not place of birth. Births count both the total resident live births and the low-weight births and compare two reported as White, Black and American Indian. Other vary from the total of all the counties since state totals redefined to reflect the mother's race. State totals may Low Birthweight Infants are live births weighing under 5.5 may include births for which the county of residence addresses the timing and amount of medical care and monitoring an expectant mother receives. Levels of through 1998), resulting in a three-year rate. Race is races are included in numbers and calculations for births weighing under 3 pounds, five ounces (1,500 White. Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was rates for the Hispanic population. Level of Prenatal Care three-year periods (1984 through 1986 and 1996

Understanding the Data

E

visits), and no care. Rates are calculated as percentages of trimester with one or more visits), little (care beginning births and the level of care for the three-year period from four through nine visits OR care beginning in the second trimester with four or more visits), early (care beginning all live births. Births count both the total resident live in the first or second trimester with one through three in the first trimester), late (care beginning in the third intermediate (care beginning in the first trimester with 1996 through 1998, resulting in a three-year rate.

State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. SOURCE: Data provided by Family Health Service, Oklahoma

nfant Mortality is death occurring to an infant under 1 year in a three-year rate. Race is reported as White, Black and and calculations for White. Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was redefined to reflect the mother's race. State (1984 through 1986 and 1996 through 1998), resulting per 1,000 live births. The data counts the total resident American Indian. Other races are included in numbers dence, not place of birth or death. Rates are calculated state totals may include births for which the county of totals may vary from the total of all the counties since of age. The data is reported by place of mother's resilive births and the deaths for two three-year periods residence was unknown.

SOURCE: Birth and mortality data provided by Family Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health(OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

population data for the state and counties is the midpoint between the 1980 and 1990 Census populations. Current Department of Commerce to arrive at 1996 through 1998 Other races are included in numbers and calculations for through 1998). Rates are displayed as births per 1,000 for the state and each county, resulting in three-year rates. total resident live births to mothers in this age group for females between ages 15 through 17. Base female teen of the Census and adjusted by the Oklahoma State Data fined to reflect the mother's race. State totals may vary from the total of all the counties since state totals may include Births to Young Teens are live births which occur to mothers two three-year periods (1984 through 1986 and 1996 White. Beginning in 1989, the "race of child" was redepopulation for the state is estimated by the U.S. Bureau mother's residence, not place of birth. Births count the Race is reported as White, Black and American Indian. births for which the county of residence was unknown. estimates of females between the ages of 15 through 17 ages 15 through 17. The data is reported by place of Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma

Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Estimates Branch, 1997; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Estimates Branch, 1996; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population SOURCE: Birth data provided by Family Health Service, Estimates Branch, 1998.

responsible for the child's welfare allowing or encouraging Child Abuse and Neglect means harm or threatened harm to a indecent acts or proposals by a person responsible for the or neglect was confirmed. The data compares child abuse investigations and, of those, the number for which abuse 1998. Race is reported as White, Black, Indian, Hispanic, ourposes of this data, are counted as races, rather than as oetween this data and other data in the Oklahoma KIDS sexual exploitation or non-accidental physical or mental injury. Sexual Abuse includes rape, incest and lewd or engaging in child pornography. Neglect means failing to provide adequate food, clothing, shelter or medical care. suspected abuse or neglect for which there were formal child's health or welfare. Abuse includes sexual abuse, through 1999). Rates are displayed as confirmations per child's health or welfare by a person responsible for the child's welfare. Sexual Exploitation includes a person 1,000 children (under age 18). Base child population data for the state and counties is the midpoint between Asian and Other/Unknown. Hispanic children, for the 10 O. Supp. 2000 \$ 7102. Data includes reports of and neglect confirmations for two three-year periods Fiscal Years 1984 through 1986 and Fiscal Years 1997 the 1980 and 1990 Census populations. Current child a child to engage in prostitution or pornography or population data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1996, 1997 and ethnic groups preventing precise racial comparisons COUNT Factbook.

the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, SOURCE: Child abuse and neglect data provided by the Division (DHS): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by of Child Welfare, Oklahoma Department of Human Services

Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1996; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1998.

1997 and 1998 and adjusted by the State Data Center to ages 1 through 14. Teen Deaths are the number of deaths through 14. Current teen population data for the state is the total of all the counties since state totals may include and 1990 Census populations. Current child population the state and counties is the midpoint between the 1980 rates. Rates are displayed as deaths per 100,000 children from ages 15 through 19. Base child population data for arrive at a current estimate of the number of teens from of youth from ages 15 through 19. The data is reported estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for 1996, deaths for which the county of residence was unknown. estimate of the number of children from the ages of 1 adjusted by the State Data Center to arrive at a current the ages of 15 through 19. State totals may vary from data for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S. from ages 1 through 14 and deaths per 100,000 teens Cause of Death measures the percent of deaths that are 1986 and 1996 through 1998), resulting in three-year Bureau of the Census for 1996, 1997 and 1998 and Child Deaths are the number of deaths of children from counts deaths from all causes. The data compares the death rates for two three-year periods (1984 through by place of residence, not place of death. The data

caused by diseases, accidents and violence. By definition, deaths by violence include murder, suicide and deaths that occur during legal interventions. Race is reported as White, Black and American Indian. Other races are included in numbers and calculations for White.

SOURCE: Death data provided by Family Health Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1980, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1996; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1999.

High School Dropouts count youths of any age who quir school without graduating. The data presents the number of high school drop-outs for a three-year period (School Year 1996/97 through 1998/99). The data also presents the total number of high school drop-outs who are under the age of 19. High School Dropout Rutes compare number of high school drop-outs under age 19 to the enrollment in grades 9 through 12. School officials in Oklahoma are required to notify the State Department of Education of the name, address, race and age of any pupil dropping out of school. 70 O.Supp. 1996 § 35e(A). Race is reported as White, Black, Indian, Asian and Hispanic. There is no provision for reporting other races. Hispanic children, for the purposes of this data,

are counted as a race, rather than an ethnic group preventing precise racial comparisons between this data and other data in the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook.

SOURCE: Data provided by the Office of Accountability, Education Oversight Board: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

in juvenile crime, changes in police activity and changes forcible rape, robbery, or aggravated assault). The annual of arrests of youth ages 10 through 17 for violent offensassault) to all children ages 10 through 17 and is report-Bureau of the Census for 1997 and 1998 and adjusted by the State Data Center to arrive at an estimate of the ing the year, including repeated arrests of the same indi-1990 Census population. Current child population data in public policy. While policies and practices regarding Juvenile Violent Crime Arrests count arrests of youth from the vidual for different offenses. Rates compare the number more consistent for violent crimes than for less serious arrest figures include all arrests for violent offenses durjuvenile violent crime rates for two three-year periods Increases in the number of arrests may reflect increases (1990 through 1992 and 1997 through 1999). Base child population data for the state and counties is the juvenile arrests may vary from county to county and crimes. Data collected counts juveniles by age or by ages of 10 through 17 for violent offenses (homicide, ed as a rate per 100,000 youths. The data compares city to city, it is widely believed that the policies are number of children from the ages of 10 through 17. es (homicide, forcible rape, robbery, or aggravated for the state and counties is estimated by the U.S.



race, but age and race cannot be compared. Race is reported as White, Black, Indian, and Asian. There is no provision for reporting other races. Hispanic Origin is counted separately and as an ethnicity, not as a race. Oklahoma KIDS COUNT reports juvenile violent crime arrest data on a state and county basis. Several counties reported no juvenile violent crime arrests in the years included in the Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook.

SOURCE: Arrest data provided by the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation (OSBI): Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Population data provided by the Oklahoma State Data Center, Planning and Research Division, Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC), using U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of the Population and Housing 1990, Summary Tape File 1A; U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1997; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Estimates Branch, 1998.

ERIC*

Additional References

W. Steven Barnett. (1996). Lives in the Balance: Age-27 Benefit-Cost Analysis of the High Scope Perry Preschool Program. Ypsilanti, Michigan: The High/Scope Press.

Carnegie Foundation. (1994). Starting Points.

The Annie E. Casey Foundation. (2000). KIDS COUNT Data Book: State Profiles of Child Well-Being. Baltimore, MD.

The Annie E. Casey Foundation. (1999). KIDS COUNT Special Report: The Right Start: Conditions of Babies and Their Families in America's Largest Cities. Baltimore, MD.

Early learning, Later Success: The Abecedarian Study. Available at http://www.fpg.unc.edu/-abc/embargoed/executive_summary.htm

Fight Crime: Invest in Kids. (2000). American's Child Care Crisis: A Crime Prevention Tragedy. Available at http://www.fightcrime.org/

Governor's Task Force on Early Childhood Education. (December 13, 2000). Report and Recommendations for Oklahoma Infants, Toddlers and Preschool Children (from Birth through Age Four) and their Families. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

S. Helburn, ed. (1995). Costs, Quality and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers: technical report. Denver, CO.: Department of Economics, Center for Research in Economic and Social Policy, University of Colorado.

R. Maynard, Ed. (1996). *Kids Having Kids, A Special Report on the Costs of Adolescent Childbearing*. Robin Hood Foundation: New York, New York.

Access to Affordable Care for Low-Income Families and

Fostering Economic Development.

Southern Institute for Children and Families. (April 2000). Child Care in the Southern States: Expanding

National Council of Jewish Women. (1999). Opening a New Window on Child Care: A Report on the Status of Child Care in the Nation Today. New York, New York: NCJW.

The Oklahoma Institute for Child Advocacy. (1999). Oklahoma Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook: 1999. Oklahoma Department of Commerce, Oklahoma Commission on Children and Youth, S Design, Contracts & Consultation, The Annie E. Casey Foundation: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. OICA: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation. (1998). Uniform Crime Report. Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Rima Shore. (1997). Rethinking the Brain: New Insights into Early Development (Executive Summary). New York, New York: Families and Work Institute.

TO Cklahoma K



ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Data Tables

Number of Children Under Age 18: Total & Five-year Age Ranges Number of Children Under Age 6: Total & Each Year Oklahoma & Counties: 1980, 1990 and 1998 (estimate)

	P- O sago resibilita (lo, lo sinassag	26.0%	24.6%	23.2%	23.1%	21.5%	24.9%	25.7%	25.4%	25.7%	24.6%	24.6%	25.9%	24.8%	24.1%	25.9%	22.3%	78.8%	23.6%	24.6%	24.8%	26.2%	24.7%	22.1%	19.5%	25.3%	22.5%	73.8%	25.8%	24.1%	24.8%	% 70.8%	23.0%	21.6%	29.5%	22.4%	22.5%	25.9%	23.8%	24.6%
	P. O capo c capo llo lo manad	6.8%	7.4%	4.9%	2.9%	% 0.9	7.2%	7.1%	6.4%	7.1%	7.2%	%9:9	%6.9	%6.9	%9.9	6.7%	%0.9	80.8	e.1%	2.7%	%9 .9	7.0%	2.9%	2.9%	20%	%9.9	5.7%	6.7%	6.5 %	4.9%	7.3%	2.5%	5.8%	2.0%	8.6%	2.6%	% 0.9	6.7%	%2.9	6.7%
 	iaquinu 7 Sabo 866 l	228.30 <u>0</u>	1,513	797	783	362	1,414	742	2,207	2,200	6,192	2,930	2,709	1,033	195	13,566	328	890%	4	823	4,463	1,791	2,012	786	216	3,729	1,542	3,079	346	314	253	187	664	669	2,575	366	623	3,136	606	713
	SeQ sələç Qalaşılıp (Qa quəssəd	31.3%	29.2%	28.5%	28.0%	26.3%	30.4%	31.2%	30.4%	30.4%	29.9%	29.7%	31.1%	29.3%	29.1%	31.3%	26.8%	34.4%	28.9%	78.9%	29.8%	31.5%	29.5%	76.0%	23.6%	30.5%	27.4%	78.9%	31.1%	29.7%	29.8%	24.6%	28.0%	25.9%	35.5%	27.3%	27.4%	31.4%	29.9%	29.7%
	2-0, səgo zəgo İlo lo Tnassəq	8.7%	8.8%	%0.9	7.2%	7.3%	8.8%	%9 :8	7.6%	8.4%	8.8%	8.0%	8.3%	8.1%	8.0%	8.1%	7.2%	9.5%	7.5%	%/.9	8.0%	8.4%	7.0%	%6.9	6.1%	7.9%	%6.9	œ. %	7.9%	% % 9	8.7%	6. 1%	7.1%	5.9%	10.8%	9.8%	7.3%	8.1%	8.5%	8.1%
	Joyal unmbet	275 002	1,795	364	948	444	1,728		2,642	2,602	7,535	3,541	3,249	1,222	736	16,382	430	10,833	205	896	5,364	2,154	2,405	340	762	4,508	1,876	3,733	422	8	304	721	118	836	3,096	446	758	3,805	1,146	. 862
	radmun 8991 E c ago	46 709	282		165	82	314	159	435	402	1,343	[19	240	189	4	2,816	7.5	1,765	<u>6</u>	145	106	363	393	<u></u>	46	179	334	 75	۲۲ ا	39		8	147	137	231	. 8	135	699	737	149
-	194mun 8691 P ago	45 569	71.7	19	162	69	264	151	438	404	1,342	236	498	98	36	2,735		1,794	82	144	868	384	384	99	S	716	314	623	7.5	5	29	42	130	145	461	&	133	652	219	138
	1998 number E ago	44 374	272	- 79	148	. 62	294	133	441	443	1,243	298	208	195	39	2,681	78	989'1	73	991	895	369	391	9	38	712	303	629	7.7	<i>L</i> 9	42	æ	137	139	491	8	23	618	172	136
	1988 inumber S agu	15 003	307	35	147	78	786	15.	445	432	961'1	265	212	208	36	2,655	64	1,804	6/	171	88	377	317	25	4	749	293	 (3)	9	22	46	e	132	55	115	22	14	632	E	157
ach Year 8 (estimate,	1948 nadmun 8691 1 ago	k 367	323	. 64	163	78	289	151	446	455	1,210	564	265	196	43	2,734	75	1,844		8	890	338	451		36	176	309	297	89	72	47	34	135	142	291	7.5	112	582	165	136
: Total & Eac 90 and 1998	1998 number 50.5 0 ege					. !			437	. :				i 1 - 7		: :				:		. :								. :	-	1 1						. !		
Inder Age 6. : 1980, 19	VI:O sego sego llo io inssied		_						-	27.7%			26.7%	-	27.4%	26.0%		27.7%	26.0%			-	23.9%	-		26.0%		28.1%		20.5%		75.0%	1	72.9%		24.8%	_	25.9%	28.3%	27.3%
Number of Children Under Age Oklahoma & Counties: 1980, 19	71.0 sago 8991 1944 isalamu	870 347	6.147	1,279	3,387	1,686	5,681	2,886	869'8	8,570	25,208	11,930	10,443	4,173	810	52,347	1,602	31,492	1,740	3,349	18,013	6,832	8,156	1,306	1,109	14,760	6,848	12,919	1,355	1,302	1,019	006	2,892	3,229	8,733	1,635	2,769	12,108	3,827	2,898
Number of Oklahoma	7f - O sago 0991 1adrijun	927 007 87		1.352	3,316	0/9/1	5,287	3,169	8,074	8,440				4,308	910	45,979	1,537	31,515		3,301	17,093	7,115	908′9	1,463	1,178	14,878	6,763	918′11	1,432	1,312	1,041	1,032	2,840	3,199	8,478	1,732	2,679	12,753	3,784	3,025
	7(1-0 гада 0891 ладши		3, :	:	3,488	2,026	5,255		:			12,441		:			1,737			3,900		6,629	6,642	1,575	1,547	17,302				1,522	1,224	1,190	3,101	3,776	9,469	2.268	2,922	13,168	4,193	3,284
യിയ്ങ്		36	oʻ. 	-			-	-		<u> </u>	_			= -	_	÷				- •	_	= -				·-			_		_	+			-				-	
Child Population	эшои Аџиог	1	Adair County	Alfulfa (Dunty	Atoka County	Beaver County	Beckham County	Blaine County	Bryan County	Caddo County	Canadian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctaw County	Cimarron County	Cleveland County	Coal County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Garfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Harmon County	Harper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Johnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Kiawa County

E

Poppulation Number of Children Under Age (8: Total & Five-year Age Ranges Number of Children Under Age (5: Total & Each Year Numbe			. 1908 number 1998 number	144	612	423	441	103
Number of Children Under Age 18: Total & Five-year Age Ranges Number of Children Under Age 6: Total & Each Year Number of Children Under Age 6: Total & Each Year Oklaboma & Counties: 1980, 1990 and 1998 (estimate) 27			iadmun 8991 P ago	122	612	439	408	68
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම			1998 number	129	614	330	382	<u>.</u> 56
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම	c	ge Kanges ,)		121	019	422	37.2	114
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම	i	rive-year A Each Year 98 (estimate	19dmun 8991 1 ago	142	119	392	374	113
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම		18: 10tal & 6: Total & 19	A65 0.55 1998 number 0.55	146	645	372	404	801
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම		Under Age Under Age ies: 1980, 1	V1-O sago γίο ogo llo lo trastaq	26.8%	27.3%	27.8%	26.5%	76.5%
Pමාව්ම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම මාම ම		of Children of Children 1a & Count	√1-0 гада 8001 19dmun	2,766	12,723	8,731	8,205	9 2 50
ඉම්ම වැම්ල මින්	;	Number Number Oklahon	71:0 zago 0991 13dmun	2,796	11,924	8,219	7,890	2 0.47
Populat Tr		1 000	1980 oges 0-17	2.759	12,250	7,896	7,837	6716
		වනනාග්ත්			. ≥	` >		

																1 1	The state of	されたとう
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				səl	AGES:0:5	,												
	190 oges 0-17 19drn 10drn	71: O sago 04:	71-0 гада 89: тадті	VI O səl go llo Io trassi	198 number 0 ag	198 number	194mun 899	z, əf	998 number 998	iadmiyn 800 Þag	998° number 2-90	866 radmun loto	o (cou), oj oji o S () sab	0,100 10,1ua) ia	Dilojio masia	Jaquin 1886 ades 0.4	o illo to tua ra) (10) (2) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10
		7 of 0	7 766	# %8 %¢) V	140			130	133	144	804	7.8			099	6.4%	23.9%
→		1 004	607.61	00.07 00.07	2 27	7 17		: =		. 69	(1)	3 704			-	3 092	%9 9	74 3%
7 -	_	916	8 731	27.8%	33.	392	422	2 2	330	439	423	2,438	7.8	:	77.9%	2,015	6.4%	23.1%
_		7.890	8.205	26.5%	404	374	· 65		382	408	441	2,381	7.7	:	_	1,940	6.3%	23.6%
		2.047	2,259	26.5%	8	112	; ; =	<u>4</u>	. 95	68	103	621	7.3		-	518	%1.9	22.9%
:_		906,9	7,078	27.0%	788	301	·	· =	340	331	347	1,918	7.3	:		1,571	%0.9	22.2%
12	:	9,894	10,146	29.2%	195	268		. 51	442	479	200	3,025		:	:	2,525	7.3%	24.9%
-		3,918	4,308	22.6%	706	183	_		194	706	500	1,189	6.2			086	5.1%	22.7%
-		2.174	2,090	26.7%	. 95	95		2	. 36	112	118	909	1.7	:	-	487	6.2%	23.3%
_		129	2,807	22.8%	14	154		. 42	132	131	133	858	9.9			685	2.6%	24.4%
_		2206	9744	25.9%	451	504	4	11	495	487	492	2,906	[]	:	-	2,414	6.4%	24.8%
_		3.067	3125	25.3%	128	144	-	32	134	134	155	855	. 6.9			00/	5.7%	22.4%
- 61			18.852	26.9%	926	931	5 0	66	864		8/6	5,547	7.9		<u>.</u>	4,569	6.5%	24.2%
†	,		3 0 7 0	76 9%	135	163	:	. 99	144	153	172	923	. . .			751	9.9 %	24.5%
		2.520	2.442	24.5%	121	115	-	: -	117	123	116	708	1.7		<u>.</u>	265	5.9%	24.2%
÷	:	3.024	2,921	25.6%	11	137	_	e	138	143	140	823	7.7	i	<u>:</u>	683	9 0.9	23.4%
156	<u> 52</u>		64,180	25.9%	289'6	9,572	0.6		8,771	8/0/6	9,232	55,438	8.8		_	907'91	7.3%	28.1%
- 2			10,372	26.7%	226	518	, '		510	27.	534	3,130				2,596	6.7%	25.0%
=		•	11,692	27.3%	2.57	551	<u>ن</u>	33	268	625	559	3,363	7.9	. :	-	2,804	6.5%	24.0%
_	2 900'6	;	7,345	23.7%	382	394		54	323	354	321	2,198	7			1,847	9 .0%	25.1%
+		4,198	4,369	26.6%	217	208	7	22	208	706	228	1,292	57.	:	, -	1,064	6.5 %	24.4%
12		12,997	13,829	21.2%	739	762	7	. 82	703	725	763	4,420	9.9			3,657	2.6%	26.4%
01	10,947 10,064		10,217	73.9%	484	482	4	31	445	464	226	2,862	(9	:	<u> </u>	2,336	5.5%	22.9%
_		8,531	8,613	24.9%	449	426	ব	14	407	420	456	2,572	7.6			2,116	% 1.9	24.6%
5	_	852	16,566	26.6%	774	808	1	 E	19/	809	847	4,770	[]	• •		3,923	6.3%	23.7%
		2,804	2,905	25.1%	136	140	_	92	140	120	120	842	7.3			692	9 .0%	23.8%
<u>. </u>		1,153	985	27.5%	45	47		39	49	44	12	275	7.7	. :	: 	224	6.3%	22.7%
=		15,672	18,269	26.8%	868	829	ão	94	657	879	924	5,411	7.5			4,487	9.9 %	24.6%
_	7,815 6,	6,765	6,495	26.2%	324	293	e		767	791	324	1,831	7.	: :	; ;	1,507	% 1.9	23.7%
_		9,628	10,403	27.7%	244	523	4	85	200	487	514	3,053	<u>~</u>			2,539	9 .8%	24.4%
=	_	10,993	11,235	25.9%	237	505	2	. 90	256	249	220	3,173	7		_	2,623	%0.9	73.3%
<u>-</u>		4,622	5,390	28.9%	592	263	7	41	270	242	322	1,607			_	1,285	%6 .9	23.8%
		2,895	2,754	29.0%	118	154	_	 92	117	133	143	8	8	. !	- ;	658	%6.9	73.9%
129	=		140,241	25.8%	8,278	8,080	1,9	. 59	7,631	7,794	1,788	47,536			_	39,748	7.3%	28.3%
1			16,221	29.4%	730	748	1	. 60	736	. [08	813	4,537	∞	: -		3,724	% 2.9	23.0%
		425	11,833	24.9%	281	578		: 8/	609	614	639	3,599	7.		_	2,960	6.2%	25.0%
+	:	3,089	3,215	27.3%	143	141			144	157	8E	931	57			751	6.4%	23.4%
_		1,963	1,776	21.2%	79	83		90	4	92	104	545	9			441	5.3%	24.8%
<u>-</u>				-														

E:

163

County Early Childhood Status Index: Ranking of Various Early Childhood Factors

Population Under Age 6, Percent of Immunizations Completed by Age 2, Rate of Elevated Lead-based Poisoning Tests, Proportion of Births to Mothers

Only age 20, Percent of Births with Indequated Process, Availability of Child Care, Rate of Poverty Among Children Under 5 7

	n .		The State of the S	The second second		The second second	10	The second second	10 Car 10 S	2 6/5	2.0	A 100 CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLU			The second second		Contract of the last
	POPULATION		- IIMMUNIZATI	OWS	POISONING		BIRTHS 10 M(THERS < 20		PRENATAL CARE			CHILD CARL AVA	LABILITY:	ROVERTY		
The part of the	balomiisa 899 3 ago iabn	Section Section	bətəlqmos 299 owi əgo yı	ушо	7001 p001 basad-bös (zisət bətavələ	auk Gurk	тэдтил 8661	0001\sta	tank	1998 late, little or iodiniun ənon	percent	yknøj	Znd quarter, 2000 slots/child <6 w/working paren	-yunı	zeromitze 7.991 Z> nestblirits	percent	COUK
tiefur 40 0.43 33 99 278.1 75 36 10.8% 70 0.218 76 71 25.0% tiefur 10 0.62 40 6 10.53 5 1 1 7 7 2 4.0% 7 0.03 6 10.53 7 1 0 7 0 33 4 3 2 4.0% 7 0 0 7 2 2.50% 4 3 3 3 4 3 2 4.0% 7 0 0 3 3 1 2 3.4% 1 0 0 3 1 2 2.4% 1 0 0 3 3 4	975 009		76.0%	9	3 82/1000		7 908	162.5		2.573	6.7%		0.579		65,635	29.0%	
	1 795 40		27.0%	tie for 46	0.43	33	66	278.1	75	36	10.8%	70	0.387	69	263	40.8%	99
lie for 30 1.02 49 31 20.6.7 54 11 77% 57 0.544 35 33.7 43.4% is fine for 30 lie for 30 0.00 lie for 3 0.05 lie for 3 0.05 46 26.7 34 16.25 36 36.8 36.8 36.9 16.25 37 47.8 31 0.555 46 26.7 38 33.5% 48 16.25 36 36.8 36 0.66 16.0 36 36.8 36 0.66 16.0 36 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36 36.8 36 0.66 36.9 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36.8 36.8 36 0.66 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.8	364 7		76.0%	tie for 10	0.62	40	9	105.3	50	2	4.0%	74	0.218	76	74	25.0%	70
lie for 3 0.15 4.7 1.6 1.0.5 1.6 1.0.5 1.6 1.0.5 1.6 1.0.5 1.6 1.0.5 1.0 1.0.5 1.0	948 31		%0.79	tie for 30	1.02	49	33	206.7	95	=	7.7%	22	0.544	33	337	43.4%	<i>L</i> 9
left vs 33 0.15 17 61 199.3 47 16 10.1% 67 0.0408 left vs 17 64 199.3 47 16 10.1% 67 0.0408 left vs 17 67 23.5% 46 25.2 35.3% 78 35.8% 78 0.048 25.9 35.8% 78 0.048 25.9 35.8% 28 0.048 25.8% 39 56.8% 39 66.8% 37 26.8% 39 66.8% 37 0.479 left vs 2 35.8% 38 6.8% 37 0.408 16.9 16.9 16.9 17.1 38 6.8% 37 0.479 left vs 3 33.4% 38 48 35.8% 37 0.449 41.9%	444		78.0%	tie for 3	0.00	tie for 1	e	253.5	1	7	3.4%	<u>e</u>	0.292	74	88	16.2%	د ى ؛
the for 7 0.24 2.6 194.6 44 3.9% 15.5 46 2.6 47.% 31.9 16.5 46 4.7 3.0% 46 7.5 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 46 3.5% 3.5% 46 3.5% 3.5% 46 3.5% 3.5% 46 3.5%	1,728 39		%0.99	tie for 33	0.15	11	19	199.3	47	91	10.1%	19	0.608 fi	e for 19	432	33.1%	4
1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	901 28		78.0%	fie for 7	0.24	76	. 29	194.6	44		3.0%	<u>ت</u> :	0.505	2 9	762	35.5%	tie tor 47
1,0			74.0%	tie for 19	1.06	8	103	216.4	62	ا ا	4.7%	ਲ i	0.537	ee 2	764	35.9%	3 5
Includy 0 0.07	2602 50		33.0%		0.19	22	8	203.0	Z :	육 8	12.0%	7 7	0.5/5	4Z	52.5	39.4%	3 -
He for To 1,23 56 139 202.6 51 21 4.5% 27 0.447 He for To 1,20			41.0%	ō.	0.07	<u> </u>	139	131.5	2 (₹5 E	80.0	8 8	0.400	2 5	ŧ 5	14.7%	- GP 1
in for 50	: ;	-	26.0%	٥	1.23	35	139	202.6	25		4.5%	87 5	0.4/9	e tor 52	0/6	33.3%	Tie for 42
Fig. 150 65 53 2548 72 13 688 50 0.740 4 411 418 411 418	3,249 59	_	26.0%	₫	0.17	tie for 19	901	174.1	E 1	8	%8.9 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	<u>-</u>	0.086	2 .	: [6]	40.4%	TIE TOT 03
Inchest Conton Inchest C		_	34.0%	75	1.90	59	S	254.8	72	<u>. </u>	%8.9 %8.9	S 1	0.740	4	491	49.0%	2 :
11.0 5.3 10.50 4 65 3.9% 23 0.64 14 2,536 18.08 11.0 1.69 6.3 2.91.7 7 1 1.1% 3 0.369 7 1 15.3 14.35% 18.08 11.0 1.3 0.00 ite for 13 1.42.9 ite for 18 10.8 5 0.37 7 1 15.8 5 0.37 7 1 14.8 1.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.18 2.98 1 1.19 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98 1 1.10 2.98	236 2	_	76.0%	tie for 10	0.00	tie for 1	9	176.5	35	ı,	17.2%	9/	0.271	<u>্</u>	<u>ب</u>	24.5%	11e 10r 10
1.69 63 28 291.7 71 1.1% 3 0.369 71 1.51 4.358 1.588	16,382 75		48.0%		0.23	tie for 24	722	105.0	4	S .	3.9%	22	0.634	<u>+</u>	2,538	80.0%	^ S
ine for 33 0.11 15 333 146.7 18 7.0% 54 0.622 10 2.871 31.48 77 0.00 ine for 13 1.22 1.67 34 1.67 34 0.65 37 118 29.88 ine for 35 1.26 2.73 1.67 34 5.28 1.67 34 2.68 37 1.10 2.89 ine for 36 0.28 1.75 1.64.8 2.6 1.78 7.3 0.460 37 1.10 2.58 ine for 10 0.06 39 5 1.13.6 ine for 7 5 1.28% 7.5 0.460 5 1.79 2.79 38.0% ine for 10 0.83 44 9 2.000 49 5 1.11% 7.2 0.460 5 1.28 7.5 0.440 7.7 7.0 24.3% ine for 10 0.00 1.28 1.1 2.28 2.6 0.470 ine for 2 2.8 </td <td>430 10</td> <td></td> <td>73.0%</td> <td>21</td> <td>1.69</td> <td>63</td> <td>78</td> <td>291.7</td> <td><u></u></td> <td>- ;</td> <td><u>%</u> :</td> <td>! دي</td> <td>0.369</td> <td>= ;</td> <td><u>-</u> ;</td> <td>43.5%</td> <td>11e TOF 08</td>	430 10		73.0%	21	1.69	63	78	291.7	<u></u>	- ;	<u>%</u> :	! دي	0.369	= ;	<u>-</u> ;	43.5%	11e TOF 08
77 0.00 fie for 1 13 1429 fie for 13 6 7.6% 55 0.337 7.3 118 29.85 fie for 35 1.26 57 29 165.7 27 11 6.7% 48 0.546 37 1,170 25.8% fie for 12 0.02 1.73 3 6.6 179.8 3 6.2% 44 0.540 37 1,170 25.8% fie for 10 0.85 3.9 5 1.28 75 0.086 37 7.7 70 24.3% fie for 10 0.85 4.4 9 200.0 49 5 11.1% 72 0.486 49 3.03 3.0	10,833 74		%0.99	ġ.	0.11	15	303	146.7	~	86 26 27	7.0%	X :	0.622	<u>•</u>	1/8/7	31.4%	-
	502 13	_	32.0%		0.00	tie for 1	<u> </u>	142.9	tie for 14	9	%9.7	: :	0.35/	2 2	æ 5	79.8%	8 5
Fig. 10.2 1.0.2	968 32	<u>. </u>	65.0%	₫	1.26	25	57	165.7	77	= 8	%/°	÷ :	0.545	# C	917	%6.72 96.96	3 5
He for 23 1.08 He for 24 1.25 1.08 1.05	5,364 70	_	45.0%	89	0.28		155	1/5.1	3 , 2	22 .	%Z.0	\$ °	0.540	, to 5,) 	%0.C7 77.0%	22 tio for 77
	2,154 44		71.0%	the for 23	80. 50.		2 5	9.6/1	÷ *	C ¥	%0./ 11.2%	2 2	0.477	77 1012	7.02	38 0%	/7 IN 911
He for 10 0.83 44 9 200.0 49 5 11.1% 72 0.430 6.3 5.3 24.9% 1.53 6.2 1.0 1	74 504,7	_	47.0% 90.74	70 101 911	7/10	3.	7	112.6	tio for 7	2 '	12.8%	7	0.096	14.	: 07	74 3%	. 15
tie for 54 0.00 tie for 1 53 155.4 23 11 4.2% 26 0.461 57 521 34.3% 16 for 1 0.00 tie for 1 117 191.5 43 19 3.8% 22 0.486 49 874 287% 18 for 1 17 191.5 43 19 3.8% 22 0.486 49 874 287% 18 for 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	340 0		76.0% 76.0%	tie for 10	0.00	77	n 6	2000	49	, v	11.1%	72	0.430	. 83	. EX	24.9%	61
tie for 54 0.00 tie for 1 53 155.4 23 11 4.2% 26 0.461 57 521 34.3% 74 0.00 tie for 1 117 191.5 43 19 38% 22 0.486 49 874 28.7% tie for 57 2.59 70 2 40.8 1 1.9% 4 0.567 26 137 45.4% tie for 19 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 2 6.3% 45 0.567 26 137 45.4% tie for 19 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 2 6.3% 45 0.567 26 137 45.4% tie for 10 0.00 tie for 19 0.00% tie for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% tie for 10 0.00 tie for 1 3 16.5% 2 6.3% 30 16.3% 45.4% tie for 3 5 1.05	79 803 7	_	43.0%	69	1.53	62	130	160.5	25	. 21	2.0%	2	0.513 1	e for 44	1,032	27.4%	. 92
74 0.00 lie for 1 117 191.5 43 19 3.8% 22 0.486 49 874 28.7% fie for 38 2.59 70 2 40.8 1 1.2% 8 0.383 70 84 24.1% fie for 19 3.77 74 16 242.4 69 1 1.9% 4 0.567 26 137 45.4% fie for 19 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 0.0% fie for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% fie for 10 0.00 fie for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% fie for 2 2.11 68 23 194.9 45 4 3.6% 20 0.619 18 25.37% fie for 3 1.95 67 32 194.9 45 4 3.6% 20 0.619 18 26.3% 40 28.3 40.2% fie for 3 1.28 5	1,876 42		52.0%	₫	0.00	tie for 1	23	155.4	23	=	4.2%	56	0.461	23	521	34.3%	46
tie for S7 2.59 70 2 40.8 1 1 2.2% 8 0.383 70 84 24.1% tie for 19 3.77 74 16 242.4 69 1 1.9% 4 0.567 26 137 45.4% tie for 19 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 2 6.3% 45 0.548 33 129 53.7% tie for 10 0.00 tie for 1 0.39 68 30 15.7% 40.2% 30 15.7% 40.2% 30 15.7% 40.2% 30 15.7% 40.2%	3,733 64		36.0%	74	0.00	tie for 1	117	191.5	43	61	3.8%	72	0.486	49	874	28.7%	33
tie for 18 4.44 75 16 242.4 69 1 1.9% 4 0.567 26 137 45.4% tie for 19 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 2 6.3% 45 0.548 33 129 53.7% tie for 10 0.00 tie for 1 0.00% tie for 1 0.039 68 30 15.7% tie for 10 0.00 tie for 3 1.2 2.6% 10 0.619 18 26.3 40.2% tie for 3 1.28 58 23 167.5 28 10 5.6% 37 0.842 1 278 41.8% tie for 3 1.28 58 90 175.1 33 12 2.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% tie for 26 2.63 72 2.6% 10 0.428 58 143 38.6% tie for 26 2.63 72 2.6% 10 0.458	422 9		49.0%	tie for 57	2.59	2	7	40.8	_	-	2.2%		0.383	2	8	24.1%	<u>7</u>
tile for 10 3.77 74 12 285.7 76 2 6.3% 45 0.548 33 129 53.7% tile for 10 0.00 tile for 10 0.00 tile for 10 0.00% tile for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% tile for 10 0.00 tile for 1 0.00 tile for 1 0.00 tile for 1 0.00 tile for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% tile for 3 1.28 58 90 175.1 33 12 2.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% tile for 2 0.00 tile for 3 3 2 3.3% tile for 15 0.424 64 724 28.4% 1 0.00 1.28 3 2 3.3% tile for 15 0.458 58 143 38.6% tile for 2 2 3.1 42 74 10.9% 71 0.518 16 for 29 17 40.4% tile f	380 8		63.0%	tie for 38	4.44	75	9	242.4	69	-	1.9%	4	0.567	92	137	45.4%	tie for 72
tile for 10 0.00 tile for 10 5 113.6 tile for 7 0 0.0% tile for 1 0.390 68 30 15.7% tile for 46 2.11 68 23 194.9 45 4 3.6% 20 0.619 18 263 40.2% tile for 40 1.28 56 37 6.7 37 10.7 1 278 41.8% 22 0.00 tile for 1 6 93.8 3 2 3.3% tile for 15 0.458 58 143 38.6% tile for 26 2.63 1.0 5.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% tile for 26 2.63 1.0 5.6% 10 0.458 58 143 38.6% tile for 26 2.63 1.0 5.28 7 10.9% 7 0.558 tile for 29 242 40.4% tile for 26 3.14 73 126.3 9 5 2.8% 17	304 5		74.0%	tie for 19	3.77	74	12	285.7	76	2	6.3%	45	0.548	<u>ج</u>	129	53.7%	= '
lie for 46 2.11 68 23 194.9 45 4 3.6% 20 0.619 18 263 40.2% fie for 40 1.28 67 32 167.5 28 10 5.6% 37 0.842 1 278 41.8% 22 1.28 58 90 175.1 33 12 2.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% 16 for 26 0.00 ife for 1 6 93.8 3 2 3.3% fie for 15 0.458 58 143 38.6% fie for 26 2.63 71 19.2% 71 0.558 fie for 29 24.2 40.4% fie for 30 1.07 51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 fie for 29 40.4% fie for 26 3.14 73 22.6 74 10.9% 71 0.513 fie for 29 66 179 19.4% fie for 26 27 30 23.26	721		26.0%	tie for 10	0.00	tie for 1	~	113.6	tie for 7	0	0.0%	fie for 1	0.390	9	8	15.7%	7
tie for 3 1.95 67 32 167.5 28 10 5.6% 37 0.842 1 278 41.8% tie for 40 1.28 58 90 175.1 33 12 2.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% 22 0.00 tie for 75 21 152.2 20 8 6.1% 42 0.558 tie for 79 242 40.4% tie for 30 1.07 51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 tie for 79 242 40.4% tie for 70 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.518 tie for 79 242 40.4% tie for 70 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.516 ie for 44 85.8 27.1% tie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 88% 65	811 21		57.0%	tie for 46	7.11	89	23	194.9	45	₹7	3.6%	02	0.619	<u>~</u>	263	40.2%	62
tile for 40 1.28 58 90 175.1 33 12 2.6% 10 0.424 64 724 28.4% 22 0.00 tie for 16 6 93.8 3 2 3.3% tie for 15 0.458 58 143 38.6% tie for 26 2.63 72 21 152.2 20 8 6.1% 42 0.558 tie for 29 242 40.4% tie for 30 1.07 -51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 tie for 44 858 27.1% tie for 30 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.516 ie for 44 858 27.1% tie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 88% 65 0.516 ie for 41 258 37.2%	836 24	-	82.0%	tie for 3	1.95	19	32	167.5	78	01,	2.6%	.37	0.842	-	578	41.8%	99
22 0.00 lie for 12 0.00 lie for 13 2 3.3% lie for 15 0.558 lie for 29 58 143 38.6% fie for 26 2.63 72 21 152.2 20 8 6.1% 42 0.558 lie for 29 242 40.4% fie for 30 1.07 51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 lie for 44 858 27.1% fie for 30 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.399 66 179 19.4% fie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 8.8% 65 0.516 lie for 41 258 37.2%	3,096 56		80.19	tie for 40	1.28	85	8	175.1	33	12	2.6%	2	0.424	49	724	28.4%	E .
tie for 26 2.63 72 21 152.2 20 8 6.1% 42 0.558 tie for 29 242 40.4% tie for 30 1.07 51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 tie for 44 858 27.1% tie for 3 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.399 66 179 19.4% fie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 8.8% 65 0.516 tie for 41 258 37.2%	446 12		72.0%	72	0.00	tie for 1	9	93.8		2	3.3%	tie for 15	0.458	88	143	38.6%	tie for 58
tie for 30 1.07 51 137 191.3 42 74 10.9% 71 0.513 tie for 44 858 27.1% tie for 26 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.399 66 179 19.4% fie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 8.8% 65 0.516 fie for 41 258 37.2%			70.0%	tie for 26	2.63	72	12	152.2	70	∞	% 1.9	42	0.558	ie for 29	242	40.4%	tie for 63
tie for 26 3.14 73 25 126.3 9 5 2.8% 12 0.399 66 179 19.4% 16.6 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 8.8% 65 0.516 tie for 41 258 37.2%			%0.79	tie for 30	1.07	15 -	137	. 161.3	42	74	10.9%		0.513	ie for 44	828	27.1%	24
tie for 70 0.26 27 30 232.6 66 10 8.8% 65 0.516 tie for 41 258 37.2%			10.0%	tie for 26	3.14	73	22	126.3	6	~	2.8%	12	_	99	179	19.4%	9 ;
			41.0%	. fie for 70	0.26	12	8	232.6	[_] 99	2	8.8 %			ie för 41	258	37.7%	tie for 54

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001

County Early Childhood Status Index: Ranking of Various Early Childhood Factors Population Under Age 6, Percent of Immunizations, Completed by Age 2, Rate of Elevated Lead-based Poisoning Tests, Proportion of Births to Mothers under age 20, Percent of Births with Inadequate Prenatal Care, Availability of Child Care, Rate of Poverty Among Children Under 5 Oklahoma and Counties: Usino data from 1994 through 2000

- sariy chiidhood) 	Oklahor	Oklahoma and Counties: Using data	nties. Us	sing dati	a from 19º,	94 throu	gh 2000											
	Sepul Aunor		Manual Ma						January 8661			Socialiti solo (926)						Denoit Language	
Latimer County	737	74	804		95.0%	-	0.80	46	3	234.8	19	9	4 8%	33	0.748] [97.6	43.5%	ie for 68
Le Flore County	364	72	3,704	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	٠.	tie for 59	1.22	: 53	146	213.8	9	55	8.7%	. 3	0.699		1,145	37.6%	2 9
Lincoln County	245	79	2,438					**	62	182.4	88	20	5.4%	35	0.476	75	269	28.3%	8
Logan County	255	33	2,381	46	61.0% th	tie for 40		76	29	153.6	12	∞	2.8%	=	0.487	. 84	455	23.6%	13
Love County	217	<u>∞</u>	129	91	%0.89	52	-	fie for 1	2	172.4	53	9	5.8%	39	0.405	. 65	159	,	8 8
McClain County	178	9	1,918	43	51.0%	25	_	91	64	179.8	36	2	2.1%	9	0.730	5	387		ie for 16
McCurtain County	379	75	3,025	<u>×</u>		tie for 62		ie for 35	140	264.2	74	21	4.3%	28	0.462	35	1,070		tie for 70
McIntosh County	353 fie	tie for 67	1189	34	47.0% ti	tie for 62		ie for 52	23	246.8	2	12	5.4%	34	0.552	33	417		tie for 70
Major County		_	909	15		tie for 10	0.00	tie for 1		9.79	2	0	0.0%	tie for 1	0.481	25	112		6
Marshall County	259 fie	tie for 33	818	22	77.0%	6	99.0	42	88	205.4	55	17	88.6	99	0.640	13	235	36.3%	25
Mayes County	588	47	2,906	53	57.0% #	fie for 46	0.35	8	Ξ	201.1	S	32	5.9%	4	0.558 tie fo	1. 29	753	31.5%	49
Murray County	202	12	855	92	85.0%	2	0.57	37	34	202.4	2	٠	3.5%	19	0.559	28	230		tie for 42
Muskagee County	367	73	5,547	-	1 %0.09	ie for 42	1.93	99	232	217.2	8	8	8.6%	62	0.621	11	1,505		45
Noble County	161	6	923	53		ie for 23	1.86	64	15	108.7	9	6	%6.9	æ	0.711	9	168	23.0%	2
Nowato County	. 5/1	٠,	708	1	¥ %0.09	ie for 42	0.00	fie for 1	7	175.0	32	9	5.7%	88	099.0	=	168		tie for 34
Okfuskee County	346	9	823	23	67.0% ti	ie for 30	2.24	69	53	237.7	89	6	8.0%	29	0.574	22	295		tie for 72
Oklahoma County	579	4	55,438		57.0% 1	ie for 46		tie for 35	1,615	150.1	6	474	9 2%	46	0.608 tie fo	119	13,761		37
Okmulgee County	353 fie	tie for 67	3,130	. 22	46.0% 1	ie for 66	0.63	4	116	210.1	88	46	8.5%	19	0.645	13	196		ie for 58
Osage County		tie for 13	3,363	9		ie for 10	0.21	23	7	174.0	8	14	3.7%	71	0.543	36	756		tie for 27
Ottawa County	316	85	2,198	45		tie for 26	13.25	11	82	185.6	33	47	10.5%	69	0.693	6	648	36.1%	2
Pawnee County		69	1,292			ie for 50	1.34	99	4	203.0	æ	13	9.7%	49	0.366	72	305		tie for 34
Poyne County		tie for 13	4,420	_		te for 35	0.86	45	801	132.4	=	33	4.3%	12	0.754	7	931		71
Pittsburg County		tie for 54	2,862	25		tie for 23	1.10	2	95	196.3	46	72	5.8%	40	0.516 tie fo	r 41	823		ie for 47
Pontotoc County	508	15	1,572	49		tie for 3	0.93	47	99	135.5	12	16	3.4%	11	0.563	12	766		tie for 54
Pottawatomie County	278	\$	4,770	69		tie for 3	1.29	55	120	199.5	48	33	4.6%	e e	0.594	72	1,369		ie for 47
Pushmataha County	336	62	842	22		te for 62	0.59	88	52	232.0	9	ന	2.5%	6	0.440	19	348	89.15	76
Roger Mills County		23	275	4		tie for 10	_	tie for 1	9	214.3	59	7	8.7%	64	0.392	19	49	28.2%	53
Rogers County		tie for 27	5,411			te for 59	_	82	13	152.5	12	33	4.2%	72	0.532	9	772	17.3%	4
Seminole County		92	1,831	4		te for 54	~		9/	207.7	25	22	6.8 %	25	0.551	32	704	47.8%	74
Sequayah County		tie for 56	3,053			te for 38	_	lie for 19	102	213.6	85	2	10.4%	89	0.597	71	891	36.4%	ಜ
Stephens County	230	84	3,173	-		te for 57	_	lie for 24	æ	157.5	74	32	%9 .9	47	0.503	47	766	28.9%	33
Texas County		tie for 44	1,607			tie for 42		2]	9	186.2	49	2	23.6%	11	0.451	29	241	19.5%	7
Tillman County		tie for 63	801	-	25.0%	SS	1.39	19	78	526.9	73	က	3.3%	tie for 15	0.450	9	258	39.9%	19
Tulsa County	268	37	47,536	9/	38.0%	73		32	1,259	138.3	≘.	240	% 1.9	43	0.624	15.	9,653	24.5%	ie for 16
Wagoner County		tie for 44	4,537			tie for 66	_	<u>ج</u>	8	145.6	91	33	5.1%	æ	0.436	62	819	22.4%	00
Washington County	2	2	3,599		29.0%	45		48	11	142.9	tie for 14	15	3.1%	14	0.703	7	704	23.4%	=
Washita County	520	ຂ	931			tie for 10	0.00	tie for 1	53	219.7	29	7	8.3%	9	0.516 tie fo	141	253	33.9%	44
Woods County	155	m	545	<u></u>		tie for 70	0.00	tie for 1	12	145.6	11	7	2.1%	7	0.582	ឌ	120	76.9%	23
Woodword County	741	25	1,500	=	64.0%	37	0.16	- 18	45	188.3	14	17	7.6%		0.533	39	333	24.0%	<u>2</u>
	•																		

Three-Year Number & Average Annual Number of Infants Born Weighing Less than 5 1/2 Pounds Three-Year Percent of Infants Born Weighing Less than 5 1/2 Pounds Oklahoma & Counties: 1984 - 1986 & 1996 - 1998

	awy մեն Ծլջ ճսուկո	28.9%	2.7%	-14.9%	-25.2%	-20.8%	39.4%	-36.8%	16.1%	19.7%	-29.8%	-78.7%	-34.3%	-12.1%	25.2%	-113.9%	17.7%	12.2%	2.3%	% % ? ?	0.2%	22.5%	-5.3%	2.7%	.27.6%	40.21-	34.8%	-47.0%	-17.9%	5.7%	1.0%	25.3%	11.7%	-20.4%	16.5%	-2.1%	-24.0%	-18.2%	-17.6%
	d Yion	9	88	37	23	9	4	#	42	22	33	23	2	25	23	2	47	99	22	ж :	£	6	61	E 1	7 7	ş, °	2	. 8	89	2	7	12	\$	49	72	11	2		62
	beteup; 1969-1988	4.6	8.9	8.9	7.6	7.9	1.4	7.7	1.7	5.9	6.9	6.2	7.5	7.8	5.5	9.8	7.3		7.5	6.7	7.7	5.	5.9	9.9	2 :) o	÷ 6	7.6	8.2	5.3	5.5	5.4	6.9	7.4	0.0	6.3	6.5	8.7	6.5
	1 7 Saquini irinuus 3 Saguano 8661 9661	9	46	56	11	~	<u>E</u>	₽ ₽	15	\$	=	æ	12	æ	œ	=	=	824	33	73	= =	= :	4	33	ج ج	<u>ک</u> ۲		. 49	53	8 2	53	11	∞	644	₽	34	•	~	2
	179qunu 8661-9661.	17	138	78	æ	25	40	120	46	14	34	86	35	242	74	33	32	2,561	e =	83	- - -	32	9	96	<u>a</u> :	7/1	<u>.</u> •	192	8	8	98	52	25	1,932	119	<u></u>	78	74	746
spuno	# (ua) (d7) (a3) (a3) (u1) (98) (7) (8) ((3)	6.4	7.0	5.9	6.1	6.5	6.8	5.3	8.7	4.9	5.3	4.8 8.	9.6	6.9	7.3	4.0	8.9	7.3	1.7	6.2	7.7	9.9	9.9	6.7		0.0	7.0	5.2	6.9	5.7	5.5	7.3	6.2	6.2	7.7	6.2	5.3	7.3	5.5
n 5 1/2 P	2 1890 1990	8	42	52	78	9	74	53	61	1	1	82	6	79	23	•	15	830	48	37	<u></u>	9	S :	33	ਜ਼ ਂ	2 2	2 ~	. 5	29	29	35	23	2	536	48	48	2	6	
g Less tha	Tempunya salah asal	73	126	75	88	6	73	. 87	25	22	20	70	78	238	9		46	2,489	143	=	<u>ر</u>	49	151	Ξ	£ :	2 5	₽ <u>\</u>	129	98	· 98	105	07	<u>ج</u>	1,609	143	144	<u></u>	78	
r Average Annual Number of Infants Born Weighing Less than 5 1/2 Pounds Infants Born Weighing Less than 5 1/2 Pounds 7: 1984 - 1986 & 1996 - 1998		Latimer County	Le Flore County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Love County	McClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Major County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Nowata County	Okfuskee County	Oklahomo County	Okmulgee County	Osage County	Ottawa County	Pawnee County	Payne County	Pittsburg County	Pontotoc County	Pottawatomie County	Roser Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequayah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillmon County	Tulsa County	Wagoner County	Washington County	Washita County	Waods County	Woodward County
ıl Number of Ing righing Less than & 1996 - 1998	ஹ் ஹ்ஸ்	12.7%	-10.2%	-37.4%	-9.4%	151.4%	-24.2%	6.4%	3.1%	-33.2%	-30.6%	7.0%	-25.7%	11.6%	. %6:59-	-7.5%	-34.0%	-17.5%	-63.7%	126.0%	-22.4%	-4.4%	-36.9%	18.3%	30.3%	30.7%	7.4%	%9.09	11.7%	23.6%	40.4%	-1.0%	%9.69	9.4%	<u>89.6</u>	-7.0%		%9.56%	21.1%
e Annual Born Weig - 1986 &		-12	17 10	7 -37		151- 69	-			+-	-					7		62 17	_				29	2	-	00 21	-	:			_	71 12					-	53 -95	=
& Averago Infants I es: 1984	1 (Unique)	.2		4.8	8.7	9.6		9.6			4.	cui			4 .	.2	نن 	7	O:	0.	<i>د</i> ن	9.	7	ы. —		e r	-	. ~	. w	. 5.	. I	0.9	7.6	6.3	5.4	2.7	7.3		→
Number Percent of	SECONS COL	7 75	21 5	3	13	5 8	7 12	: 8	35 7	9 17	9 99	1 1	34 5	14 6	4 10	147 6	01 6	/ 651	8	6 91	. 99	24	33	2	. 3	, <u>.</u>		1 2	9	3 7	1	9	13 7	33 6			20 7	13 7	12 9
Three-Year Number & Average Annua Three-Year Percent of Infants Born We Oklahoma & Counties: 1984 - 1986	1986 (1986) 1990 (1986)	10,370 3,457	:		89	91	62	24	901	: &	64	140	102	4	12			: :	24	: :			66	<u>د</u>		78	, r		· =	; 2		25	39		2	_		40	37
	λοπινοτισμή	6.4 10.	+	3.5	7.1	3.4	6.2	0.0	. 6.7	5.1	4.9	8.9	4.6	7.6	6.3	2.8	1.7	6.5	5.5		_	6.3	2.6	3.6		9.0		2.6	1.7	9.8	2.6	5.9	4.5	7.0	0.0	7.6	6.2	3.8	7.8
nfamt	at segment length	3.374		ന	13	: ლ	23		37	78	29	: :	24	12 21	ლ	140	9	165	2	1	85	E	6	m				:		. 9	_		: : œ	46	: . •	2	48	=	7
මේකුht	7 199 1 188 1 188 1 188 1 188 1 1	10,122 3		. 6	36	6	%	41	<u>e</u>	83	176	121	72	25	2	421	61	496	14	[2	14	8	82	6	۰ إ	: 3 E	= =	<u>.</u> 2	: 52	11	4	56	23	139	9	30	145	32	42
shubhul habishwallang moj	conuj, vizius	State	County	Alfalfa County	Atoka County	Beaver County	Beckham County	8laine County	Bryan County	Coddo County	Conadian County	Corter County	Cherokee County	Choctaw County	Cimarron County	Cleveland County	Coal County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey County	Ellis County	Corried County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Harmon County	Harper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jefferson County	Jahnston County	Kay County	Kingfisher County	Kiowa County

60.6% 23.5% 15.4% 41.5% 15.4% 32.2% 2.4% 14.0% 82.2% 4.0% 33.2% 4.0% 33.2% 5.1% 76.3% 100.0% 71.7%

Data Tables

Three-Year Number & Average Annual Number of Deaths per 1,000 Live Births Three Year Rate of Deaths per 1,000 Live Births

Oklahoma & Counties: 1984 - 1986 & 1996 - 1998

	State	Adair County	Alfalfa County	Atoko County	Beaver County	8eckham County	Blaine County	Bryon County	Caddo County	Conodian County	Corter County	Cherokee Lounty	Cinociaw County	Cleveland County	Coal County	Comanche County	Cotton County	Craig County	Creek County	Custer County	Delaware County	Dewey county Flis County	Garfield County	Garvin County	Grady County	Grant County	Greer County	Harmon County	Harper County	Haskell County	Hughes County	Jackson County	Jerrerson County	innsion County	Kinnfisher County	Kiowa County	,
ediminidas (1980)	1,661	6	0	4	2	2	2	7	4	8 1	zz	-	, ,	7 15	, •	75	_	7	<u>ج</u>	73	6	7 0	~ ~	; =	. 22	<u> </u>	س	_ 5	- · 	9 '	<u>~</u>	21		^ E	97		s =
egogna 0390,6391, edomilistrati	554	m	-	-	_	m	ຕ	~	5	6 1	_ ;		7 -	- 2	. 7	22	0	2	2	_		- c	2 م	4	&	0	-	- ·	0	2	7	▼ .	: s (7 0		2	•
OOOVerversiening TEXALEN	10.5	9.3	0.0	6.7	7.6	1.6	14.7	[]		7.8	0.0	8.5	701	0.71	24.3	6.6	3.9	13.3	10.5	13.5	8.7	- 6	10.0	8.6	12.3	0.0	14.2		6.5	13.7	7.6	9.0		→ /3!	0.21	- 6	3
- Asiming an sager	1,168	~	-	4	ო	9	ന	2	13	35	= '	, ,	4. C	? ₹	-	×	4	2	19	2	6		· ·	; ~	14	0	. : O	7	-	4	7	د د	· .	- ;	<u>-</u>	: - ~	•
egrevogo 2300 Telminbirin	389	m	0	-	_	2	_	ლ	₹	=	₹ (7) }	· ·	<u>8</u>	_	-	9	m	m ·		o r	, 2	2	0	0	_	0	-		2	_ •	: 	: ^ C	- ·	-
#1 5000 0000 (000 00000	<u>.</u>	7.3	6.1	8.2	1.91	7.4	7.0	7.2	10.9	10.4	8.9	4.0	_	3 5	9 00	78	15.0	3.8	7.0	9.2	7.0	-	-	7.0	7.8		•	14.9	9.5	9.6	3.9	3.2	. 16.3	- 5.5 5.7	1.2		=
		37	74	45	74	88	34	36	99	62	72	4 5	. 7. 87.		3 =	: S	•	13	33	¥		9 7			43	tie for 1	tie for 1	72	23	27	2	2	۲. ۲.		35	· 1	F
emil:@yo@joub.	22.7%	22.0%	##	-3.7%	112.1%	18.3%	52.0%	28.2%	-28.3%	-33.4%	42.3%	31.0%	35.9%	60.007 26.00	84.3%	12.2%	282.0%	71.2%	32.9%	31.4%	19.8%	.219.4%	###	18.8%	36.4%	###	30.001	-29.9%	-40.4%	30.0%	%0.09	47.5%	333.7%	80.5%	40.0%	17.5%	8C: 1
control Atunos	Latimer County	Le Flare County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Love County	McClain County	McCurtain County	McIntosh County	Major County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Okfirekee County	Oklahoma County	Okmulgee County	Osage County	Ottowa County	Pawnee County	Payne County	Pittsburg County	rantotoc county	Pushmataha County	Roger Mills County	Ragers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillman County	Tulsa County	Woganer County	Washington County	Washira County	Woodward County	UNKNOWN County
petimo accuecacu	2	61	2	12	4		15	6	0	4	2	∞	43		.	442	14	15	14	_	11	EZ :	2 %	76	. ~	12	11	=	4	11	9	766	_	<u>س</u>	9 1	, 4	2
egonsvo čisolėjšči esdanni lipinao	-	•	۳ ر	4	-	4	2	ന	0	-	ന	ണ	₹ '	7 6	ۍ «	147	5	5	. 2	2	6		4 :	= ^	-	7	9	4	2	9	2	68	7	2	~	7	·
Coccan Tookspaleen	5.6	10.6	7.9	9.8	13.7	12.2	9.1	13.8	0.0	10.6	8.9	15.9	12.5	87.7	0.0	12.9	7.6	8.4	10.8	9.4	10.0	14.0	6.7	14.4	9.6	8.5	13.7	7.2	7.4	17.7	11.9	10.2	3.5	13.4	10.2	5.3	4. 4
490000000		<u>. </u>																_															_				_

31.9% -19.0% 10.8%

###
-14.5%
-10.3%
32.8%
23.1%
64.4%

Ei

Alignation the factor of the second s

33.3% -1.1% 29.7% 21.4% -12.2% 33.5% 4.4%

6 13 74 47 47 47 76 63 76 11 11 11 9

23.6% 19.1% 10.6%

Three-Year Number & Average Annual Number of Births to Mothers Age 15 through 17 Three-Year Rate per 1,000 Female Teens Age 15 through 17 Oklahoma & Counties: 1984 - 1986 & 1996 - 1998

	国の教育の中の公司 で 中の行動	10400	- T	2.3 7 7 7 7 7		ME		200 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	生きりありる はかしかのりをあるからいいかけいがんかっち		- T	上 五十 一 四月十二	1		
	ocimination equi	Perpaya (Co. 1990)	430.030 (000.000.000)	1500-000 (1000)	Septem 8020 2020 Teliand libratio	(1507-1602) 1507-1602]		amiliaweginab		260EEE00986(\$786).	eograyo (889) 4887). Sedimo libonno	000 l//eio/vioa/keariile 986 l/986 l	Jagwnu 866 (1966 (7. 7. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	000 i / aio 1 io a / aa ii ji 14. 17. 866 i 596 i 1
Ctents	0288) 057	43.0	18,8	2 760	35.0		18 2%	Intimer County	24	8	37.1	78	٥	40.2
Adnir County	000	33	67.2	104	, 35	62.1	75	7.6%	Le Flare County	152	. 15	49.7	121	45	35.9
Alfalfa County	2	; m	27.8	7	. 7	16.8	≠	39.5%	Lincoln County	79	76	36.2		24	28.0
Atoka County	37	12	40.9	37	12	37.1	- 94	9.3%	Logan County	55	81	29.4	29	20	27.6
Beaver County	91	2	35.0	91		32.6	32	7.0%	Love County	32	=	55.4	61	9	27.0
Beckham County	23	74	58.3	22	16	39.1	15	33.0%	McClain County	15	11	29.2	29	. 20	28.3
Slaine County	46	91	63.1	32	=	41.8	99	33.8%	McCurtain County	164	55	6.19	125	45	42.4
Bryan County	113	38	53.9	95	32	39.0	22	27.7%	Meintosh County	15	11	47.2	48	91	36.2
Caddo County	Ξ	37	53.8	68	: R	39.5	53	26.6%	Major County	9	2	10.5	6	က	15.8
Conodian County	091	53	29.9	138	46	21.5	12	28.3%	Marshall County	32	=	44.6	76	6	31.6
Carter County	156	25	51.3	145	48	43.3	49	15.5%	Mayes County	901	33	47.5	112	37	43.9
Cherokee County	901	35	47.6	Ξ	37	40.5	25	14.9%	Murray County	78	6	35.5	11	6	29.3
Choctow County	&	78	77.0	9	50	52.3	73	32.1%	Muskogee County	717	6	58.5	234	78	44.7
Cimarron County	9	7	25.4		<u>_</u> က	33.3	æ	-31.1%	Noble County	7.7	7	32.3	22	∞	32.3
Cleveland County	783	94	25.6	121	8	19.0	&	25.6%	Nowala County	78	6	43.5	12	6	39.9
Coal County	77	7	46.9	24		46.9	69	0.1%	Okfuskee County	4	7	52.0	43	14	49.7
Comanche County	378	126	56.3	347	116	46.9	20	16.8%	Oklahoma County	1,814	605	20.0	1,704	268	41.1
Cotton County	24	~	52.2	91	. 5	30.5	25	41.6%	Okmulgee County	146	49	62.1	125	42	44.4
Craig County	56	2	33.6	25	œ	25.9	17	23.0%	Osage County	107	36	36.5	11	79	23.9
Creek County	9/1	29	38.6	182	19	35.3	37	8.5%	Ottawa County	82	28	47.1	8	53	44.1
Custer County	87	. 62	59.2	99	77	41.7	26	29.6%	Pawnee County	22	11	47.5	42	14	34.8
Delaware County	*	28	45.8	73	24	30.8	76	32.7%	Payne County	84	28	29.4	82	28	24.7
Dewey County	=	7	27.9	က	_	8.3	_	70.1%	Pittsburg County	134	45	46.4	200	31	36.9
Ellis County	1	. 7	19.9	~	m	25.4	91	-27.3%	Pontotoc County	79	76	35.7	82	11	33.5
Garfield County	135	45	39.5	132	44	35.5	9	10.2%	Pottawatomie County	184	59	44.0	178	23	37.0
Garvin County	83	E	52.7	19	20	31.4	12	40.4%	Pushmataho County	ಜ	<u>8</u>	73.9	53	2	35.3
Grady County	112	33	40.6	130	4	35.4	39	13.0%	Roger Mills County	6	m	31.2	S.	7	17.5
Grant County	6	က	25.9	9	2	15.4	7	40.4%	Rogers County	23	43	32.5	107	œ :	21.7
Greer County	22	∞	69.4	9	~	42.3	19	39.0%	Seminole County	6	8	53.2	96	32	53.8
Harmon County	20	7	1.96	92	9	9.6	11	17.1%	Sequoyah County	137	46	52.9	112	37	37.2
Harper County	-	က	29.3	2	. 7	19.6	2	33.0%	Stephens County	7	æ	41.0	. 26	32	32.7
Haskell County	*	12	46.7	31	2	35.2	36	24.7%	Texas County	47	91	38.1	63	7	42.8
Hughes County	%	12	39.2	39	: E	38.8	46	%6.0	Tillman County	\$9	72	95.1	44	15	63.2
Jockson County	%	32	51.4	84	28	39.1	25	23.9%	Tulso County	1,190	397	40.4	1,316	439	38.6
Jefferson County	61	9	38.5	6	က	17.0	٧.	55.9%	Wogoner County	105	35	17.7	16	32	21.2
Johnston County	34	=	49.5	28	6	37.0	45	25.3%	Washington County	94	3	32.0	8	11	25.9
Kay County	66	33	33.6	123	4	41.1	22	-22.4%	Washita County	24	~	314	72	.	78.1
Kingfisher County	33	13	38.9	8	9	18.9	7	51.4%	Woods County	12	4	28.2	∞	ຕ	19.2
Kiowa County	37	13	44.1	=	14	46.6	7.7	-13.1%	Woodward County	[] \$2	_	43.1	4	4	32.1

3.1%

-8.1% 27.8% 22.7% 6.1% 51.2%

23.3% -50.1% 7.4% 17.3% 0.0% 8.4% 4.5% 4.5% 34.5% 6.4%

26.6% 15.8% 25.4%

6.2% 16.0% 52.2% 43.7%

Three-year Number & Average Annual Number of Confirmations After Investigation Three-Year Rate per 1,000 Children Oklahoma & Counties: Fiscal Years 1984 - 1986 & 1997 - 1999

Oklahoma & Counties: Fiscal Years 1984 - 1986 & 1997 - 1999 Oklahoma & Counties: Fiscal Years 1984 - 1986 & 1997 - 1999	Latimer County 24 8 2.9 142	Le Flore County 600 200 16.5 1,148	Lincoln County 198 66 8.2 419	Logan County 325 108 13.8 405 135	-231.6% Love County 57 19 9.1 145 48	-433.6% McLain County 146 49 7.7 402 134	34.7% Meletach County 340 113 10.3 57.	-1.20 0% Mainr Camby 179 43 184 89 30	-385.8% Marshall County 35 12 4.6 162 54	-164.9% Mayes County 259 86 9.3 575 192	-210.3% Murray County 151 50 15.4 152	-280.5% Muskagee County 883 294 15.5 1,525 508	-139.9% Noble County 45 15 4.8 147 49	71 24 8.3 156 52	29.4% Oktuskee County 89 30 9.5 104 35	-7.3% -5.7%	0.7% Osage County 129 43 3.8 420 140	-107.5% Ottawa County 169 56 6.9 293 98	-82.5% Pownee County 189 63 14.8 204 68	199.8% Payne County 606 202 15.7 933	-41.7.6 Fillsbudg Coulity 233 78 9.7 100	-38.9% Potrawatomie County 434 145 9.1 1.393 464	89.4% Pushmataha County 37 12 4.0 371 124	24.8% Roger Mills County 12 4 3.2 40 13	-5.3% Rogers County 356 119 7.8 593 198	.26.2% Seminole County 239 80 10.9 412 137	-232.3% Sequoyah County 159 53 5.4 786 262	-368.5% Stephens County 114 38 3.3 462 154	-879.2% lexas County 72 24 4.8 220	-50.2% Ifilman (aunity 86 29 8.7 94 31	-120.2% Tulsa (aunty 2,774 925 7.1 5,596 1,	1157.2% Wagoner County 256 85 6.0 627	2 -531.2% Washington Lounty 2/4 yl 7.2 996 332 4 -3401% Wachin County 83 98 80 196 49	071 0.0 07 C0 (World County) 001 74-0-1
¢ 1997		•				 :	.					-		<u>.</u>					, 	·				<u>.</u>				<u> </u>				-		
S. Fiscal Years 1984 - 1986	Latimer County	Le Flore County	Lincoln County	Logan County	Love County	McClain County	Mclatech County	Major County	Marshall County	Mayes County	Murray County	Muskogee County	Noble County	Nowata County	Okfuskee County	Okrailaee County	Osage County	Ottawa County	Pownee County	Payne County	Pontotoc County	Pottawatomie County	Pushmataha County	Roger Mills County	Rogers County	Seminole County	Sequoyah County	Stephens County	Texas County	Tillman County	Tulsa County	Wagoner County	Washington Lounty Washita County	Man de Comer.
a & Countries	-95.8%	-228.5%	-53.4%	-146.4%	-231.6%	-437.6%	-104.2%	-1209 0%	-385.8%	-164.9%	.210.3%	-280.5%	-139.9%	.79.0%	-29.4%	-7.3% -5.7%	0.7%	-107.5%	-82.5%	-199.8%	64.5% 8.2%	-38.9%	-89.4%	24.8%	-5.3%	-26.2%	-232.3%	368.5%	-879.2%	-50.2%	-120.2%	%Z./SII-	-349 1%	×1.7F5
Oklahom.		71	44	45	- ;	74	ر د څ	3 %	8 8	20	62	54	2	74	65	25	9	13	33		, 2	5	76	2	12	æ :	14	~ ;	78	= :	25 8	25 5	8 7	5 9
00015001 00015001 00015000	177	29.1	18.6	18.6	6.0	33.3	14.0	27.9	15.0	20.4	23.7	21.1	11.4	14.0	72.4	<u>.</u> 4	Ξ	11.7	15.1	15.9	13.3	20.9	40.0	Ξ	11.6	9.91	11.7	11.2	14.3	17.2	21.5	21.0	7.12	3
	15,518	175	24	63	₽ ;	179	121	737	31.6	243	242	8	2 ;	730	36 36	2	37	213	104	127	2 5	311	272	142	91	5	15	2 :	4	33	<u>8</u>	£ 5	33 %	3 3
	46,554	526	r	190	8 3	238	187	767	. 138	729	121	766	29	2,191) -	CYU,	Ξ	639	312	<u> </u>	44	933	817	425	47	64	SS :	E ;	124	164	262	104	9/1	2 5
	1	8.9	12.1	7.5	8. 5	6.2	73.6	2.1		1.7	1.7	5.5	4.7	7.8		33	11.2	9.6	8.2	5.3		15.1	21.1	14.8	0.1	13.2	3.5	2.4	- 1.5	II.5	 	<u>`</u> ;	S. C.	7 ;
Comfile parament	2						٠.	3 2	: 53	93	2	79	۶ ک	328	87 87	25 25	9	100	22	æ :	= =	243	121	175	17	16	₹ (· ·	▼ ;	₽ 8	æ '	~ =	74	: :
e. Neglect Confirmo		25	19	78	ന ദ	2 83	<u>ک</u> ک	•																										



Three-year Number & Average Annual Number of Deaths of Children Age 1 through 14

Child Deaths		Three-ye Oklahon	Three-year Rate per 100,000 Chil Oklahoma & Counties: 1984 - 15	ver 100,6 unties: 15)00 Chila 984 - 195	tren Age 1 96 & 1990	ren Age I through 16 & 1996 - 1998	14				[[[
augu Ajuho)	19dmun 3891-1890	Segnisva 986 l st 861 ;	, 000,001/em ime 99,119861,4861	<u> </u>	. 600040080049000 184649080040000	(411449(555) (565)	egorevo 63504.091, sedimun laurino	0000000000 000000000000000000000000000	A STEED COOK STON	agaraya 1999 (1999)	ominovaja nav		ejujiyeno ejirijiy
State	814	1/2	41.5	633	211	31.3	-	24.7%	Latimer County	2	-	31.0	4	-	62.6	7	-101.8%
Adair County	_	0	7.5	₹	-	29.4	39	293.9%	Le Flore County	1	2	25.2	9	2	21.0	92	16.7%
Alfalfa County	2		57.5	60	-!	104.0	76	-80.8%	Lincoln County	6	ຕ	48.2	7		35.3	53	26.8%
Atoka County	m	_	38.4	-	0	13.1	23	87.8%	Logan County	13	4	9.79	•	7	32.5	49	21.9%
Beaver County	-	0	23.3	0	0		tie for 1	0.001	Love County	7	-	41.7	<u> </u>	: : -	19.9	74	52.2%
Beckham County	9	2	48.5	4	-	31.9	44	34.4%	McClain County	7	7	48.7	6		56.2	69	15.4%
8laine County	4	_	49.3	- -	0	14.8	20	%6.69	McCurtain County	•	 2	23.8	ر د		13.2	4 1	44.7%
8ryan County	∞	ന	42.9	ب	7	25.7	8	40.1%	McIntosh County	_	7	78.2	7	_ :		23 7	73.3%
Caddo County	•	7	29.7	'	7	25.6	32	13.7%	Major County	_	· •	18.5	0		•	tie for 1	100.0%
Canadian County	91	~	32.9	7	2	11.9	12	63.9%	Marshall County	₹.	_	 	0	0	00	tie for 1	3000
Corter County	12	₹.	43.1	3	4	47.8	 94	-10.9%	Mayes County	·~	7	23.2	 	ers .	35.4	%	-52.9%
Cherokee County	~	က	38.6	~	က	34.5	ಜ	10.5%	Murray County	2	-	56.9	_	0	14.1	17	47.5%
Choctaw County	7	 	- -		7	74.0	73	301.3%	Muskogee County	92	6	29.	=	▼.	25.4		27.1%
Cimarron County	0	0	0.0	-	0	52.3		###	Noble County	-	0	13.9	m	_	42.0	- 62	202.6%
Cleveland County	23	2	29.5	42	14	34.8	75	.18.1%	Nowata County	9	7	92.3	0	0		tie for 1	100.0%
Coal County	0	0	0.0	_	0	28.1	37	###	Okfuskee County	9	2	85.9	2	-	30.6	£	64.3%
Comanche County	16	•	25.2	22	&	33.5	- 51	-32.6%	Oklahama County	951	. 25	42.8	137	46	35.9	23	16.3%
Cotton County	_	. 0	23.4	2	_	51.5	99	120.4%	Okmulgee County	20	7	84.9	٠ ک	2	21.6	28	74.6%
Craig County	2		24.2	_	0	13.4	22	44.8%	Osage County	12	4	45.2	<u>ح</u>	2	18.7	23	58.7%
Creek County	72	7	53.8	91	~	38.7	99	28.0%	Ottawa County	∞	e	42.4	2	7	30.0	9	29.1%
Custer County	12	7	74.2	7		24.6	8	%6.99	Pawnee County	4	- -	40.5		: : -	30.5	42	24.6%
Delaware County	9	2	39.2	7	2	38.7	29	1.1%	Payne County	6	က	30.0	2	2	15.5	72	48.2%
Dewey County	m		85.7	9	7	193.9	77	126.3%	Pittsburg County	5	2	20.8	≃.	٠.	64.9	72	.211.7%
Ellis County	2	-	9.69	0	0	0:0	tie for 1	%0.001	Pontotoc County	2	က	51.3	=	7	26.1	89	-9.5%
Garfield County	72	1	28.8		m .	23.1	53	%8.09	Pottawatomie County	<u>د</u>		13.7	= '	T	29.3		.113.9%
Garvin County	4	-	24.5	_	7	45.1	63	-84.3%	Pushmataha County	₹ .	- : - :	56.9	4	: - •	61.3		%9.7-
Grady County	<u> </u>	₹ (47.4	6	က က (30.4	-	35.9%	Roger Mills County	ო :		103.4	-: •		00 ;	e tor l	80.08
Grant County	o .	- - :	80	_ ;	s (37.0	8	##	Kogers County	4	: ^	3.5	- a	7	14.4	≃ ;	63.5%
Greer County	- ·	- .	8. S	_ •	5 , 6	- S	52	-10.5% """	Seminole County	: -> 6	 	J. 7.		7	40.2	5 3	6.C2 95.76
Harmon County	-	• :	0.0	· -	: > : :	- : 2:0	Tie Tor I	##	sequeyan county) i		7 -	0.0	5 :	Q /: 17
Harper County		o (39.3		- :	48.0	÷ 5	-72.1%	Stephens County		::i	4. 6	· ·		; 9:1 1:8	= 7	%0.10 6.10%
Haskell County	•	7	8.78	<u>^</u>	7	/9/	? :	12.6%	lexas County	<u>,</u>	7 (5.5	•	· .	0.0	•	-/ 0.U%
Hughes County	/	7	88.7		0	7	9	84.0%	Tillman County	-!:	_; ⊃;;	13.3	7	- - {	9.0	₽ :	-137.6%
Jackson County	~	7	24.0		- :	14.8	61	38.1%	Tulsa County	<u>66</u> :	9 .	45.6	<u>8</u>	ES '	80.0	4 (32.6%
Jefferson County	_	0	21.8		0	56.8	:S	-23.1%	Wagoner County	12	•	36.0	<u>+</u>	· .	38.5	÷	-/.0%
Johnston County	-	0	15.5	0	0		tie for 1	%0.001	Washington County	<u>4</u>	~	47.5	• •	7	21.4	77	54.9%
Kay County	=	7	36.5	6	~	31.5	\$	13.7%	Washita County	~	- : -	37.5	7	-	27.4	8	26.8%
Kingfisher County	ر ا	2	75.9	_	0	Ξ	01	85.4%	Woods County	₹ .	-	80.5	0	: 0	0.0	e for 1	100.0%
Kiowa County	~	2	69.7	_	0	15.2	21	78.2%	Woodward County	•	m	65.1	7	-	32.8	2S	49.7%
									### = zero base - cannot calculate change	te change							

182

5 ∞

		ď

		1

		(

	·
	٧
	ń

ς

	á

6

-0.2	9	57.89	7	5
72	24	7	91	5

Voshington Count,

Woshito County

Vogoner County

Voodward County

4 6 4		<u> </u>				<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	1 1			_		 	٠,		•	Ĺ.,			77	į.	$\overline{}$,			~	Ģ		$\overline{}$	~	
ronk	5	23	22	53	20	33	88	8	∞	11	65	13	73	 g :	19	75	49	4	99	52	21	33	34	63	<u>ج</u>	1	*8	2	89	85	74	45	89
							<u>.</u>	_	i i							1								1 .									

0.7% 10.5% 40.4% 2.2% -0.1% -9.8% 18.8% 37.9% 3.9%

19.7%

-14.9% 34.3% -21.6%

Okmulgee County

35.0%

Oklahama County Okfuskee County

16.8%

Nowata County

8.1%

13 27 28 68 68 68 110 110 1100 110 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 110

Comonche County

Coal County

Cotton County

Cherokee County

Choctaw County

Conadian County

addo County

3ryon County

Corter County

Cimorron County Cleveland County 30.8%

Noble County

Auskagee County

1.9% 2.8% 54.0%

Murroy County

Aayes County

20.0% 21.0% 2.3% 2.3% 2.3%

Pottawatomie County

Pittsburg County

Poyne County

Pownee County

-8.3% 5.0%

Ottawa County

Osage County

26.1%

6.0 3.1 5.0 6.9

Delaware County

Custer County

Creek County

Craig County

Dewey County

Ellis County

Garfield County

Gorvin County

Grody County

Front County ireer County

Pontotoc County

68.7% 0.1% Pushmataha County

loger Mills County

equoyah County

77.1%

formon County

Hoskell County

lughes County

Harper County

Stephens County

Exas County

illman County

fulsa County

seminole County

Sogers County

ю:	Ш			

Γ.	83					
	1	Ų	ψi)		
	1			4	_2	
٦.	d		į	2		

		itot	106	λE	aJI
10	JP I I	looi 9 f	aßi ps:	0 i 146 160	19 v 14 h 16 h
6	/8	66 l	U	5/9	66

-	-	12			_
do Inu	lou IP.li	innic Sori	is"i Dal	161 60.	ų. Ieai
66,	/86	61 <u>-</u>	<u> 16</u>	<u>/9</u>	66,
no	do i) (8 0 (0	Sip OU	ja I 2	Ţ













































admiun Io stuo			
6/866	l 26/	9661	
aini ,	λεαι	lhree	

эdт lo st	nod nu	iosy otb	99. 00L	ps Yi	
6/8	66 L	<u>L</u> 6/	/96	SIP-	
		ry.	19.4 24.3	1	

qiyr	ín n	i AGI	1997 Jana 1997	ï
	Ÿ		4	ĵ,
j	1	grad Lac		¥,

• •	**, *	e Comer	200	٠. ٠
TIUN Tuo	i ios Igo i	e yi	e ipre	
366	l L	5/9	661	
		jenie Živis		¥

		DESCRIPTION.
866 Imur stua	1 76/2 1 109Y 5 1 109Y 6	1999 Jhrei Scho
	Ų.	

	10.1	461	nee	70
diffiur o stuo	10 qo1	y loc	on G	14
/866				
7.		d in	Ţ,	Ì

 0.SI	i nodi	oib lo	niins	į
ďЩ	uín, 1	nak a	руг	1
/81	56 l	16/9	1661	
	,	Ų.	Í,	¥



8661-76/9661	
stuodorb loods	4
,8991,79/3991 Imun taay aatdi stuoqotb loofis	-

773		10.75		ē
lo stud	qoi	joo	ψş	3
эфтиг	109	A DE		
6/866	LΖ	/46	οl	Ī
			÷	-
: 10			Ġ.	j

		142	XV.	14
stud	qoi	e ye	ijγ	4
866	ŀŹ	6/9	66 I	-
4) 1				-
1		die.		

ACCOUNT	2.
stuogosb loods	4
/8991-76/3981 dmun nay sand	-
E 1944	Y



9quinu isək əərili 18661-16/961	
o stuoqosh loodas	
5/8661 <u>76</u> /9661	

/8661-26/966	ļ.
lmu'n rosy serr stuogosh loods	s
,896/ 59/ 1998 Jmun 1037, 391r	j -
	-

/866	1.6/9	661
o studi	qoʻib la	ецря
diliún	100A 2 1 /6/9	ibire:
100	Ÿ.	н.

/866	1.76/	66 L
n Sinni	qoʻib la	niine
dmun	year.	ibite.
/866	l <i>16/</i> 9	661
100	Y	*
	100	44.0



8991-79\ ₀	
8661-76\ Tiun 109Y s etuoqoib lo	271



səfin IO

& 1996/1997 through 1998/1999

Rate: Three-year high school drop-out rate (Youth under age 19 dropping out of grades 9 - 12)

Three-year Number and Average annual number of youth under age 19 dropping out of high school (Grades 9 - 12) Number: Three-year number of youth under age 19 dropping out of high school (Grades 9 - 12) Number: Three-year number of youth (any age) dropping out of any grade

Lincoln County

Logan County

ove County

McCurtain County

McClain County

Beckhom County

Slaine County

Beaver County

Alfalfa County

Adoir County

Itoka County

McIntosh County

Marshall County

30.8% 15.5%

Major County

Oklahoma & Counties: School Years 1994/1995 through 1996/1997

ERICALIST SCHOOL Dropouts

ingfisher County

liowa County

efferson County

ackson County

ahnston County

(ay County

Oklahoma KIDS COUNT Factbook 2001 84

Data Tables

Three-year Number & Average Annual Number of Arrests of Youths Age 10 through 17 for Violent Crimes	Three Year Rate per 100,000 Youths Age 10 through 17

12.12	Oklahoma & Counties: 1990 - 1992 & 1997 - 1999
	7-7
2	199
ž	Ġ
CHILL	1992
5	9
5	261
2	ties:
is her	noon
2	ò
Iear	oma
I Dree	Oklab
•	
	SSS
	S

1990 - 1992 & 1997 - 1999		74	. —	1 100.0% Lincoln County 8 3 68.7 19 6 150.1 43	8 5.4% Logan County 18 6 162.0 22 7 11	1 100.0% Love County 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 1 fe for 1	9 3 87.5 24	McCurtain County 42 14 304.0 23 8	McIntosh County 3 1 52.2 10 3 136.0 44	Major County 7 2 232.4 4	Marshall County 0 0 0 0 8 3 199.8 30	Mayes County 3 1 23.8 / 2	Murray County \$ 2 115.1 12 4 203.1 03	Muskogee County 134 45 526.5 170 40 430.0	Noble County 9 3 224.2 8 3 188.5 34	Nowala County 5 2 140.1 3 1 83.0 23	Oktuskee (ounty 3 / 1.9 6 3 107.7 53	Oklahama (ounly 1,217 406 615.2 841 280 394.9 72	0,000 11 20 200.3 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	11 4 103.6 34	Pownee County 2 1 33.8 6 2 94.5 28	Povne County 35 12 210.4 44 15 241.7 61	Pilitsburg County 16 5 107.7 21 7 135.2 40	27 9 220.0 58	Pottowatomie County 73 24	Pushmataha County 6 2 132.0 13 4 307.7	43.1% Roger Mills County 2 120.1 U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	13 d 140 9 16 5 169.2 47	Seminoval County 11 4 79.1 15 5 98.7 30	Stenhens County 8 3 50.8 19 6 114.6 36	### Texas County 1 0 15.6 16 5 220.4 59 113	Tillman County 17 6 438.2 11 4 281.0 68	Tulka County 1,116 372 670.5 1,023 341 568.3 77	60.6% Wagner County 7 2 34.1 17 6 72.6 16	Washington County 32 11 192.2 46 15 274.4 66	30.3% Warshin County 3 1 72.9 6 2 136.0 42	Woods (cunty 0 0.0 2 1 77.1 19	
er 10 mties:				tie for		tie for				: .		1 1																										
1 hree rear kate per 100. SSIS Oklahoma & Counties:	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	3.768 1.080 771.4		00 0 00	1 0 19.4	0:0 0 0:0	5 2 67.3	4	10 3	7 1	7 57 19 162.7	, 17 26	16 5	16 5 2	-	66 22	3	115 38	e &	6	55 12 134.7		2 1 1	0.0 0 0 0.0	9 81	9 61	38 13 2	- ·	2 2 2 204.0			7	30	30 10	7	60 31 4		
2 'S	2000,007/2007 00001/001 00001/001 00001/001 2000001/002 20000000001/002 20000000000	Si N	1,500, 502,0 1.500 0.5,1	0.0 0 0.0	1 0 19.4	0:0 0 0:0	13 4 186.7 5 2 67.3	4	14 5 124.8 10 3 81.9	21 7	57 19 184.7 57 19 162.7	34 11 208.7 77 26 448.2	16 5	21 7 343.4 16 5 255.9	0 0 0.0 1 0 81.2	99 33 159.4 66 22 93.2	0 0 0.0 3 1 120.4	115 38	e 8	6	25 8 104.0 35 12 134.7		2 1 1	0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0	9 81	20 7 210.1 19 6 190.2	38 13 2	- ·	1 0 54.5 5 2 204.0			7 11	30	33/.5 30 10	7	2 53.5 4 1	60 20.77 93 31 32.77 83 31 37.77 83 37.75 83 37.	7 0:01 76



Factbook 2001

published by



420 Northwest 13th Street, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73103

phone: 405-236-KIDS (5437) fax: 405-236-KIDX (5439) www.oica.org



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)


National Library of Education (NLE)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

EFF-089 (3/2000)

